



STANDARD MODEL (and beyond ?)


Benoit Clément (bclement@lpsc.in2p3.fr)

Instructions from the organisers

- parler rapidement de l'eqn de Dirac, les spineurs, les matrices gamma
- la notion de symétrie de jauge (p.ex. en QED)
- et agiter un peu les mains vers la fin pour dire "il se trouve que les autres interactions fondamentales du SM suivent le même modèle que l'EM, basées sur les symétries de jauge aussi, mais utilisant des groupes un peu plus complexes p.ex. SU(2)"

Donc pas mal condensé, tout en restant pédagogique

Selective bibliography



My favorites to prepare a lecture

Walter Greiner lectures, Springer

W. Greiner, **Relativistic Quantum Mechanics**

W. Greiner et J. Reinhardt, **Quantum Electrodynamics**

W. Greiner et J. Reinhardt, **Field Quantization**

W. Greiner, S Schramm et E. Stein, **Quantum Chromodynamics**

W. Greiner et B. Muller, **Gauge Theory of Weak Interactions**

In french (and very similar to this lecture)

Physique des particules,
B.C., Dunod


Mécanique quantique relativiste, B.C., Dunod



**Mécanique
quantique
relativiste**

Diffusion et diagrammes de Feynman

DUNOD




The old classics

Relativistic Quantum Mechanics, J.D. Bjorken, S.D. Drell, McGraw-Hill Book Company

Relativistic Quantum Fields, J.D. Bjorken, S.D. Drell, McGraw-Hill Book Company

Introduction to High Energy Physics, Donald H. Perkins, Addison-Wesley

Quarks and Leptons, Francis Halzen et Alan D. Martin, Wiley



For quantum field theory (many books on the subject)

Théorie quantique des champs, Jean-Pierre Derendinger, Presses polytechniques et universitaires romandes

Introduction to Quantum Field Theory, S.J. Chang, World Scientific Publishing

An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory, M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder Westview Press Inc.

Many more : Itzykson-Zuber, Weinberg, Landau-Lifshitz ...

Quick introduction to the SM

Energy, length...

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Energy, } E & \xleftarrow[E=\hbar\omega]{\hbar} & \text{Pulsation, } \omega \sim \frac{1}{T} \\ c \quad \updownarrow \quad E = pc & & c \quad \updownarrow \quad \lambda = \frac{c}{\omega} \\ \text{Momentum, } p & \xleftarrow[p=\frac{\hbar}{\lambda}]{\hbar} & \text{Wave length, } \lambda \end{array}$$

Quantum mechanics

Energy and Frequency are of the same nature

Special relativity

Time and Space are of the same nature

Natural units

c and \hbar are only conversion factors depending on a choice of units : (Joule, meter, second,...)

$\hbar = c = 1$ (dimensionless)

All quantities have the dimension of a power of energy

$[length] = [time] = [energy]^{-1}$ $[mass] = [momentum] = [energy]$ $[action] = [speed] = 1$

Usual energy unit : electron-volt $1\text{eV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}\text{J}$

A few numbers : $\hbar c = 197 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{fm}$

$m_e = 511 \text{ keV}$ (or keV/c^2) $m_{nucleon} = 940 \text{ MeV}$

... and elementarity

Compton wavelength

$$E = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi\lambda} : \text{Energy scale} \leftrightarrow \text{Length scale}$$

To probe a system of size L

if $\lambda \gg L$: punctual system = like an **elementary particle**

if $\lambda \ll L$: sensitive to a sub-structure


Small length \leftrightarrow Large energies

Atom radius : $\lambda \sim \text{\AA} (10^{-10} m) \rightarrow E \sim 1 \text{ keV (X-ray)}$



Proton radius : $\lambda \sim \text{\AA} (10^{-15} m) \rightarrow E \sim 100 \text{ MeV (QCD scale)}$

LHC : $E \sim 10 \text{ TeV} \rightarrow \lambda \sim 10^{-21} \text{ m } (\ll \text{quark size})$

No evidence of electron or quark substructure



Every day matter



Electromagnetic interaction

Electrons and nuclei in atoms

Atomic physics, condensed matter, thermodynamics macro and micro-scopic, chemistry...

Nuclear forces

Nucleus = protons+neutrons

Nucleons = 3 quarks up or down


Strong interaction : maintains the cohesion of nucleons in nuclei despite of Coulomb repulsion

Weak interaction : only interaction that modify the nature of particles : $n \leftrightarrow p$, $u \leftrightarrow d$, $e^- \leftrightarrow \nu_e$

Necessitates a new kind of particle

→ the neutrino

No large scale effects



Continuous electron energy spectrum in β decay
3 body interaction : $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$

Theoretical tools

Neutron decay

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e \quad m_n = 938,3 \text{ MeV} \quad m_p = 939,6 \text{ MeV}$$

Released energy : $\Delta m \sim 1 \text{ MeV}$

Subatomic scales

→ Quantum mechanics

Kinetic energies of electron and neutrino of the order or larger than mass energy

→ Special relativity

Relativistic Quantum Mechanics

Variable number and nature of particles between initial and final state



→ Second quantification, creation/annihilation operators

Relativistic Quantum Field Theory

The Standard Model

Theoretical description of the interactions of fundamental fermions : quark and leptons

Based on **Gauge invariance** in **Quantum Field Theory**



Interaction processes are described using : the **SM Lagrangian** + **Scattering theory** (Feynman diagrams)


The Standard Model Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{SM} = & \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} i\bar{\psi}_\ell \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_\ell + \sum_{\ell'=\nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau} i\bar{\psi}_{\ell'} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_{\ell'} + \sum_i^3 \sum_{a=u,c,t} i\bar{\psi}_{q_i} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_{q_i} + \sum_i^3 \sum_{a'=d,s,b} i\bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_{q'_i} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu W_\nu^+ - \partial_\nu W_\mu^+) (\partial^\mu W^{-\nu} - \partial^\nu W^{-\mu}) - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu Z_\nu - \partial_\nu Z_\mu) (\partial^\mu Z^\nu - \partial^\nu Z^\mu) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1}^8 (\partial_\mu G_\nu^a - \partial_\nu G_\mu^a) (\partial^\mu G^{a\nu} - \partial^\nu G^{a\mu}) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h - \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{\lambda_\ell v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_\ell \psi_\ell - \sum_i^3 \sum_{q=u,c,t} \frac{\lambda_q v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{q_i} \psi_{q_i} - \sum_i^3 \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \frac{\lambda_{q'} v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \psi_{q'_i} \\
& - \left(\frac{gv}{2} \right)^2 W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{gv}{2 \cos \theta_W} \right)^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu - \frac{1}{2} (-2m^2)^2 h^2 + \frac{g}{4 \cos \theta_W} \left(\sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \bar{\psi}_\ell \gamma^\mu (4 \sin^2 \theta_W - 1 + \gamma^5) \psi_\ell Z_\mu + \sum_{\ell'=\nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau} \bar{\psi}_{\ell'} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi_{\ell'} Z_\mu \right) \\
& + \frac{g}{4 \cos \theta_W} \left(\sum_i^3 \sum_{q=u,c,t} \bar{\psi}_{q_i} \gamma^\mu (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W - \gamma^5) \psi_{q_i} Z_\mu + \sum_i^3 \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \gamma^\mu (\frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W - 1 + \gamma^5) \psi_{q'_i} Z_\mu \right) + \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \bar{\psi}_{\nu_\ell} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi_\ell W_\mu^+ + \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \bar{\psi}_\ell \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi_{\nu_\ell} W_\mu^- \right) \\
& + \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_i^3 \sum_{\substack{q=u,c,t \\ q'=d,s,b}} V_{qq'} \bar{\psi}_q \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi_{q'_i} W_\mu^+ + \sum_i^3 \sum_{\substack{q=u,c,t \\ q'=d,s,b}} V_{qq'}^* \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi_{q_i} W_\mu^- \right) + g_{em} \left(- \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \bar{\psi}_\ell \gamma^\mu \psi_\ell A_\mu + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{q=u,c,t} \bar{\psi}_{q_i} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q_i} A_\mu - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q'_i} A_\mu \right) \\
& + g_s \left(\sum_{i,j}^3 \sum_a^8 \sum_{q=u,c,t} \bar{\psi}_{q_j} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q_i} G_\mu^a T_{ij}^a + \sum_{i,j}^3 \sum_a^8 \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \bar{\psi}_{q'_j} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q'_i} G_\mu^a T_{ij}^a \right) - \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{\lambda_\ell}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_\ell \psi_\ell h - \sum_i^3 \sum_{q=u,c,t} \frac{\lambda_q}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{q_i} \psi_{q_i} h - \sum_i^3 \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \frac{\lambda_{q'}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \psi_{q'_i} h \\
& + \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_i^3 \sum_{\substack{q=u,c,t \\ q'=d,s,b}} V_{qq'} \bar{\psi}_q \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi_{q'_i} W_\mu^+ + \sum_i^3 \sum_{\substack{q=u,c,t \\ q'=d,s,b}} V_{qq'}^* \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi_{q_i} W_\mu^- \right) + g_{em} \left(- \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \bar{\psi}_\ell \gamma^\mu \psi_\ell A_\mu + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{q=u,c,t} \bar{\psi}_{q_i} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q_i} A_\mu - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q'_i} A_\mu \right) \\
& + g_s \left(\sum_{i,j}^3 \sum_a^8 \sum_{q=u,c,t} \bar{\psi}_{q_j} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q_i} G_\mu^a T_{ij}^a + \sum_{i,j}^3 \sum_a^8 \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \bar{\psi}_{q'_j} \gamma^\mu \psi_{q'_i} G_\mu^a T_{ij}^a \right) - \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{\lambda_\ell}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_\ell \psi_\ell h - \sum_i^3 \sum_{q=u,c,t} \frac{\lambda_q}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{q_i} \psi_{q_i} h - \sum_i^3 \sum_{q'=d,s,b} \frac{\lambda_{q'}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{q'_i} \psi_{q'_i} h \\
& + ig_{em} [\partial_\mu A_\nu W^{-\mu} W^{+\nu} + \partial_\mu W_\nu^+ W^{-\nu} A^\mu + \partial_\mu W_\nu^- W^{+\mu} A^\nu - \partial_\mu A_\nu W^{-\nu} W^{+\mu} - \partial_\mu W_\nu^+ W^{-\mu} A^\nu - \partial_\mu W_\nu^- W^{+\nu} A^\mu] \\
& + ig \cos \theta_W [\partial_\mu Z_\nu W^{-\mu} W^{+\nu} + \partial_\mu W_\nu^+ W^{-\nu} Z^\mu + \partial_\mu W_\nu^- W^{+\mu} Z^\nu - \partial_\mu Z_\nu W^{-\nu} W^{+\mu} - \partial_\mu W_\nu^+ W^{-\mu} Z^\nu - \partial_\mu W_\nu^- W^{+\nu} Z^\mu] + \frac{g^2 v}{2} W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} h + \frac{g^2 v}{4 \cos^2 \theta_W} Z_\mu Z^\mu h - \lambda v h^3 \\
& + g_{em}^2 [W_\nu^+ W^{-\mu} A_\nu A^\mu - W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} A_\nu A^\nu] + g^2 \cos^2 \theta_W [W_\nu^+ W^{-\mu} Z_\nu Z^\mu - W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} Z_\nu Z^\nu] + g^2 \cos \theta_W \sin \theta_W [2W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} Z_\nu A^\nu - W_\mu^+ W^{-\nu} A_\nu Z^\mu - W_\mu^+ W^{-\nu} A^\mu Z_\nu] \\
& + \frac{g^2}{2} [W_\mu^- W^{-\mu} W_\nu^+ W^{+\nu} - W_\mu^- W^{+\mu} W_\nu^- W^{+\nu}] + \frac{g^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} h^2 + \frac{g^2}{8 \cos^2 \theta_W} Z_\mu Z^\mu h^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4 - \frac{g_s}{2} \sum_{a,b,c}^8 f^{abc} (\partial_\mu G^{a\nu} - \partial_\nu G_\mu^a) G^{\mu b} G^{\nu c} - \frac{g_s^2}{4} \sum_{\substack{a,b,c \\ d,e,f}}^8 f^{abc} f^{ade} G_\mu^b G_\nu^c G^{\mu d} G^{\nu e}
\end{aligned}$$

$$g_{em} = g \sin \theta_W, \quad v^2 = \frac{-m^2}{\lambda} \quad (m^2 < 0, \lambda > 0), \quad m_\ell = \frac{\lambda_\ell v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_q = \frac{3\lambda_q v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_W = \frac{gv}{2 \cos \theta_W}, \quad m_Z = \frac{gv}{2 \cos \theta_W}, \quad m_h = \sqrt{-2m^2}$$

Exercice : find the typo(s)

Cross sections in the SM



A bit of theoretical framework

(don't worry too much about the details of the equations)

Beyond classical mechanics

Classical mechanics

Time evolution of discrete coordinates $x_i(t)$

$$\text{Euler-Lagrange equations } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i} = 0, \quad p_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i}$$

$$\text{Poisson brackets } \{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{x_i, x_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0$$

Quantum physics

$$\text{Schrödinger equation : } i\partial_0 |\psi\rangle = -\frac{\vec{\nabla}^2}{2m} |\psi\rangle + \hat{V} |\psi\rangle$$

Time evolution of wavefunction $\psi(x,t)$

$|\psi(x)|^2$: presence probability

Observables become operators, canonical commutations

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}, \quad [x_i, x_j] = [p_i, p_j] = 0$$

Relativistic field theory (Maxwell EM)

Space-time evolution of a field $\varphi_i(x)$

Lagrangian density is a function of fields :

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\varphi_i\}, \{\partial_k \varphi_i\}, \{x_k\})$$

Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi_i} - \partial_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_k \varphi_i} = 0, \quad \pi_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}_i}$$

Quantum field theory

Fields become operators : $\hat{\varphi}_i(x)$

$\hat{\varphi}_i^\dagger \hat{\varphi}_i$: particle+antiparticles density operator

Observables are functionals on fields


Canonical commutations

$$[\hat{\varphi}_i(t, x), \hat{\pi}_j(t, x')] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}\delta(x - x'),$$

$$[\hat{\varphi}_i(t, x), \hat{\varphi}_j(t, x')] = [\hat{\pi}_i(t, x), \hat{\pi}_j(t, x')] = 0$$

Relativity 101

How do coordinates transform between two inertial referential ?



Relativity principle

The law of physics are the same in all inertial referentials

“Time is absolute”

Galileo Transformation :

$$\begin{cases} t' = t \\ x' = x - Vt \\ y' = y \\ z' = z \end{cases}$$

Speeds are additive quantities

$$\dot{x} = \dot{x}' + V$$

No limit to speed




“There is a speed «c» that is the same in all referentials”

Lorentz Transformation :

$$\begin{cases} ct' = \gamma ct - \gamma \beta x \\ x' = -\gamma \beta ct + \gamma x \\ y' = y \\ z' = z \end{cases} \quad \beta = \frac{V}{c}, \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}$$

Speeds are no longer additive

Time changes from one referential to another !!!



The quantity $s^2 = (ct)^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = (ct')^2 - x'^2 - y'^2 - z'^2$ is invariant in a referential change.

Relativity 101

How do coordinates transform between two inertial referential ?

Motivated by electromagnetism


EM wave equation :

$$\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \Phi = 0, \quad \Phi = U, \vec{A}, \vec{E}, \vec{B}$$

Wave propagating at speed $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}}$
independently of the speed of the source !



Same light velocity



Relativity principle


The law of physics are the same in all inertial referentials

"There is a speed «c» that is the same in all referentials"

Lorentz Transformation :

$$\begin{cases} ct' = \gamma ct - \gamma \beta x \\ x' = -\gamma \beta ct + \gamma x \\ y' = y \\ z' = z \end{cases} \quad \beta = \frac{V}{c}, \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}$$

Speeds are no longer additive



Time changes from one referential to another !!!



The quantity $s^2 = (ct)^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = (ct')^2 - x'^2 - y'^2 - z'^2$ is invariant in a referential change.

Relativity 101: 4-vectors

4-vector

$$A = (A^0, \vec{A}) = (A^0, A^1, A^2, A^3)$$

$$\begin{cases} A'^0 = \gamma A^0 - \beta A^1 \\ A'^1 = \beta A^0 + \gamma A^1 \\ A'^2 = A^2 \\ A'^3 = A^3 \end{cases}$$

Same Lorentz transform

Contravariant, covariant and norm

$$A_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} A^\nu$$

$A^2 = A_\mu A^\mu = (A^0)^2 - |\vec{A}|^2$ is a Lorentz invariant

$A \cdot B = A_\mu B^\mu = A^\mu B_\mu$ is also Lorentz invariant

Relativistic Lagrangian and Hamiltonian

$S = \int \mathcal{L} dt$ must be Lorentz invariant, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L} dt \propto ds = \sqrt{dt^2 - d\vec{x}^2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = \alpha \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$$

$$p^i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}^i} = -\alpha \gamma \dot{x}^i = \gamma m \dot{x}^i \Rightarrow \alpha = -m$$

$$H = \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - L = \gamma m = p^0$$


Einstein sum convention

$$g_{\mu\nu} x^\nu = \sum_\nu g_{\mu\nu} x^\nu$$

Repeated upper/lower index implies sum !!!

Metric Tensor

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$



4-momentum

$$p^\mu = \gamma m \partial_0 x^\mu \quad p \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow 1} (m, m\vec{v})$$

$$p = (E, \vec{p})$$

$$p^2 = p_\mu p^\mu = E^2 - |\vec{p}|^2 = m^2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{E^2 = m^2 + |\vec{p}|^2}$$

Total 4-momentum is conserved in interactions

4-gradiant

$$\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \quad \partial = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \vec{\nabla} \right)$$

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta = \square \text{ (d'Alembertian)}$$

Relativity 101: Summary

Time and space are not independent

The speed of light (in a vacuum) is the maximum speed of a particle

**Lorentz invariance builds quantities that are the same in all
referentials : Scalar products of 4-vectors**

**Laws of physics does not depends on referential : Action and
Lagrangian must be Lorentz Invariants**

Quantum mechanics 101



Classical mechanics

1 parameter : time

Generalized coordinates : $x_i(t)$

Observables : position, momentum, energy
are numerical values

Canonical Poisson brackets :

$$\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{x_i, x_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0$$

Warning

There are 2 different concepts of position in QM

- The parameter of the wavefunction $\psi(x, t)$
- The position operator : \hat{x} with $\hat{x} |\psi(x)\rangle = x |\psi(x)\rangle$

Quantum mechanics

1 parameter : time

Wavefunction : $\psi(x, t)$ such as $\int \psi(x, t)\psi^*(x, t)dx = 1$

$\rho = |\psi(x, t)|^2$: presence probability density at position x

Form a vector space (Hilbert space) : state $|\psi(x, t)\rangle$

Observables : position, momentum, energy... becomes operators over the Hilbert space

Canonical commutators

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}, \quad [x_i, x_j] = [p_i, p_j] = 0$$

Deriving operators

Position operator : $\hat{x}_i = x_i$

Momentum operator : $[x, \partial_x]|\psi(x)\rangle = -|\psi(x)\rangle \Rightarrow \hat{p}_i = -i\hbar\partial_i$

Energy operator : Hamilton equation : $\partial_0 p_i = -\partial_i H \Rightarrow i\hbar\partial_i\partial_0|\psi(x)\rangle = \partial_i \hat{H}|\psi(x)\rangle \Rightarrow \hat{H} = i\hbar\partial_0$

Quantizing the dispersion relation

$$E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V \xrightarrow{\text{quantum}} \text{operator } i\hbar\partial_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}^2 + \hat{V} \text{ applied to } |\psi\rangle: i\hbar\partial_0|\psi\rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}^2|\psi\rangle + \hat{V}|\psi\rangle \quad \text{Schrödinger equation}$$

The simplest quantum relativistic equation

Klein Gordon equation

$$E \xrightarrow{\text{quantum}} \hat{H} = i\partial_0 \quad p \xrightarrow{\text{quantum}} \hat{p} = -i\partial_i$$
$$E^2 = m^2 + p^2 \xrightarrow{\text{quantum}} \text{operator } -\partial_0\partial^0 = m^2 + \partial_i \partial^i \text{ applied to } \varphi$$

$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2)\varphi = 0$$

Scalar field

φ is a complex numerical function

It can only describe a spin 0 object

Planewave solution (Fourier modes)

$$\varphi_{\vec{k}}^\pm(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_k}(2\pi)^3} e^{\pm ik_\mu x^\mu}$$

$$\text{with } k_0 = \pm E_k = \pm \sqrt{m^2 + |\vec{k}|^2}$$

Negative energy solutions !!!

Antiparticles

Absorb the minus sign into the time : $e^{-i(Et - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})} = e^{-i((-E)(-t) + (-\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{x})}$

Particle with **negative energy**, moving **forward in time**, with **momentum $-\vec{k}$**
KG naïve solution

=

Particle with **positive energy**, moving **backward in time**, with **momentum \vec{k}**
Interpretation used in relativistic quantum mechanics

=

Antiparticle with **positive energy**, moving **forward in time**, with **momentum \vec{k}**
Effectively observed

Antiparticle : same mass, same spin, opposite chargeS

Conserved current

Conservation equation

Equation of the form : $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$ or $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint \rho dV = \oint \vec{J} \cdot d\vec{S}$

Found in fluid mechanics : conservation of matter
in electromagnetism : conservation of charge

In covariant formalism : $\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$, with $j = (\rho, \vec{J})$ a 4-current

In quantum mechanics (Schrödinger)

$$\frac{\partial \psi^* \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \vec{\nabla} \cdot [\psi^* \vec{\nabla} \psi - \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi^*]$$

Probability current conservation

The density part is $\rho = \psi^* \psi$, positive real number
If ψ is normalized, ρ can be interpreted as a probability density

This is one of the **postulates of quantum mechanics**

In relativistic quantum mechanics (Klein-Gordon)

$$\partial_\mu (\varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi^*) = 0$$

The density part is $\rho = \varphi^* \partial^0 \varphi - \varphi \partial^0 \varphi^*$ is no longer always positive,

The probability interpretation is lost

QFT

$$\varphi_{\vec{k}}^\pm(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_k}(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{\pm i k_\mu x^\mu}$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\vec{k}}^\pm(x) = \frac{\hat{a}^\pm}{\sqrt{2E_k}(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{\pm i k_\mu x^\mu}$$

\hat{a}^\pm : creation/annihilation operators

$\varphi_{\vec{k}}^{\pm*} \varphi_{\vec{k}}^\pm = \hat{n}_k$: number of particles and antiparticles with momentum k

The Dirac equation

Relativistic Schrödinger-like equation

$$i\partial^0\psi = \hat{H}\psi$$

To ensure covariance, time and space must have the same power,

$$\hat{H} = -i\alpha_1\partial^1 - i\alpha_2\partial^2 - i\alpha_3\partial^3 + \beta m$$

With :

- ψ is a vector of size n
- α_i et β are $n \times n$ matrices
- each component ψ_u is a scalar field : it satisfy the KG equation


Derivation of the Dirac Equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_0\partial^0\psi_u &= \partial_i\partial^i\psi_u &+& m\psi_u \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i\alpha_j + \alpha_j\alpha_i)\partial_i\partial^j\psi_u - i\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i\beta + \beta\alpha_i)m\partial^i\psi_u + \beta^2m\psi_u \end{aligned}$$

$$\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}\mathbb{I}_n \quad \{\alpha_i, \beta\} = 0 \quad \beta^2 = \alpha_i^2 = \mathbb{I}_n$$

$$\partial_0\psi = i\alpha_i\partial^i\psi + \beta m \Rightarrow i\gamma_0\partial^0\psi + i\gamma_i\alpha_i\partial^i\psi - m\psi = 0$$

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0 \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}\mathbb{I}_n$$



The Dirac matrices

Only even n are possible

The first n satisfying $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}\mathbb{I}_n$ is $n = 4$
 ψ is a 4 components vector \neq 4-vector : spinor
 Describes spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles and antiparticles

One possible set of matrices :

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Instead of ψ^+ $\rightarrow \bar{\psi} = \psi^+\gamma^0$

$\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi$ is a 4-vector, $\bar{\psi}\psi$, is a Lorentz scalar

Dirac Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{Dirac}(\psi, \bar{\psi}, \{\partial_\mu\psi\}, \{\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}\}) = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu + m)\psi$$

Electromagnetism and gauge

4-Potential

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{one can define a 4-vector } A$$

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \text{ and } \vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} A^0 - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

A^0 : scalar potential, \vec{A} : vector potential



Gauge

The 4-potential is not unique (but \vec{E} and \vec{B} are)

If A is a 4-potential, then

$$A'^\mu = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \xi(x)$$

gives the same fields

$$\begin{aligned} F'^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu + \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \xi - \partial^\nu \partial^\mu \xi \\ &= \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu \end{aligned}$$

Gauge gives an extra freedom in the choice of potential to simplify some equations

Maxwell Equations

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} &= 0 \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} &= -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} &= \rho \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} &= \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \vec{j} \end{aligned}$$

EM strength tensor

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ E_y & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lorenz Gauge

One can always find a function $\xi(x)$ such as

$$\partial_\mu A'^\mu = 0$$

Then : $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \xi = \partial_\mu A^\mu$ which always admit a solution

Vector Field equation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} &= \rho \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} &= \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \vec{j} \end{aligned} \right\} \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu$$

$j = (\rho, \vec{j})$: 4-current

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A^\mu = j^\nu$$

Vector field free lagrangian

$$\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \text{ free}$$

is the Euler-Lagrange equation from lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{Maxwell} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$$

Free solutions of relativistic equations

Fourier Modes

Klein-Gordon, Dirac, Maxwell...

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_k}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} K e^{\pm i k_\mu x^\mu}$$

- : particle solution

+ : antiparticle solutions

Klein-Gordon

Complex scalar field : spin 0 particles, $K = 1$

Dirac

Complex spinor field : spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles

$K = u_{k,s}$ or $v_{k,s}$: base of spinors space, $s = 1,2$

u_1 : particle of spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ u_2 : particle of spin $+\frac{1}{2}$

v_1 : antiparticle of spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ v_2 : antiparticle of spin $+\frac{1}{2}$

$$(k_\mu \gamma^\mu + m)u = 0 \quad (k_\mu \gamma^\mu - m)v = 0$$

Maxwell (and Proca)

Real vector field : spin 1 particles

$K = \varepsilon_{1,2,(3)}$: polarisation 4-vector, $k_\mu \varepsilon_i^\mu = 0$

Because of gauge symmetry :

2 polarisation for a massless field, 3 for a massive field

Generic solution : Quantum Fields

Exemple of the Dirac field :


$$\psi(x) = \sum_s \int d^3 \vec{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_k}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k},s} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k},s} e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k},s}^+ \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k},s} e^{ik_\mu x^\mu})$$

$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k},s}$: annihilation operator of a particles

$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k},s}^+$: creation operator of an antiparticle

$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k},s}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k},s}^+$ are found in the complex conjugate $\bar{\psi}(x)$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}^2}{2m} + V \right) \psi$$
$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0$$
$$(\partial^\mu \partial_\mu + m^2)\phi = 0$$



Gauge invariance and QED

Quantum Mechanics

Physics is invariant under a change of phase of the wavefunction

$$\psi' = e^{i\theta}\psi \Rightarrow |\psi'|^2 = |\psi|^2$$

Special relativity

Local theory : no instantaneous interaction
Invariance under dephasing must be local

$$\psi' = e^{i\theta(x)}\psi$$

(Non)invariance of the Dirac lagrangian

The space dependent phase does not commute with the derivative

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m)\psi$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}' &= \bar{\psi}'(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m)\psi' = \bar{\psi}e^{-i\theta(x)}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m)e^{i\theta(x)}\psi \\ &= \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu \theta - m)\psi\end{aligned}$$

Covariant derivative

Free propagation :



$$\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$$

Interaction :



$$\vec{p} = m\vec{v} + q\vec{A}$$

Absorb the deformation into derivation : make the line straight again

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iqA_\mu \text{ , new vector field } A$$

(and its gauge transformation)

Full lagrangian invariance

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - q\gamma_\mu A^\mu - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}' &= \bar{\psi}\left(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - \gamma_\mu q\left(\frac{1}{q}\partial^\mu \theta + A'^\mu\right) - m\right)\psi - \frac{1}{4}F'_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu} \\ &= \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - \gamma_\mu qA^\mu - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

$$A^\mu = \frac{1}{q}\partial^\mu \theta + A'^\mu \text{ is an EM gauge transform, it leaves } F'_{\mu\nu} \text{ invariant}$$

QED lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - q\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A^\mu$$

Free fermions


Free photons

coupling

Euler-Lagrange equations :

$$\text{Dirac} : (i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m)\psi = q\gamma_\mu\psi A^\mu$$


$$\text{Maxwell} : \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = q\bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\psi = j^\nu$$



A bit of scattering theory

(keep don't worrying too much about the details of the equations)

Scattering amplitude



Scattering amplitude

$S_{fi} = \langle i|S|f\rangle = \langle \Psi_i|\psi_f \rangle$ overlap over propagated intial state and the final state

Vtx P Vtx

$$= \delta_{fi} + q \int \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu A_\mu \psi dx + q^2 \int \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu A_\mu S_F \gamma^\nu A_\nu \psi dxdy + \dots$$

$$S \approx \mathbb{I} + \int \mathcal{L}(x)dx + \int \mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y)dxdy + \dots$$

Perturbative expansion in powers of the coupling,
 \mathcal{L} is the **interaction Lagrangian**.

QED interaction lagrangian and vertices

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = q\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi A_\mu$$


$$\psi \sim b^+ + d$$

$$\bar{\psi} \sim d^+ + b$$

$$A_\mu \sim a^+ + a$$


Lagrangien contains all potential vertices

$$\mathcal{L}(x) \propto q \propto \sqrt{\alpha}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{q^2}{4\pi} \approx \frac{1}{137} \ll 1$$


Feynman diagrams

$$S \approx \mathbb{I} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)dx}_{\propto \alpha^{1/2}} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y)dxdy}_{\propto \alpha} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y)\mathcal{L}(z)dxdydz}_{\propto \alpha^{3/2}} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y)\mathcal{L}(z)\mathcal{L}(w)dxdydzdw}_{\propto \alpha^2} + \dots$$



1 vertex

Elem. interactions
2 body decays

2 vertices

2→2 scattering
Vacuum fluctuations
Propagator corrections

3 vertices


2→3 scattering
Real corrections
Decays with loops

4 vertices

2→4 scattering
2→2 with loop
Box diagrams

Feynman diagrams

$$S \approx \mathbb{I} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)dx}_{\propto \alpha^{1/2}} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y)dxdy}_{\propto \alpha} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y)\mathcal{L}(z)dxdydz}_{\propto \alpha^{3/2}} + \underbrace{\int \mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y)\mathcal{L}(z)\mathcal{L}(w)dxdydzdw}_{\propto \alpha^2} + \dots$$



Exemple : $e^-e^+ \rightarrow e^-e^+$

Interaction Lagrangian : contains elementary vertices.

Scattering matrix : contains all possible interactions connecting any initial to any final states,
 $S_{fi} = \langle i|S|f\rangle$ will select only diagrams connecting the initial state $|i\rangle$ to the final state $|f\rangle$

Computing cross-sections

The method


1. Draw all diagrams at a fixed order
2. Translate diagrams into Feynman amplitude using Feynman rules
3. Compute !!!

Drawing convention

scalar boson fermion (spinor)

photon, W, Z gluon

gauge bosons (vectors)



From scattering matrix to observable

$$S_{fi} = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P_f - P_i) \underbrace{\prod \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_i}}}_{\substack{\text{Phase space} \\ \text{Kinematics}}} \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{fi}}_{\text{Feynman Dynamics}}$$

Width $1 \rightarrow 2$: $\frac{d\Gamma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m^2} \frac{|\vec{p}|}{|\vec{p}_f|} |\mathcal{M}_{fi}|^2$,

Cross-section $2 \rightarrow 2$: $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 s} \frac{|\vec{p}_f|}{|\vec{p}_i|} |\mathcal{M}_{fi}|^2$

Feynman rules

$$i\mathcal{M}_{fi} = \prod \text{Feynman rules}$$


External lines : « Z » factors : $u_{k,s}$ or $v_{k,s}$ for spinors, $\epsilon_{1,2,(3)}^\mu$ for vectors

Internal lines : propagators in fourier space : $\propto \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2}$

Vertices (coupling) : given by the Lagrangian, $iq\gamma^\mu$ for EM

More rules for loops, identical particles, relative sign of 2 diagrams...



Exemple : $e^- e^+ \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$



Feynman amplitude from rules

$$i\mathcal{M}_{fi} = \bar{u}_3 \times [-iq\gamma^\mu] \times v_4 \times \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{s} \times \bar{v}_2 \times [-iq\gamma^\nu] \times u_1$$

$$i\mathcal{M}_{fi} = \frac{iq^2}{s} \bar{u}_3 \gamma^\mu v_4 \bar{v}_2 \gamma_\mu u_1 = \frac{ie^2}{s} J_a^\mu J_{b\mu}$$



$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}$$

Yang-Mills theory

Weyl theory

Lagrangian for a free fermion (electron)



Impose a **local symmetry** to the Lagrangian



Introduce a new interacting massless vector (photon) field

Symmetries and groups

Symmetries = Invariance under set of transformation

Described by: → Group (mathematical structure)

Continuous, differentiable group : **Lie group**


To preserve the normalization of the fields : **Unitary group**

Gauge groups of the Standard Model


$SU(2) \times U(1)$: electroweak interaction
bosons W^\pm, Z, γ

$SU(3)$: strong interaction :
bosons : 8 gluons

Non abelian groups : Self couplings



Non abelian means $[A_i, A_j] \neq 0$



Higgs mechanism

Massive vector fields

The Maxwell Lagrangian can be extended to include a mass term, analogous to the KG mass

$$\mathcal{L}_{KG} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi^+ - m^2 \varphi \varphi^+)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Proca} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 A_\mu A^\mu$$


But this term breaks gauge symmetry !!!



$$m^2 = -\mu^2$$

Total lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{\psi} (i \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m) \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - q \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi A^\mu \\ & + \frac{1}{2} [\partial_\mu h^+ \partial^\mu h - (2m^2) h^2 - \lambda v h^3 - \lambda h^4] \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (gv)^2 A_\mu A^\mu - g^2 v h A_\mu A^\mu - g^2 h^2 A_\mu A^\mu \end{aligned}$$



Mass term for vector boson from coupling to Higgs v.e.v.

Higgs Field



Add a **complex scalar field** to the theory, with a specific potential

$$-V(\varphi)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \varphi D^\mu \varphi^+ - \mu^2 |\varphi|^2 - \lambda |\varphi|^4)$$



The potential minimum (vacuum) is degenerate

$$\text{It takes one specific value } ve^{i\theta(x)} = \sqrt{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda}} e^{i\theta(x)}$$



And the field can be rewritten as $\varphi(x) = (v + h(x))e^{i\theta(x)}$
 $h(x)$: Real scalar field, with « normal » KG dynamics
 $\theta(x)$: Goldstone bosons, no physical effects because of gauge invariance !!!

SM Vertices : Strong interaction



Coupling constants depend on energy !

Non-abelian Yang-Mills SU(3)

Each quark exist with one of **three “color” charge** : “red”, “green”, “blue”


Gluons : change the color charge of quarks

Strong interaction intensity decreases with energy scale

Confinement at low energy (only “white” object exists : qqq or $q\bar{q}$)

Asymptotic freedom at high energy : perturbative expansion is ok

We can use Feynman diagrams to describe what happens at LHC



SM Vertices : Electroweak charged currents


u, d, c, s, t, b

Parity violation of weak interactions

P : symmetry $t, \vec{x} \rightarrow t, -\vec{x}$

4-component spinor (Dirac) = 2 2-component spinors
split


$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi \xleftrightarrow{P} \chi$$



There are 2 kinds of electrons “left” and “right”
EM and strong interaction does not make a difference

Weak charged currents (W^\pm) only couples to left-handed fermions !!!

This forbids a mass term for fermion as well...



Flavour mixing

Charged currents is the only interaction that changes the nature of particles,

Charged currents of quarks do not stay in the same family
Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing :

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97428 & 0.2253 & 0.00347 \\ 0.2252 & 0.97345 & 0.0410 \\ 0.00862 & 0.0403 & 0.999152 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is complex... allow CP violation

SM Vertices : Electroweak neutral currents and self couplings

Gauge group and mixing

$SU(2)_L$: 3 bosons W^+, W^-, W^0

$U(1)_Y$: 1 boson B



B and W^0 have the same quantum number and can mix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_W & -\sin\theta_W \\ \sin\theta_W & \cos\theta_W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^0 \\ B \end{pmatrix}$$

A (the photon) conserves parity and keeps its zero mass after symmetry breaking

$$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{EM}$$

Neutral currents always conserve flavour



SM Vertices : Higgs boson

Spontaneous symmetry breaking of SU(2)

Higgs mechanism gives :

- 1 Higgs boson
- 1 vacuum expectation value

W^\pm and Z boson masses arise from
the electroweak symmetry breaking


$$hBB \text{ coupling} \propto M_B^2$$

Since SU(2) interaction violates parity, it can only work for massless fermions

Coupling of fermions to the Higgs field also gives fermion mass terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{yukawa} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \varphi \bar{\psi} \psi = -\frac{\lambda v}{2} \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{\lambda}{2} h \bar{\psi} \psi$$

$$hff \text{ coupling} \propto m_f \quad (\text{Yukawa couplings})$$



Limitations of the SM (and what might lie beyond)

Free parameters of the Standard Model

Free parameters

Values that are not predicted by the model : they must be measured !

Gauge coupling (3)

Each gauge symmetry introduce a coupling constant :

$$\alpha_{EM}, \alpha_W, \alpha_S$$

Fermion masses (9+3)

Yukawa coupling to the Higgs fields :

$$m_u, m_d, m_s, m_c, m_b, m_t, m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau, (m_{\nu_e}, m_{\nu_\mu}, m_{\nu_\tau})$$

Higgs Field (2)

Parameters of the Higgs potential : its mass and self-coupling

$$m_h = \sqrt{-2\mu^2} \quad \lambda$$

Flavour Mixing (4+4/6)

CKM matrix can be parametrized by

3 angles and 1 phase

Mixing also exists in the neutrino sector :

3 angles and 1 or 3 phases

CP violation in strong interaction (1)

It is possible to have parity violating terms in the strong interaction but it is not observed



One angle θ_{strong} ($= 0$?)

Some limitations

Theoretical consistency


Why 3 families ?

Quadratic divergences in radiative corrections to the Higgs field (hierarchy problem), fine tuning
Suppression of the strong CP violation



Astrophysics and cosmology

Matter/antimatter asymmetry in the universe
Nature of the dark matter (27% of the universe)
Nature of the dark energy (68% of the universe)



Esthetics

19 to 26 free parameters : it's a lot
No unification of couplings at high energy

Missing stuff

Neutrino masses and mixing
Gravitation


Going Beyond

Add new fields and symmetries to the SM and derive the Lagrangian or use effective field theory

Supersymmetry

New kind of symmetry

Symmetry between Boson \leftrightarrow Fermion
 \neq gauge symmetry



Each SM particles have a superpartner

Quarks, leptons : fermions ($s=\frac{1}{2}$) \rightarrow Squarks, Slepton : bosons ($s=0$)

Bosons de Jauges : bosons ($s=1$) \rightarrow Jauginos : fermions ($s=\frac{1}{2}$)


Bosons de Higgs : bosons ($s=0$) \rightarrow Higgsinos : fermions ($s=\frac{1}{2}$)

Pros

Suppression of quadratic divergence
in the correction of M_H

Coupling unification at high energy

Candidate for dark matter : if lightest SUSY particle is neutral and stable



Extended Higgs sector

5 Higgs bosons : h, H, A, H^+, H^-

Higgsinos and EW Gauginos are mixing

\rightarrow 4 charginos $\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^\pm$

\rightarrow 4 neutralinos : $\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3,4}^0$

Cons

More than 120 parameters

Broken symmetry : what's the breaking mechanism

Not observed yet...

Other ideas...

Grand Unification Theory

Embed the SM gauge group in a larger one :

$$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_C \subset G_{GUT}$$



$$G_{GUT} = SU(5), SO(10), E_8 \dots$$

Only one coupling constant

New couplings between quarks and leptons : makes proton unstable


Broken symmetry

- new « Higgs »-like bosons
- new heavy gauge bosons : Z' , W'
- new very heavy gauge bosons of a new type : Leptoquark



Extra spatial dimensions

Compactified extra dimensions: no large scale effects
Only some particles can propagate in these dimensions



Extra-Dimensions

- ... even with SUSY, still many open questions:

- why gravity is so weak ? $M_{W/Z}/M_{\text{Planck}} \approx 0.1 \text{ TeV}/10^{16} \text{ TeV}$ $G_F/G_N \approx 10^{32}$

- proposed answer :

- gauge and gravity unify at a fundamental scale $M_D \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$

- observed weakness of gravity at distance R due to $n \geq 2$ new spatial dimensions

$$V_4(r) \sim \frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{1}{r} \quad \longrightarrow \quad V_{4+n}(r) \sim \frac{1}{M_D^{n+2} R^n} \frac{1}{r} \quad @ r \gg R \quad M_{\text{Planck}}^2 \approx M_D^{n+2} R^n$$

If $M_D \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$ then $n=1 \quad R \approx 10^{13} \text{ m} \rightarrow$ excluded by macroscopic gravity


$n=2 \quad R \approx 0.7 \text{ mm} \rightarrow$ limit of small- scale gravity experiments

....

--> A gravity scale as low as $M_D \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$ is possible, provided that there exist n additional dimensions with $n \geq 2$ compactified over $R < \text{mm}$




--> Due to finite size of space,
graviton ($S=2$) has excitation states

--> 'Kaluza Klein towers'




Complementary search scenarios wrt direct searches

- Search for new physics by measuring **very precisely** key SM observables (EWPO)
Expansion in coupling strength
Any significant inconsistency wrt SM
→ existence of New Physics
- Search for deviations from SM in high energy regions (often tails)
Use an **Effective Field Theory** to interpret the data
Expansion in scale ratios
→ In both cases probe energy scales beyond the direct kinematic reach



Effective field theory



Dimensional analysis

Spinors $[\psi] \sim E^{\frac{3}{2}}$,

Scalar, vectors $[\phi] \sim [A_\mu] \sim E$

All interaction Lagrangians $\mathcal{L} \sim E^4$

Only **dimensionless constants** or the theory is non-renormalisable

Effective field theory

Add higher order operators that encapsulate the low energy effect of high energy new interactions

~~$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_i \frac{c_i}{\Lambda} O_5^i + \sum_j \frac{c_j}{\Lambda^2} O_6^j + \dots$$~~

Λ : energy scale of new physics
 c_i : Wilson coefficient

What is an EFT ?

- It's a quantum field theory describing the behaviour of **an underlying unknown physical theory** ("UV complete") in some limited regime ("IR limit").
- In an EFT, the details of (new) Physics are irrelevant @ $E \ll \Lambda$
→ EFT use degrees of freedom relevant to the IR regime (simplification)

Λ is a scale (energy or inverse of a distance):

- @ $E \ll \Lambda$ (new) Physics effects are described by local, analytic operators with $1/\Lambda^n$ suppressions
- possible divergences @ $E \sim \Lambda$ and above, can be neglected


In practice: Taylor expansion of the Lagrangian in powers of E/Λ

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} = \sum_{\mathcal{D} > 0, i} \frac{c_i^{(\mathcal{D})} O_i^{(\mathcal{D})}}{\Lambda^{\mathcal{D}-d}}$$

d = space-time dimensions
 c_i = Wilson coefficients
 O_i = allowed operators
 \mathcal{D} = operator dimension

- New physics expressed in terms of coefficients of higher dimension operators including constraints of locality, gauge and Lorentz invariance

Introduction and experimental motivation: End



EFT



(.. “but it is not as
easy as it seems” ..)

Propagators

Green function

$D_x \psi(x) = \rho(x)$, D_x : differential operator at point x

Admits a solution of the form

$$\psi(x) = \psi_0(x) + \int G(x - y)\rho(y)dy$$

With $\psi_0(x)$, solution of the free equation $D_x \psi(x) = 0$

$$D_x \psi(x) = \int D_x G(x - y)\rho(y)dy = \rho(x)$$

$$D_x G(x - y) = \delta(x - y)$$

$G(x - y)$ is the Green function of the differential equation or propagator. preparing a one particle state at x and then finding the particle at y

The equation of motion same form:

Dirac equation with source

$$(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m)\psi = q\gamma_\mu A^\mu \psi$$

Admits a solution of the form

$$\psi(x) = \psi_0(x) + \int S_F(x - y)q\gamma_\mu A^\mu(y)\psi(y)dy$$

Green function

Integral equation !!!

$$\psi(x) = \psi_0(x)$$

$$+ q \int S_F(x - y)\gamma_\mu A^\mu(y)\psi_0(y)dy$$

$$+ q^2 \int S_F(x - z)\gamma_\mu A^\mu(z)S_F(z - y)\gamma_\nu A^\nu(y)\psi_0(y)dy$$

$$+ q^3 \int \dots + q^4 \int \dots + \dots$$

Propagator: amplitude for a particle to travel between two spacetime events.