Variance Reduction Technique to Optimize LINAC Head Modeling

Maxime Jacquet CREATIS Lyon









Out-Of-Field (OOF) dose for the Volume Modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT)



TPS calculation

1/15

- Accurate (in-field)
- Fast

TPS calculation of a VMAT modality

Out-Of-Field (OOF) dose for the Volume Modulated Arc Potential immune Therapy (VMAT)

effects to investigate

TPS calculation of a VMAT modality

TPS calculation

- Accurate (in-field)
- Fast
- Less precise (OOF)
 - ~ 100 % of error

MC simulations

- Accurate
- Time consuming



Difference between dose measurements and Monaco TPS predictions

Radiotherapy immune-effect



Lymphocyte-Sparing Artificial Intelligence-guided Radio-Immunotherapy (LySAIRI) RHU project

Collaboration:

- CLB (Centre Léon Bérard)
- IGR (Institut Gustave Roussy)
- CREATIS
- UMR 1030





Deliver novel solutions toward the first effective implementation of immuno-radiotherapy

- Deep learning tools to quantify the OOF dose
 - Trained on MC simulations

Elekta Versa HD in GATE 10



Elekta LINAC VERSA HD 6 MV simulation

VMAT simulation



Comparison between the TPS and the GATE10 simulation

Gamma index passing rate for a 2mm/2% threshold/15

Variance reduction method :



Variance reduction method :



Simulation set-up

- Simulation two:
 - Secondary particles at the linac head exit
 - Last Vertex Splitting applied to the LINAC head
 - hTLE applied to the patient

Last vertex splitting (LVS) method



Last Vertex Splitting: Implementation





Sketch of the last vertex splitting applied to a triple Compton scattering

Last Vertex Splitting: Implementation





Last Vertex Splitting: Implementation





Hybrid Tracking Lenght Estimator (hTLE)



Hybrid Tracking Lenght Estimator (hTLE)



Hybrid Tracking Lenght Estimator (hTLE)



VRTs assessment: Set-up



GATE 10 simulation

- Em standard 3
- High cut on e-
- 10x10 cm² field

VRTs :

- LVS : On the biased volume
- hTLE : On the voxelized patient

11/15

Set-up :

- Analog
- LVS
- hTLE
- LVS + hTLE

VRTs assessment: biasing



Deposited dose per event along the Y-axis at the water tank center

Calculated Z-score along the Y-axis at the water tank center

VRTs assessment: biasing



At a 2σ level :

- Minor biais in the in-field area
- No biais for the OOF area

12/15

Deposited dose per event along the Y-axis at the water tank center

Calculated Z-score along the Y-axis at the water tank center

VRT assessment: Speed-up



Calculated speed-up implying the different proposed VRTs compared to the analog simulation

VRTs speed-up

- Last Vertex Splitting :
 - Constant speed-up (~ x5)
- hTLE :
 - In-field :
 - Moderate speed-up (~ x1.5 x2)
 - Photon mean energy too high
 - Analog dose deposition more than hTLE

- OOF :
 - Increasing speed-up (Until x10 at 1 m)
 - Lower photon mean energy
- Last Vertex Splitting + hTLE
 - Independent methods :
 - Total speed-up = speed-up_{LVS} x speedup_{hTLE}

VRTs assessment: Patient illustration



Conclusion and discussion

- Development of a LINAC model using GATE10
 - In-field dose: Validated against experimental data and RT-plan based TPS
 - Out-of-field dose validation: work in progress
- New VRTs: Last vertex splitting + hybrid TLE
 - In-field biased around the percent
 - LVS speed-up around 5
 - Depends on field size and irradiated geometry
 - hTLE speed-up depending on the mean photon energy
 - Larger speed-up in the far OOF region (~ x10)
 - LVS + hTLE:
 - Total speed-up = LVS speed-up x hTLE speed-up
 - Both methods are generic
- Article to publish in PMB + available in GATE10