New developments in GATE 9.4 for monolithic crystal PET systems

GATE developers meeting: marc.granado@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Marc Granado González, Olga Kochebina





Overview



- Why do we use **monolithic crystals**?
- Understanding the needs for monolithic crystal PET scanners
 - **Position resolution** dependency of the hit position
 - With a Gate-Tool to create the input files in the right format
 - Dealing with hits at the edges and the **confined hits**.
 - Virtual segmentation of the monolithic crystal
 - With a Gate-Tool to create equivalent macros for **CASTOR**



Why Monolithic crystals?

- Monolithic crystals appeared as a solution for TOF PET: ٠
 - The increasing use of such detectors demands from a GATE • modifications to simulate them. First tests with **ClearMind** prototype.
- Easier manufacturing process ٠
- Increase of light collection efficiency improving the TOF measurement ٠ and increasing sensitivity
- **DOI measurement** which minimizes **parallax error** ٠













In-crystal position reconstruction

- Within a monolithic crystal the position needs to be reconstructed.
- We worked in the context of ClearMind detector and AAIMME position reconstruction (right plot)
- The resolution of such reconstruction may be dependent on the interaction's position within the crystal
- The *SpatialResolution* module needs to allow a 2D distribution of the standard deviation as an input.



DANIEL, Geoffrey, et al. Deep Learning reconstruction with uncertainty estimation for γ photon interaction in fast scintillator detectors. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 2024, 131: 107876.





Position dependend SR



A new module was introduced to allow 1D and 2D distributions in GATE's spatial resolution blurring.

The module loads the distribution of the different values of standard deviation and uses the hit's positions to find their requiered standard deviation.

Example of a macro's code:

/gate/distributions/name my_distrib2D /gate/distributions/insert File /gate/distributions/my_distrib2D/setFileName data/my_stddev_distribuion_file.txt /gate/distributions/my_distrib2D/readMatrix2d

/gate/digitizerMgr/pseudoCrystal/SinglesDigitizer/Singles/insert spatialResolution /gate/digitizerMgr/pseudoCrystal/SinglesDigitizer/Singles/spatialResolution/nameAxis XY /gate/digitizerMgr/pseudoCrystal/SinglesDigitizer/Singles/spatialResolution/fwhmDistrib2D my_distrib2D





Different distributions tested

The simulations were tested using 4 different distributions for the standard deviation within the crystal from top left to bottom right:

- The AAIMME data pooled into a 30x30 matrix
- A constant matrix of 2.55 mm standard dev.
- An exaggeration of the degradation of the standard dev on the edges by incresing it to 40 mm
- A case with zero degradation at the edges using a constant value for the external part equal to the mean of the internal







2D distribution of the FWHM

The results show a much bigger effect in the final image's noise than the measurements of the position resolution.

The effect of using a distribution has been tested and resulted to be significant, around 5% for FWHM and 16% on FWTM on the final image.

With this, dedicated algorithms could be developed to minimise the effect of the low resolution hits.

A GATE-tool has been developed to convert a .csv or .txt file into the form needed for GATE to read the files. --> Different method on the way.

Edge effect vs no edge effect









GATE edge effects

The position within the crystal is always **CONFINED!**

positionY1



Original hits (blue) and reconstructed hit (red)



GATE meeting 01/04/25 Marc GranadoGonzalez



The standard deviation of the distribution is reduced and all hits that would fall out end up at the crystal's limit, closer to the original position.



Possible solutions

Example the possible distributions that could be used when working at the edge of the detector:

- Symetric reconstruction: if the position x_f is outside of the crystal apply: $x'_f = \mu (x_f x_0)$
- Iterative reconstruction: if the hit is reconstructed outside of the crystal it is randomly scattered again until it is reconstructed within the cristal
- Trucnated Gaussian: a truncated Gaussian is defined to have the same stddev.

The only method preserving the stddev is the truncated Gaussian.

Values of standard deviation: Truncated Gaussian = 4.0 mm Symmetric reconstruction method = 2.8 mm Iterative method = 2.8 mm







The truncated Gaussian

A 2D distribution with a degradation up to 40 mm at the edges of the crystal was simulated.

The plot on the top right shows the spatial blurring measured after the digitizer using the TG the bottom plot shows the befor (blue) and after (red) the truncated Gaussian blurring.

The standard deviation of the distribution is recovered when using the truncated Gaussian.

With the truncated gaussian distribution we get more realistic results for the position reconstruction within the cyrstal.







Images with monolithic crystals

- The reconstruction algorithm tools such as CASTOR work with crystals IDs and each crystal has an associated ID and the position is defined by it.
- Discretisation with a bin size smaller than the resolution: $\left(\frac{\sigma}{2} > Bin\right)$
 - Impossible to segment the crystals in the simulation due to high computational time.
- For monolithic crystals a tool is needed to convert **X,Y,Z** position within the crystal to a **single ID** to be equivalent to current scanners.
 - The ID is equivalent to the one associated with a linear repeater;
 - A Gate tool that converts the MC macro into an equivalent to the discretised version for **CASTOR**'s look-up table creator.



AIF





GATE meeting 01/04/25 Marc GranadoGonzalez

Inserm



ID for monolithic crystals

Using *cylindricalPET* with 3 "empty" depths defined with the size of the monolithic crystal.

- The monolithic crystal will occupy the *Module* level defined with **Air** material.
- The virtual rows and columns will occupy the *SubModule* and *Crystal* levels respectively defined with Air material.
- The virtual layers will occupy *layer0* defined with the real crystal's material.

The segmentation size is defined for each axis in the Digitize in a way equivalent to *SpatialResolution*.

The *GateDigiVirtualSegmentation* module assigns a non-zero ID to these layers according to the position within the crystal.

# Module	
/gate/cylindricalPET/daughters/name	rsector
/gate/cylindricalPET/daughters/insert	box
/gate/rsector/geometry/setXLength	10. mm
/gate/rsector/geometry/setYLength	63. mm
/gate/rsector/geometry/setZLength	26. cm
# r = 320.8 (to fit the 32 crystals) plus half	width (5 mm) plus 0.5 for the Front Readout
/gate/rsector/placement/setTranslation	326.3 0. 0. mm
/gate/rsector/setMaterial	Air
# CRYSTAL	
/gate/rsector/daughters/name	real-crvstal
/gate/rsector/daughters/insert	box
/gate/real-crystal/geometry/setXLength	10. mm
/gate/real-crystal/geometry/setYLength	59. mm
/gate/real-crystal/geometry/setZLength	59. mm
/gate/real-crystal/placement/setTranslation	0. 0. 0. mm
/gate/real-crvstal/setMaterial	Air
# COLUMN	
/gate/real-crystal/daughters/name	column
/gate/real-crystal/daughters/insert	box
/gate/column/geometry/setXLength	10. mm
/gate/column/geometry/setYLength	59. mm
/gate/column/geometry/setZLength	59. mm
/gate/column/placement/setTranslation	0. 0. 0. mm
/gate/column/setMaterial	Air
#ROW	
/gate/column/daughters/name	row
/gate/column/daughters/insert	box
/gate/row/geometry/setXLength	10. mm
/gate/row/geometry/setYLength	59. mm
/gate/row/geometry/setZLength	59. mm
<pre>/gate/row/placement/setTranslation</pre>	0. 0. 0. mm
/gate/row/setMaterial	Air
#Pseudo-Crystal	
/gate/row/daughters/name	pseudoCrystal
/gate/row/daughters/insert	box
/gate/pseudoCrystal/geometry/setXLength	10. mm
/gate/pseudoCrystal/geometry/setYLength	59. mm
/gate/pseudoCrystal/geometry/setZLength	59. mm
<pre>/gate/pseudoCrystal/placement/setTranslation</pre>	0. 0. 0. mm
/gate/pseudoCrystal/setMaterial	PWO
#Real Crystal	
/gate/real-crystal/repeaters/insert	linear
/gate/real-crystal/linear/setRepeatNumber	4
/gate/real-crystal/linear/setRepeatVector	0. 0. 63. mm





Conclusions

- The needs for PET simulations with monolithic crystals were understood and addressed.
- A new feature was introduced within the *SpatialResolution* digitizer allowing to provide a 2D distribution of the standard deviation, dependent on the hit position within the cristal, as the input for spatial resolution.
- The effect of forced confinement within a monolithic crystal was solved by introducing a truncated Guassian distribution allowing to preserve the standard deviation of the blurring close to the edges.
- A virtual segmentation digitizer was introduced in GATE to simualte monolithic crystals with the equivalent segmentations in XYZ as if they were segmented using linear repeaters
- All these new introductions have been tested and proved to work as expected and are available in the new GATE 9.4.1 version.



Thank you for your attention!





Backup





VS example of use



/gate/digitizerMgr/<sensitive_detector>/SinglesDigitizer/Singles/insert virtualSegmentation /gate/digitizerMgr/<sensitive_detector>/SinglesDigitizer/Singles/virtualSegmentation/nameAxis XYZ /gate/digitizerMgr/<sensitive_detector>/SinglesDigitizer/Singles/virtualSegmentation/pitch 1.0 mm





ID for monolithic crystals



The *GateDigiVirtualSegmentation* module assigns a non-zero ID to these layers according to the position within the crystal in a way equivalent to a linear repeater.



The plots above show the equivalence between a GATE simulation with a macro using a monolithic crystal with the virtual segmentation (solid blue blue) and the equivalent segmented crystal (dashed red)









18



université <u>8</u> **PARIS-SACLAY**



40 mm at edges



COS UNIVERSITE GATE meeting 01/04/25 Marc GranadoGonzalez PARIS-SACLAY

20 30

Position in the crystal X axis[AU]

40



20



université Cea **PARIS-SACLAY**

GATE meeting 01/04/25 Marc GranadoGonzalez



21