

Superfluid fraction in the inner crust of neutron stars

Giorgio Almirante


IJCLab, Orsay, France




Outline

- Introduction: inner crust of neutron stars and its superfluid fraction
- Formalism: HFB with Bloch boundary conditions and Andreev-Bashkin two fluid model
- Results: fully self-consistent HFB and linear response in BCS approximation

Inner crust of neutron stars...




W.G. Newton et al,
Sym.En.,In.Crust,Gl.Mod. (2011)




H. Dinh Thi et al,
A&A 654, A114 (2021)

...and its superfluid fraction



Radhakrishnan & Manchester,
Nature 222, 228-229 (1969)



Martin & Urban,
Phys. Rev. C 94, 065801 (2016)

Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov

$$\begin{pmatrix} h - \mu & -\Delta \\ -\Delta^\dagger & -\bar{h} + \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_\alpha^* \\ -V_\alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = E_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} U_\alpha^* \\ -V_\alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

the HFB equations in this form allow to compute the ground state properties of our system

$$h_{kk'} = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \right)_{kk'} k \cdot k' + U_{kk'} - (k + k') \cdot J_{kk'} - \hbar k \cdot v \delta_{kk'} \quad (1)$$

$$U_{kk'} = - \sum_{pp'} V_{kp k' p'} \rho_{p' p} \rightarrow \text{Skyrme potential (SLy4 \& BSk24)} \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta_{kk'} = - \sum_{pp'} V_{kk' p' p} \kappa_{p' p} \rightarrow \text{separable interaction (fitted on } V_{\text{low-k}}) \quad (3)$$

Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov in a lattice

Periodicity can be imposed through the Bloch's theorem,
in momentum space this introduces a decomposition in integer multiples of $2\pi/L$ and
a continuous momentum known as Bloch momentum


$$k = \frac{2\pi}{L}n + k_b \quad \text{with} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad , \quad k_b \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{L}, \frac{\pi}{L} \right)$$

as a consequence the HFB matrix has only integer indices, and it is diagonal in the Bloch
and (if any) parallel momenta

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{nn'}(k_b) - \mu & -\Delta_{nn'}(k_b) \\ -\Delta_{n'n}^*(k_b) & -h_{-n'-n}(k_b) + \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{n'\alpha}^*(k_b) \\ -V_{n'\alpha}^*(k_b) \end{pmatrix} = E_\alpha(k_b) \begin{pmatrix} U_{n\alpha}^*(k_b) \\ -V_{n\alpha}^*(k_b) \end{pmatrix}$$


Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov in a lattice, a taste

Solving the HFB equations with Bloch boundary conditions means computing the band structure of the inner crust



single-particle bands

$$\xi_\alpha = \epsilon_\alpha - \mu$$



quasi-particle bands

$$E_\alpha > 0$$

$\Gamma - X - M - \Gamma - R - X$ is the high symmetrical path in the positive $k_b \in \text{BZ}$

Andreev-Bashkin formalism

Our setup gets us access to densities, currents and other relevant quantities. Then with the formalism due to Andreev and Bashkin we can compute the superfluid fraction



$$\vec{\rho}_n = (\rho_n - \rho_S)\vec{v} + \rho_S \vec{V}_n \quad ; \quad \vec{\rho}_p = \rho_p \vec{v}$$

This relation has to be understood in an average sense (ϕ = phase of the gap: $\Delta = |\Delta|e^{i\phi}$)

$$\vec{V}_n = \int_V \frac{d^3x}{V} \frac{\hbar}{2m} \vec{\nabla} \phi$$



Since our quantities are periodic the average superfluid velocity is zero, thus we are working in the reference frame in which the superfluid component carries no momentum

Lasagna statics



Almirante & Urban, Phys. Rev. C 109, 045805 (2024)



Lasagna dynamics



Almirante & Urban, Phys. Rev. C 109, 045805 (2024)

Spaghetti

$$\rho_b = 0.062 \text{ fm}^{-3} ; \rho_S/\rho_n = 95\%$$




Almirante & Urban, Phys. Rev. C 110, 065802 (2024)

Crystal

body-centered cubic lattice

$$\rho_b = 0.033 \text{ fm}^{-3} ; L = 33 \text{ fm} ; \rho_S/\rho_n = 92\%$$



Almirante & Urban, in preparation

Results and comparison

Crystal

μ_n (MeV)	L (fm)	ρ_b (fm ⁻³)	ρ_S/ρ_n (%)	ρ_S/ρ_n (HF%)
9	33	0.0334	92.1	7
10	31	0.0425	92.8	9
11	29	0.0518	94.1	27

Spaghetti


μ_n	L	ρ_b	ρ_S/ρ_n	ρ_S/ρ_n
12	24	0.0619	94.5	75
12.5	24	0.0670	95.4	82

Lasagna

μ_n	L	ρ_b	ρ_S/ρ_n	ρ_S/ρ_n
13	20	0.0723	96.3	93
13.5	20	0.0768	97.2	94


Bands effects VS pairing gap

Normal band theory should be valid in the weak-coupling limit (pairing gap $\ll$ Fermi energy)



Almirante & Urban, Phys. Rev. C 110, 065802 (2024)

Geometric contribution in BCS approximation




$$\rho_S^{ij} = \int_{\text{BZ}} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[m \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\Delta^2}{E_{\alpha\mathbf{k}}^3} \frac{\partial \xi_{\alpha\mathbf{k}}}{\partial k^i} \frac{\partial \xi_{\alpha\mathbf{k}}}{\partial k^j} + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{\Delta^2}{E_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} E_{\beta\mathbf{k}} (E_{\alpha\mathbf{k}} + E_{\beta\mathbf{k}})} p_{\alpha\beta\mathbf{k}}^i p_{\beta\alpha\mathbf{k}}^j \right]$$

Almirante & Urban, arXiv:2503.21635 (2025), PRL in press

HFB vs BCS

To quantitative compare with the BCS approximation, HFB calculations are performed with fixed mean-field and self-consistent pairing




Almirante & Urban, in preparation

Constraint from glitch activity

The superfluid density ρ_S is related to the superfluid moment of inertia of the crust I_S , then the ratio I_S/I can be used as a constraint thanks to its relation with the glitch activity $\mathcal{G}$

$$\frac{I_S}{I_{\text{crust}}} = \frac{1}{P_{\text{core}}} \int_{P_{\text{drip}}}^{P_{\text{core}}} \frac{\rho_S}{\rho_b} dP \quad , \quad \frac{I_S}{I} = \frac{I_S}{I_{\text{crust}}} \times \frac{I_{\text{crust}}}{I} > \mathcal{G}$$



Martin & Urban, Phys. Rev. C 94, 065801 (2016)


Conclusions

- 1D: $\rho_S/\bar{\rho}_n \simeq 97\%$ No surprise.
- 2D: $\rho_S/\bar{\rho}_n \simeq 95\%$ Normal band theory underestimates the superfluid fraction !
- 3D: $\rho_S/\bar{\rho}_n \simeq 92\%$ Entrainment is very small because of the geometric contribution !!

The superfluid reservoir in the inner crust of neutron stars is big enough to explain pulsar glitches with the crust only !!!

The geometric contribution could be non-zero also in the shallowest layers of the inner crust, and it is expected to appear in all the superfluid response functions

Thanks for your attention!



UNKNOWN PLEASURES