

Tracking with ML











01/22/25







From Scikit-learn Mutual information calculation

01/22/25



1 event: 14183 hits



F

01/22/25

Parameter regression



TrackFormer

- Transformer for track parameter regression
- Tested on several dataset: ToyTracks, Acts, TrackML

- Regression in pt and pz
- Shown promising results



Sequences were padded to a fixed length



TrackFormer loss functions

Mean squared error:

$$\mathsf{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

Quantile loss:

$$\mathsf{QL} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\max(q(y_i - \hat{y}_i), (q - 1)(y_i - \hat{y}_i)) \right)$$



TrackFormer training

TrackML dataset

By far, this aspect presented the most challenges. When performing 'on-the-fly' preprocessing and data loading, a significant hurdle was the long training time of 23 hours for 20 epochs. This was problematic because transformers are well-known for their speed and performance advantages. However, it became apparent that the transformer was not utilising its full capabilities. Firstly, even with a large model having 22 million parameters, the GPU was not being fully utilised, which was a clear indication that something was amiss. Instead, the next best option was to use the main memory and optimise data loading with PyTorch functions such as pinned memory and persistent workers. This resulted in a dramatic speedup, with training time reduced to 30 minutes for 50 epochs—a 48x speedup. This also ensured more efficient use of GPU resources, with GPU utilisation remaining at a constant 97% throughout training. In contrast, the earlier loading method caused GPU utilization to fluctuate between 0% and 100%, mostly staying at 0% due to training waiting for data retrieval.

However, this approach has its limitations. Loading an entire dataset into main memory is not always feasible due to the resource-intensive nature of this process, requiring over 50 GB of CPU RAM for large datasets. This presents an opportunity to develop a custom data-loading pipeline that strikes a balance between on-the-fly prepreprocessing and loading data into GPU RAM. Additionally, it was found that batch size played a crucial role in stabilising training, with an optimal batch size determined to be around 3,000.



(a) Transformer train quantile Loss

(b) Transfomer MSE train Loss

01/22/25

TrackFormer report results

$$|p_t^{true} - p_t^{pred}| / p_t^{true}$$

Transformer MSE Metric Transformer Qloss MSE Model Ouantile Loss Mode 10^{6} 106 $p_t \mathsf{MAE}$ 0.2212 ± 0.0003 0.0718 ± 0.0004 10⁵ 10⁵ p_z MAE 0.7048 ± 0.0018 0.4648 ± 0.0021 104 104 Counts 10³ 10³ $\mathsf{MAE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$ 10² 10² 10¹ 10¹ 100 100 10 15 20 25 30 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 **Relative Error** Relative Error

Relative Error Distributions





TrackFormer my results

Dataset used: TrackML

- training: 20 000 particles
- Validation: 20 000 particles
- Testing: 20 000 particles



Absolute Relative Error Distributions



Figure 5.4: Distribution of the absolute error

01/22/25









Change of variable impact





1 event: 14183 hits



F

01/22/25

Random variables single neuron: uniform



From Scikit-learn Mutual information calculation

F

01/22/25

Random variables single neuron: normal



1 event: 14183 hits



F

01/22/25

Random variables single neuron: poisson



1 event: 14183 hits

From Scikit-learn Mutual information calculation

F

01/22/25

Architecture

