

Toponium physics at the LHC

Benjamin Fuks

In collaboration with Kaoru Hagiwara, Kai Ma & Ya-Juan Zheng: - PRD 104 (2021) 034023

- EPJC 85 (2025) 157

Toponium physics at the LHC





LPTHE / Sorbonne Université

Top LHC France 2025

29-30 April 2025, Paris

Benjamin Fuks - 29.04.2025 - 1



How it all started... excesses!

- Precision measurements as probes for anomalies
- Issues with SM modelling close to threshold? \rightarrow Bound state effects











Top-antitop production near threshold



Toponium physics at the LHC

[Fadin & Khoze (JETP Lett`87)] [Fadin, Khoze & Sjöstrand (Z.Phys.C`90)] [Sumino, Fujii, Hagiwara, Murayama & Ng (PRD`93)]

A position-space picture

• Two-particle state created at $x = (t_0, \vec{x})$ \simeq wave packet propagation to the $V_{\rm QCD}$ barrier

→ Typical scale: the Bohr radius

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{C_F \alpha_s m_t/2} \sim (20 \text{ GeV})^{-1}$$

 Oscillations within the barrier until system decay → Top $[y = (t_1, \vec{y})]$ or antitop $[z = (t_2, \vec{z})]$ decay → Typical scale $\approx (2\Gamma_t)^{-1} \sim (3 \text{ GeV})^{-1}$

Probe of the QCD potential

- → Toponium effects
- → Currently not included in MC simulations

toponium

 \bar{b} and t

b and \overline{b}











The toponium Green's function

Three-point correlation function in the non-relativistic limit

$$K_{abcd}(x, y, z) = \left\langle 0 \left| T\left\{ t_c(y)\overline{t}_d(z) : \overline{t}_a(x)t_b(x) : \right\} \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \gamma^0)_{ca}}{2} \frac{(1 - \gamma^0)_{bd}}{2} \int d^3r \left[K_1(y; (z^0, \vec{r})) K_2 \right] K_2$$
Non-relativistic spin projection operators

The toponium Green's function (from K_2)

- Solution to the Lippmann-Schwinger equation
 - → Fourier transform of the QCD potential
 - \rightarrow S-wave contributions
- To be solved numerically

$$\widetilde{G}(E;p) = \widetilde{G}_{0}(E;p) + \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \widetilde{V}_{QCD}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) \widetilde{G}(E;q)$$
Free Green's
function
[Jezabek, Kuhn & Teubner (Z.Phys.C`92)]



Green's function ratio as a seed for toponium modelling

- Valid near threshold \rightarrow non-relativistic matrix elements
- Conventional MC generators: standard matrix elements
 - → Assumption I: relativistic effects negligible
 - → Assumption 2: higher-spin contributions negligible
- Matrix-element re-weighting
 - → Colour singlet projection
 - \rightarrow Validity: E < 4 GeV and $p^* < 50$ GeV

$$i\mathcal{M} \rightarrow i\mathcal{M} \times \frac{\widetilde{G}(E;p^*)}{\widetilde{G}_0(E;p^*)}$$







tt production near threshold with MG5aMC



- Six-body final state: spin correlations included
- Projection on the colour singlet
- Matrix-elements with and without re-weighting
- No matching with parton showers

Without re-weighting

- Rates = phase space x Breit-Wigner
- Similar heat map as for G_0

With re-weighting

- Similar heat map as for G
- Normalisation and shape affected
- Access to the QCD Green's function \rightarrow ratio of the re-weighted/non-re-weighted predictions

Typical top momentum in the toponium rest frame

$$\langle p(E) \rangle = \frac{\int p \, \mathrm{d}^3 p \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{p^2 \mathrm{d}p \mathrm{d}E}}{\int \mathrm{d}^3 p \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{p^2 \mathrm{d}p \mathrm{d}E}}$$

 \Rightarrow for $E \simeq -2$ GeV: 20 GeV (the Bohr radius!)



[Hagiwara, BF, Ma & Zheng (EPJC'25)]

Benjamin Fuks - 29.04.2025 - 5





Comparison with pseudo-scalar toy models

A toponium toy Lagrangian with a pseudo-scalar state

$$\mathscr{L}_{\eta_t} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \eta_t \partial^\mu \eta_t - \frac{1}{2} m_{\eta_t}^2 \eta_t^2 - \frac{1}{4} g_{gg} \eta_t G_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a - i g_{tt} \eta_t \bar{t} \gamma_5 t$$

• No free parameters

$$\sigma(\eta_t) \equiv \int_{-8 \,\text{GeV}}^{4 \,\text{GeV}} dE \left(\frac{d\sigma_{\text{full}}}{dE} - \frac{d\sigma_{\text{NLO}}}{dE} \right) \quad \Rightarrow \sigma_{\eta}(13 \,\text{TeV}) \approx 6.5 \,\text{pt}$$

 \rightarrow To be compared with $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \approx 810 \,\mathrm{pb}$

(Non-perfect) Breit-Wigner fit $\rightarrow m_{\eta_t} \simeq 344 \,\text{GeV}; \quad \Gamma_{\eta_t} \simeq 7 \,\text{GeV}$

- Green's functions re-weighting
- \oplus cut on $m_{t\bar{t}}$

A ground-state toponium toy Lagrangian with a pseudo-scalar state

- Only capturing the vicinity of the ground state \rightarrow OK for $E \leq -0.5 \, \text{GeV}$
- Radial excitations and P-wave states in $-0.5 \text{ GeV} \le E \le 0 \text{ GeV}$
 - \rightarrow Not in Green's function (yet)

 \rightarrow Not captured in the ground-state approximation

- Mixed contributions around threshold ($\Gamma_{\eta_t} \simeq 3 \, {\rm GeV}$)
- Fit for E < 0 only: $\sigma_{\eta}(13 \text{ TeV}) \approx 4.4 \text{ pb}$ (no cut on $m_{t\bar{t}}$)







Toy models versus NRQCD: $d^2\sigma/(p^*)^2$



Imperfections of the toy model visible in the key distribution

- The W spectrum seems OK in all cases \rightarrow only the peak is well reproduced...
- Strong distortion with the p^* distribution



Toy models should not be used anymore!







Invariant masses: tops & toponium

 $m_{t\bar{t}}$ invariant mass distribution

- Peak at $E \simeq -2$ GeV \oplus extended bound state effects
- The toy models different from NRQCD
- <u>A</u> Matched calculations in order



Toponium physics at the LHC

Top mass distributions

- Light top + invariant mass shifted to lower values \rightarrow Governed by the QCD Green's function (distortion of the shape) \rightarrow Bound state effects within the Coulomb potential generated by t_H
- Heavy top + Breit-Wigner
 - \rightarrow Effectively stable until t_L decays
 - \rightarrow No QCD potential from the decayed top (free propagation)
- Toy models incorrect!

Towards discovery: spin density matrices

Di-leptonic signal properties from $t\bar{t}$ production and t/\bar{t} decay density matrices • Distribution of the angles of the leptons in their parent top rest frames $1 + \sin \bar{\theta} \sin \theta \cos(\bar{\varphi} - \varphi) + \cos \bar{\theta} \cos \theta$ [Hagiwara, Yokoya & Zheng (JHEP'18)] • Toponium characteristics: small $\Delta \varphi_{\ell\ell'}$, small $|\Delta \eta_{\ell\ell'}|$ and small $m_{\ell\ell'}$

$$\sum_{\sigma,\bar{\sigma},\sigma',\bar{\sigma}'} \rho_{gg\to(t\bar{t})_{1}}^{\sigma\bar{\sigma},\sigma'\bar{\sigma}'} \mathrm{d}\rho_{\sigma,\sigma'}^{t\to b\ell'+\nu_{\ell}} \mathrm{d}\rho_{\bar{\sigma}',\bar{\sigma}'}^{\bar{t}\to\bar{b}\ell'-\bar{\nu}'_{\ell}} \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta \,\mathrm{d}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} \propto -\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta \,\mathrm{d}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta \,\mathrm{d}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}\cos\bar{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\bar{\varphi} \,\mathrm{$$

- - \rightarrow Small azimuthal angle separation (survives the lab frame boost)
 - \rightarrow Small di-lepton invariant mass (cf. tension with data?)

$$m_{\bar{\ell}\ell'}^2 = 2E_{\bar{\ell}}E_{\ell'} \Big(1 - \sin \Big)$$

Bulk of toponium events

- Option A: $\Delta \varphi_{\ell\ell'} < 0.9; \Delta \eta_{\ell\ell'} < 0.9$ $\rightarrow N_{t\bar{t}} = 127,000; N_{toponium} = 3,520$ [sensitivity of 9.7 σ (S/B ~ 2.8%)]
- Option B: $\Delta \varphi_{\ell \ell'} < \pi/5; m_{\ell \ell'} < 40 \text{ GeV}$ → $N_{t\bar{t}} = 77,100; N_{toponium} = 2,200$ [sensitivity of 7.8 σ (S/B ~ 2.9%)]

• Option C:
$$\Delta R_{\ell\ell'}^2 < 0.8$$

 $\rightarrow N_{t\bar{t}} = 99,400; N_{toponium} = 2,980$ [sensitivity of 9.3 σ (

Toponium physics at the LHC

 $(\bar{\theta}\sin\theta\cos(\bar{\varphi}-\varphi)-\cos\bar{\theta}\cos\theta)$

(S/B ~ 3%)]

Benjamin Fuks - 29.04.2025 - 9

Top-antitop production near the threshold

- Emergence of a toponium system at a scale of 0.05 GeV⁻¹
- Decay at a time scale of ~ 0.3 GeV⁻¹
- Occurs well before hadronisation at 5 GeV⁻¹

Possibility to probe the toponium wave function

- 'The smallest hadron in the SM'
- The story just begins...

To-do list

- Higher spins
- Colour octet (no resonant enhancement)
- Matching NRQCD to NLO-QCD + parton showers
- Impact on top mass measurements

A few last words...

