News from bSTILED

Romain Garreau
Laboratoire de physique corpusculaire de Caen

Workshop ISOL-France VII
4th April 2025

Outline

- Context and motivations
- The b-STILED project
- “Low-energy” experiment
- “High-energy” experiment

Context

- Standard Model of particle physics :

  -Describe elementary particles and their interactions

  -Predictive model, consistent with constraints at TeV scale

  -Describe three out of four fundamental forces



Context

- Standard Model of particle physics :

  -Describe elementary particles and their interactions

  -Predictive model, consistent with constraints at TeV scale

  -Describe three out of four fundamental forces



- Shortcomings of the Standard Model :

  - Does not account for gravity
  - No answer for the matter-antimatter asymmetry
  - dark matter and dark energy
  -...

New Physics beyond the Standard Model

Search for New Physics

- Search for ε_S, ε_T exotic contributions of weak interaction

  Dominant *Vector - Axial vector* (*V – A*) form established in SM

  no fundamental reason to exclude *Scalar* (*S*) and *Tensor* (*T*) contributions

  ➡ interesting search **window for New Physics**

Search for New Physics

- Search for ε_S, ε_T exotic contributions of weak interaction

  Dominant *Vector - Axial vector* (*V – A*) form established in SM

  no fundamental reason to exclude *Scalar* (*S*) and *Tensor* (*T*) contributions

  ➡ interesting search **window for New Physics**

- Measurement at low energy, using β-decay

Precision measurement of Ft-values, β-spectrum shape

→ Fierz interference term *b*

b → linear dependence on ε_S (Fermi) and ε_T (Gamow-Teller) → sensitive probe to NP

Search for New Physics

- Search for ε_S, ε_T exotic contributions of weak interaction

  Dominant *Vector - Axial vector* (*V – A*) form established in SM

  no fundamental reason to exclude *Scalar* (*S*) and *Tensor* (*T*) contributions

  ➡ interesting search **window for New Physics**

- Measurement at low energy, using β-decay

  Precision measurement of Ft-values, β-spectrum shape

  → Fierz interference term *b*

  b → linear dependence on ε_S (Fermi) and ε_T (Gamow-Teller) → sensitive probe to NP

- bSTILED (b : Search for Tensor Interaction in nucLear bEta Decay)

  → Measurement of *b* in a pure GT transition

Outline

- Context and motivations
- The b-STILED project
- “Low-energy” experiment
- “High-energy” experiment

bSTILED goal

- bSTILED (b : Search for Tensor Interaction in nucLear bEta Decay)

  → Measurement of *b* in a pure GT transition

For pure GT, $b_{GT} = 6.2\ \varepsilon_T$ → measure b_{GT} to improve constraints on ε_T





M. González-Alonso, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, N. Severijns, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 104 (2019) 165.

Phase I : $\Delta b_{GT} = 4\times10^{-3}$
(Actual constraints from β decay)

Phase II : $\Delta b_{GT} = 1\times10^{-3}$
(competitive with projected LHC)

Principle of the bSTILED project

- Extract the Fierz term b_{GT} from the β-spectrum shape in the decay of ^{6}He

$$\underbrace{N(E) \propto F(Z,E)}_{\text{Fermi function}}\underbrace{(1+\eta)}_{\text{Theoretical corrections}}\underbrace{pE(E-E_0)^2}_{\text{Phase space}}\left(1+\frac{m_e}{E}b_{GT}\right)$$



Phase I program at GANIL

- Use ^{6}He : ideal candidate, copiously produced at GANIL

  → pure GT transition, convenient $T_{1/2}$=0.8s, E_{bmax}=3.5MeV

  → high sensitivity theoretical corrections precisely known

- Implant ^{6}He in 4π detection setups (scintillators)

  → suppress E_{loss} from β backscattering (main systematic effect)



- Use implantation-decay cycles (3 s – 12 s)

  → cst BKGD subtraction

  → $T_{1/2}$ measurement



Phase I program at GANIL: two experiments

- Use simple setups
- Test two techniques (different systematic effects)

Low-energy implantation at LIRAT/GANIL

High-energy implantation at LISE/GANIL



Light cross talk between PMTs

Contaminants due to nuclear reactions

Phase I program at GANIL: two experiments

- Use simple setups
- Test two techniques (different systematic effects)

Low-energy implantation at LIRAT/GANIL

High-energy implantation at LISE/GANIL



Light cross talk between PMTs

Contaminants due to nuclear reactions

Comparison between techniques and use the most promising one for **phase II**

Choices for the experiment

- Use YAP:Ce as main scintillator → fast, linear, less Bremsstrahlung escape
  + plastic scintillator (veto) and ^{241}Am source (gain monitoring)





FASTER DAQ
(high rates, minimal dead time)



- 3 integration windows for signals
  → baseline monitoring, pulse shape analysis and pile-up
- timestamp (2ns)
  → event time within implantation/decay cycle

Outline

- Context and motivations
- The b-STILED project
- “Low-energy” experiment
- “High-energy” experiment

Low-energy experiment

- LIRAT-GANIL line, 25 keV $^{6}He^{+}$

Detection setup



2.5 s implantation

12 s acquisition

Φ 5mm collimator

- 5 Sets of measurement

Set 1 => Nominal conditions (2.5 s – 12 s and 7.10^{3} pps)
Set 2 => Longer cycles, higher intensity (2.5 s – 26 s and ~2.10^{4} pps)
Set 3 => Lower polarization voltages
Set 4&5 => Background run (Aluminium cap on scintillator)

Extracted statistical uncertainty after analysis of one set $\boxed{\Delta b_{GT(stat)} \sim 3.9\ 10^{-3}}$

Low-energy experiment analysis

- Unexpected background : Bremsstrahlung from ^{6}He implanted on collimator
  → Complexified the analysis

  Discrepancies between Sets 1,2,3 ($\Delta b_{GT} \sim 2.10^{-2} > 3\ \Delta b_{GT(stat)}$)

  → strong systematic effect not yet understood

  1st lead: shape of Bremsstrahlung bkgd (changing with Sets)

  → to be investigated

- May be difficult to reach phase I uncertainty goal

- However there are 3 byproducts : -^{6}He halflife measurement
  M. Kanafani et al, Phys.Rev. C 106 (2022) 045502
  -Precise electron backscattering measurement
  article in preparation...
  -Bremsstrahlung escape measurement

For now, focus on High energy experiment

Outline

- Context and motivations
- The b-STILED project
- “Low-energy” experiment
- “High-energy” experiment

High-energy experiment

- Experiment at LISE - GANIL

→ implant 300 MeV ^{6}He nuclei 10 mm deep in the YAP (max β-range 4mm)



- Simpler main detector (one YAP)
- Control implantation profile (4 thin PVT hodoscope)
- LISE beam purity (measure implantation energy)
- Beam induced contaminants (HPGe)

High-energy experiment

- Measurement cycle

Beam switched on/off

PMT voltage low/high
→ implantation energy



- 4 sets of measurements

2 crystal sizes, 2 distances, 2 beam intensities

1.1×10^8 good events → expected stat. uncertainty $\Delta b_{GT(stat)} = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$

(almost ok for phase II)

High-energy experiment analysis : Beam characteristics

Beam profile
(rates from hodoscope)



~ 0.4% implantation beyond 6mm from center

High-energy experiment analysis : Beam characteristics

Beam profile
(rates from hodoscope)



~ 0.4% implantation beyond 6mm from center

Potential contaminants (LISE++): ^{8}Li & ^{9}Be

Should appear at higher energy



YAP energy spectrum (Implant. phase)



No visible contaminant (at the 10^{-5} level)

High-energy experiment analysis : Beam induced contaminants

- List potential contaminants (fragmentation and fusion-evaporation)
- Selection of most impacting cases: 50 ms < $T_{1/2}$ < 1 mn
- Identification using:
  - YAP Energy spectrum
  - HPGe in implantation phase → look for excited states of contaminants (+ ^{6}He, Al, O, Y)
  - HPGe in decay phase → look for excited states of daughter nuclei

Contaminants unambiguously identified so far (work in progress):

^{8}Li ($T_{1/2}$ = 840 ms, $E_{\beta max}$ = 12.97 MeV)
^{16}C ($T_{1/2}$ = 747 ms, $E_{\beta max}$ = 4.66 MeV)
^{16}N ($T_{1/2}$ = 7.13 s, $E_{\beta max}$ = 10.42 MeV)
^{20}F ($T_{1/2}$ = 11.163 s, $E_{\beta max}$ = 7.02 MeV)

High-energy experiment analysis : Beam induced contaminants

- Extract contaminant contribution and impact on b_{GT}



3 windows in cycle

Build linear combinations suppressing a specific half life and cst bkgd



Expected fraction of decay (preliminary):

^{8}Li→ ~9.31 10^{-4}

^{16}C→ ~1.08 10^{-3}

^{16}N→ ~2.3 10^{-3}

^{20}F→ ~6.51 10^{-4}

$\Delta b_{GT(syst)}$ ~ 10^{-3} (assuming 20% error on contaminant fraction)

Summary and conclusions

For the LIRAT experiment :

- Sufficient statistics for phase I
- Analysis complexified by unanticipated source of background
- Analysis on hold…
- Provided 3 by-products

For the LISE experiment :

- Sufficient statistics for phase I and almost for phase II
- Excellent beam purity
- Beam induced contaminants does not seem to be a problem (need to finalize the analysis)
- Next steps : → Geant4 Simulations (for fit templates)
  → Study other systematic effects
  (implantation region, Bremsstrahlung escape, detector response…)
- Non-proportionality of YAP is the limit with $\Delta b_{GT} \sim 10^{-2}$
  → requires dedicated study to reach goal of phase I and beyond.

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

D. Etasse
X. Fléchard
R. Garreau
L. Hayen
M. Kanafani
F. Lebourgeois
E. Liénard
J. Lory
O. Naviliat-Cuncic
J. Perronnel
A. Rani
Ch. Vandamme

X. Mougeot
S. Leblond
G. Craveiro

T.E. Haugen
O. Naviliat-Cuncic

S. Vanlangendonck

J.C. Thomas
V. Morel
F. Marie-Saillenfest

O. Naviliat-Cuncic

Backup slides

BP 1: Half life measurement of ^{6}He

- LIRAT experiment is an ideal setup:
  - Use adapted cycles
  - High rates, high purity beam
  - Gain and baseline corrections
  - Data Time stamp for offline analysis
    (not simple scalers)

Most precise half life measurement for ^{6}He

$$\boxed{T_{1/2} = 807.25 \pm 0.16_{stat} \pm 0.11_{syst}\ ms}$$

M. Kanafani et al, Phys.Rev. C 106 (2022) 045502





BP 2: Precise measurement of electron backscattering

- Lack of experimental data in the 100 keV- few MeV range
  → Poor benchmarking of Geant4
  → Conservative systematic error on BS (10%-20%) in data analysis

- ^{6}He decay electrons of LIRAT experiment
  → Backscattering probability up to 3.5 MeV



Det.1 vs Det.2 energy



Slices

E_1 energy profiles



Fit exp w sim

Experimental vs Geant4 Backscattering probability

BP 2: Precise measurement of electron backscattering

Comparison with Geant4, several EM low energy options





Relative deviations below 4% (Option4 & Penelope)!

Article in preparation…

BP 3: Measurement of Bremsstrahlung escape

- Basic idea:

  LIRAT-like geometry with ^{90}Sr beta source

  Inserted in High efficiency ɣ detector for escaping photons

  Record single β events and coincidences with photons



Measurement at FRIB in April 2024

Collaboration with ORNL and IRL

Use of ORNL MTAS detector

YAP + ^{90}Sr source



MTAS NaI ~100% efficiency



Oak Ridge National Laboratory

B.C. Rasco
Th. Ruland
K.P. Rykaczewski

BP 3: Measurement of Bremsstrahlung escape

Comparison with Geant4 (EM option4):

For exp and sim:





Deviations up to 10%

Low-energy experiment analysis

Unexpected peak at low energy





Set 4 substraction

Fit with 5 free parameters:
b_{GT}, calibration slope,
Bkgd normalization, resolution
(use Geant4 simulation fit templates)



Low-energy experiment analysis

Sources systematic errors

	Systematic effect	Δb_{GT}
studied	b_{WM}	2.6×10^{-4}
	Radiative corrections	3.7×10^{-4}
	Bremsstrahlung escape (5 % error on G4)	2.5×10^{-3}
	Cerenkov (10%error on G4)	5×10^{-4}
	Detectors resolution	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$
ongoing	Pile-up (preliminary)	$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$
	Calibration for BKGD run (preleminary)	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$
	Detector non-proportionnality (litterature)	$\sim 10^{-2}$
	Total	?



Dedicated measurements

M. Kanafani, PhD Thesis, UniCaen (2023)