New insights from the $\theta\text{-vacua}$ of the Standard Model †

Otari Sakhelashvili

The University Sydney

Lyon, May 20

[†]based on 2110.03386 [hep-th], and 2408.07535 [hep-th] → (=) (=) → (<

Vacuum structure of QCD

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}GG + \bar{\psi}\left(i\not\!\!D - M\right)\psi$$

 $A_{\mu} = 0-$ the vacuum, but also $A_{\mu} = U^+ \partial_{\mu} U$'s are vacua.

 U_k 's have winding, hence $|k\rangle$ multiple vacua.

Instantons BPST '75: $|k\rangle \rightarrow |k'\rangle$

A real vacuum,

$$\ket{\textit{vacua}} = \sum_{k} e^{i heta k} = \ket{ heta}.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 $\hat{H} \ket{ heta} \propto \ket{ heta}$ (eig of Hamiltonian)

The Strong CP problem

Modified (effective) Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}GG + \theta \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}\tilde{G}G$$

 $ot\!\!\!/ \end t$ and $ot\!\!\!/ \end t$, so $ot\!\!\!/ \end t$ and $ot\!\!\!/ \end t$

$$\tilde{G}G = \partial_{\mu}K_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}C_{\nu\alpha\beta}$$

No local physics?

The energy density Callan, Dashen, Gross '76, Jackiw, Rebbi '76

$$\mathcal{E}(heta) \propto \Lambda^4_{QCD}(1-\cos heta)$$

Observed via EDMN, see Baker et al '06

$$\theta_{EXP} \le 10^{-9}$$

Puzzle: why so small?

The axion

PQ mechanism '77

Let us promote $\theta \to \frac{a(x)}{f_a}$

PQ scalar
$$\Phi = (v + h)e^{i\frac{a}{f_a}}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Implies an axion, Weinberg '78, Wilczek '78

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{4}GG + \left(heta + rac{a}{f_a}
ight)rac{g^2}{32\pi^2} ilde{G}G + rac{1}{2}(\partial a)^2$$

Zero vacuum energy ($\theta = 0$ is minimum Vafa, Witten '84), $\mathcal{E} \propto 1 - \cos\left(\frac{a}{f_a} + \theta\right)$

Also by redefinition $a \rightarrow a + c$, we get

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}GG + \left(\frac{a}{f_a}\right)\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}\tilde{G}G + \frac{1}{2}(\partial a)^2$$

Facts:

- 1) θ is a boundary term and still it is observable
- 2) we made observables independent of θ , $a \rightarrow a + c$.

In QED θ is not observable,

$$\mathcal{L}=-rac{1}{4} extsf{F}^2+ hetarac{e^2}{16\pi^2} ilde{ extsf{F}} extsf{F}$$

Because it is a boundary term. Also in QCD!

What is the difference? Why do we account for a local physics?!

3-form understanding of QCD vacua Dvali '05

Without axion,

$$\langle | \int_{p o 0} e^{ipx} ilde{G}G(x) ilde{G}G(0) |
angle \sim {\it const}$$

 $\tilde{G}G = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}C_{\nu\alpha\beta}$, we have Lüscher '78

$$FT \langle | C(x)C(0) | \rangle \propto \frac{1}{p^2} + ...$$

A (new) massless particle?

No, because massless 3-form gauge field describes a global degree freedom, like an non-propagating electric field in the Maxwell theory.

3-form and QCD

$$FT \langle | \tilde{G}G(x)\tilde{G}G(0) | \rangle \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{4} \text{ implies } \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K}(E) \text{ where,}$$
$$\frac{g^{2}}{32\pi^{2}}\tilde{G}G = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}C_{\nu\alpha\beta} = \Lambda_{QCD}^{2}E$$
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}E^{2}$$

The vacuum, $\partial_{\mu} E = 0 \rightarrow E = E_0$.

$$\mathcal{H}_{vac} \propto \frac{1}{2} \left(E + E_0 \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} E^2 + E \Lambda_{QCD}^2 \frac{E_0}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2} + \Lambda_{QCD}^4 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E_0}{\Lambda_{QCD}} \right)^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Where $\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}\tilde{G}G$, θ and $\Lambda^4_{QCD}\frac{1}{2}\theta^2$.

In this language $E_0 \leq 10^{-9} \Lambda_{QCD}^2$

What does axion really do?

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}E^2 + \frac{a}{f_a}\Lambda_{QCD}^2 E + \frac{1}{2}(\partial a)^2$$

Only $E = 0$ (!)
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial a)^2 - \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^4}{f_a^2}a^2$$

Similarly,

$${\it FT}\left<\mid C(x)C(0)\mid
ight>\propto rac{1}{p^2-m_a^2}$$

The higgs effect!, meaning

$$FT \langle | \tilde{G}G(x)\tilde{G}G(0) | \rangle_{p^2 \to 0} = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Massless 3-formMassive 3-form $FT \langle | CC | \rangle \propto \frac{1}{p^2}$ $FT \langle | CC | \rangle \propto \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2}$ 0 d.o.f.1 d.o.f. $FT \langle | \tilde{G}G(x)\tilde{G}G(0) | \rangle_{p^2 \to 0} \neq 0$ $FT \langle | \tilde{G}G(x)\tilde{G}G(0) | \rangle_{p^2 \to 0} = 0$

Solving strong CP means gapping 3-form Dvali '05, and its accompanied by a massive scalar Dvali, Jackiw, So-Young Pi '06

N-forms

Massless forms	
0-form <i>a</i>	1 d.o.f
1-form A_{μ}	2 d.o.f
2-form $B_{\mu\nu}$	1 d.o.f
3-form $C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$	0 d.o.f

We can combine N and N + 1 forms, and get massive form, with summed d.o.f. e.g. (3=2+1)

$$ilde{\mathsf{A}}_{\mu}=\mathsf{A}_{\mu}+rac{1}{m}\partial_{\mu}\mathsf{a}$$

With $A \rightarrow d\alpha$, and $a \rightarrow a - m\alpha$. We can combine $C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ and a, or $C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, we get 1 = 0 + 1 d.o.f.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

UV (in-)sensitivity of 2-form axion Dvali '05,17,22, Sakhelashvili '21

Axion + 3-form is fragile

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}E^{2} + \frac{a}{f_{a}}\Lambda_{QCD}^{2}E + \frac{1}{2}(\partial a)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}a^{2}$$

Any (!) ϕ^n implies $E_0 \neq 0$. But 2-form and 3-form combination is robust

$$\mathcal{L} = rac{1}{2}E^2 + rac{1}{2}m^2\left(C_{lphaeta\gamma} + rac{1}{m}\partial_{[lpha}B_{eta\gamma]}
ight)^2$$

We need $C \rightarrow C + d\Omega$, and $B \rightarrow B - m\Omega$. Terms B^2 are forbidden via gauge redundancy. So no shift violation, with $B \rightarrow \overline{\theta} = 0$. Any measurement of *EDMN* =new physics Dvali '22 The SM *EDMN* too small Shabalin '79 Ellis, Gaillard '79 Can we still undo the solution? YES with new physics! Extra mass term requires extra 3-form, so extra YM!

QCD with light quarks

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}GG + \bar{\psi}\left(i\not\!D - M\right)\psi$$

In the $M \to 0$, we have NG bosons, and $U(1)_A$ solves strong CP. $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \to SU(N_f)_V \times U(1)_B$ $J^{a5}_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}T^a\psi \to f_{\pi}\partial_{\mu}\pi$ and $J^5_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}\psi \to f_{\eta'}\partial_{\mu}\eta'$

BUT

$$\partial J^5 = \frac{g^2 N_f}{16\pi^2} \tilde{G}G$$

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}E^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial \eta'\right)^2 + 2N_f \Lambda_{QCD}^2 E \frac{\eta}{f_{\eta}}$

$$m_{\eta'}^2 = 2N_f \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^4}{f_{\eta'}^2}$$

The famous WV equation.

Strong-CP = η' /axion.

What about EW theory?

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{4} W_{\mu
u}^2 + heta_W rac{1}{16\pi^2} W ilde{W} + |D\phi|^2 - V(\phi)$$

Constrained instantons Anselm, Johansen '93,'94,

$$\mathrm{FT}\langle W \tilde{W}(x) | W \tilde{W}(0)
angle_{
ho
ightarrow 0} \sim m_w^4 e^{-rac{2\pi}{lpha_W}}
eq 0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

We can represent the topological susceptibility of Weak sector via,

$${
m FT} \langle W \tilde{W}(x) | W \tilde{W}(0) \rangle_{p
ightarrow 0} \sim rac{p^2}{p^2 - \mu^2}$$

Here $\mu = 0$. To gap the correlator ($\mu \neq 0$) we must have a massless scalar, or 2-form d.o.f

$$\frac{a}{f_a} \to \frac{a}{f_a} - \alpha$$
$$\theta_W \to \theta_W + \alpha$$

The matter content of the Standard Model

Add leptons and quarks. They have,

$$l o e^{ilpha} l$$

 $q o e^{irac{eta}{3}} q$

Symmetry one $\alpha = -\beta$, B - L symmetry, a good global symmetry. Symmetry two $\alpha = \beta$, B + L symmetry is anomalous, meaning

$$\theta_W \to \theta_W + \alpha$$

making,

$$\operatorname{FT}\langle W\tilde{W}(x) | W\tilde{W}(0) \rangle_{p \to 0} = 0$$

A particle must gap it!

$${
m FT} \langle W ilde W(x) | W ilde W(0)
angle_{
m
ho
ightarrow 0} \sim rac{p^2}{p^2 - m_\eta^2}$$

We predict a particle in the STANDARD MODEL! (Dvali, Kobakhidze, Sakhelashvili '24) We call it η_w

Origin of the η_w

For simplicity: ONE generation

qqql

Carries an unit B + L charge. If the Standard Model delivers particle, the above must condense. It is a t' Hooft vertex. At $p \rightarrow 0$ same point insertion, gives,

$$\langle | \, qqql \, | \rangle \neq 0$$

This is in full agreement with the index theorem,

$$\Delta Q_{B+L} = \int \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \tilde{W} W$$

An explicit computation proves the condensate. For simplicity: ONE generation, and ONE color

 $\langle | ql | \rangle \neq 0$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Condensate and zero modes 1

 $\Psi = (\psi, \phi)^{\mathrm{T}}$ Anselm, Johansen '93,94, where

$$\psi = q_L + \ell_R^c$$
, $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ d_R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_L^c \\ -\nu_L^c \end{pmatrix}$.

The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}=ar{\Psi}\hat{\mathcal{D}}\Psi$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathcal{D}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} -i\not{D} & i\epsilon M_{\ell}^{*}\epsilon P_{L} - iM_{q}P_{R} \\ i\epsilon M_{\ell}^{\mathrm{T}}\epsilon P_{R} - iM_{q}^{\dagger}P_{L} & -i\not{\partial} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \Psi \to \mathrm{e}^{i\alpha\Gamma_{5}/2}\Psi \ , \ \Psi^{\dagger} \to \Psi^{\dagger}\mathrm{e}^{i\alpha\Gamma_{5}/2} \ , \\ & \Gamma_{5} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\gamma_{5}, \ -\gamma_{5}\right). \end{split}$$

(ロ) (型) (E) (E) (E) (O)(C)

Condensate and zero modes 2

Lets add μ , breaking B + L,

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{D}}+i\mu} = \frac{P_0}{i\mu} + \Delta - i\mu\Delta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$$
$$\langle x|(\hat{\mathcal{D}}+i\mu)^{-1}|x\rangle = \frac{P_0(x-z)}{i\mu}$$

Then,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle \Psi^{\dagger}(x)\Psi(x)\rangle & = & \lim_{\mu\to 0} \int \frac{d^4zd\rho}{\rho^5} \ D(\rho)\langle x| \left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}+i\mu\right)^{-1} |x\rangle \\ \\ & \simeq & -i\nu^3 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\right)^4 \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}} \end{array}$$

$$D(\rho) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(\rho)}\right)^4 e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(\rho)} - 2\pi^2 v^2 \rho^2} \rho \mu$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

η_w as a Godlstone

Having a condensate means,

$$J^{B+L}_{\mu} = f_{\eta_{\mathsf{w}}} \partial_{\mu} \eta_{\mathsf{w}}$$

Simultaneously,

$$\partial J^{B+L} = \frac{g^2 N_f}{16\pi^2} \tilde{W} W$$

We have topological susceptibility.

Which means we combine η_w Goldstone and 3-form. We must get one massive d.o.f !

So, $\eta_{\rm W}$ gets mass, and its given via QCD WV like relation*,

$$m_{\eta_w}^2 \sim rac{FT \left\langle \mid \tilde{W}W(x)\tilde{W}W(0) \mid
ight
angle_{p^2
ightarrow 0} (no \ fermions)}{f_{\eta_w}^2}$$

 f_{η_w} is unknown

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ▲□ ◆ ��や

Good vs Bad quality B+L

We consider good quality $B + L \rightarrow \theta_W$ unphysical Explicit operators, break B + L

 $\frac{1}{M^2}qqql$

They do not jeopardize η_w 's existence, corrections are $\frac{m_w^2}{M^2}$.

But, we can't rotate θ_W away.



Good vs Bad quality B+L

We consider good quality $B + L \rightarrow \theta_W$ unphysical Explicit operators, break B + L

 $\frac{1}{M^2}qqql$

They do not jeopardize η_w 's existence, corrections are $\frac{m_w^2}{M^2}$.

But, we can't rotate θ_W away.

We can add

$$|\Phi|e^{i\frac{a}{f_a}}qqql,$$

ALP making B + L symmetry good, or $B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \theta_w$ unphysical.

With gravity η_w has (slightly) admixture with EW axion. This is like η' in the case of $m_{\mu} \neq 0$. It is mixed with the QCD axion. - ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ - 4 □ Let consider only classical gravity, and some 3 - formFor a finite M_{pl} we can not decouple 3-form. This implies, if topological susceptibility is zero, we must have a massive particle,

$$\operatorname{FT}\langle F\tilde{F}(x) \ F\tilde{F}(0)\rangle_{p^2\to 0} = \rho(0)\frac{p^2}{p^2-\mu^2}$$

Or in other words $\rho(0) \neq 0$. If $FT \langle |\tilde{F}F(x)\tilde{F}F(0)| \rangle_{p^2 \to 0} = 0$, then $\mu \neq 0$. Thus gravity provides another evidence for η_w

Due to Minkowski criteria Dvali '22 in gravity θ -vacuum should be eliminated $FT \langle | \tilde{W}W(x)\tilde{W}W(0) | \rangle_{p^2 \to 0} = 0$. Hence, B + L must be exact, or we should introduce $B_{\mu\nu}^W$.

Conclusions

- 1. Solving CP problems means, $FT \langle | \tilde{F}F(x)\tilde{F}F(0) | \rangle_{p^2 \to 0} = 0$, meaning higgsing 3-form
- 2. We need Goldstone, or protected 2-form
- 3. The gauge formulation of axion predicts $\bar{\theta} = 0$
- 4. We argue about existence of η_w
- 5. All theta CP problems should be exactly solved
- 6. The θ_W should be unphysical hence, a good B + L symmetry / $B_{\mu\nu}$ realised as η_w .

Conclusions

- 1. Solving CP problems means, $FT \langle | \tilde{F}F(x)\tilde{F}F(0) | \rangle_{p^2 \to 0} = 0$, meaning higgsing 3-form
- 2. We need Goldstone, or protected 2-form
- 3. The gauge formulation of axion predicts $\bar{\theta} = 0$
- 4. We argue about existence of η_w
- 5. All theta CP problems should be exactly solved
- 6. The θ_W should be unphysical hence, a good B + L symmetry / $B_{\mu\nu}$ realised as η_w .

Thank you!