# The QCD Transition in the Early Universe

### Gdr-QCD/DPhN seminar, Saclay, December 12th 2024



**SERVICE DE LA SCIENCE** 



### Outline

- Phase diagram and thermodynamics of QCD
- The early universe at the QCD epoch
	- Was the temperature ever  $T \gtrsim 200$  MeV?
	- Trajectories in the QCD phase diagram in standard and nonstandard scenarios

• A partial and probably biased perspective. My apologies for all relevant work I may have missed

# The QCD phase diagram



# The QCD phase diagram







- Most solid theory knowledge at  $\mu_B \approx 0$  (lattice QCD, mostly)
- Region explored by highest-  $\overline{s_{\rm NN}}$  heavy-ion collisions (LHC, 200 AGeV RHIC)
- $\mu_B \approx 0$  is also the scenario in standard cosmology (more later)

#### The QCD phase diagram *The QCD phase diagram and Beam Energy Scan physics* 3





#### The QCD transition at vanishing  $\mu_B$ 14 *H.-T. Ding, F. Karsch and S. Mukherjee*



### $\blacksquare$  The QCD transition at vanishing  $\mu_B$ . Detransition at vanishing  $\mu_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B}$  at next to leading  $\mu_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B}$









- **Center symmetry** in the Lagrangian **explicitly** broken by dynamical quarks: no **deconfinement phase transition** but **Crossover** 
	- No confinement as in "infinite energy to break apart hadron" in real QCD because of quark-induced string breaking, so no phase transition  $T_{\text{N}}$  is the calculation of the calculations in the control definition  $\frac{1}{2}$ *a* dinne bicannig, so no
- Would-be order parameter: Polyakov loop ⟨Tr *L*⟩  $T$  and  $T$  are a very static gauge is the static gauge is the fact that the  $T$  very simple  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  are  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  are  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  are  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T$  and  $L = P \exp$  $\bigg)$ *ig*  $\int_{0}^{\beta}$ 0  $d\tau A^0(\tau,{\bf x})$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ = exp(*ig*β*A*<sup>0</sup>

### $T$  ine decontinement frar The deconfinement transition at vanishing  $\mu_B$







7



- Lots of intricacies related to the relative strength of the axial anomaly
- Would-be order parameter: chiral condensate  $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$

# The QCD transition at vanishing  $\mu_B$

• **Chiral symmetry** in the Lagrangian **explicitly** broken by nonzero quark masses: no **chiral phase transition**

$$
f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 = 1/2(m_u+m_d)\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle
$$

#### The chiral transition at vanishing  $\mu_B$ renormalized Polyakov loop as a function of the temperature. In both figures, the dilection of the dilection o<br>In both figures, the division of the division action in the chird fransition at vanishing.

• Chiral symmetry in the Lagrangian **explicitly** broken by nonzero quark ! masses: no **chiral phase transition** p<br>1 .<br>1  $\cdot$   $\bf{I}$  $\mathbf{h}$ "<br>" non !<br>!<br>!  $\overline{D}$ n the Lagrangia<br>y nonzero quar licitly **b**  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ Cittian Sy *NSes: 1 Nt*"10

$$
f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 = 1/2(m_u+m_d)\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle
$$

- Lots of intricacies related to the relative strength of the axial anomaly  $\overline{a}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\overline{\textsf{IC}}$  $\lfloor$  !  $\mathbf{f}$  $\mathbf{I}$ .<br>C:  $\overline{a}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{z}$
- Would-be order parameter: chiral condensate  $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$  $11d 1000$  condensate  $\langle \psi \psi \rangle$



stout: Borsanyi *et al* **1309.5258** HISQ: HOTQCD collab. **14076387** Fig. 10. (Comparison of the trace and th

# **The QCD EoS at vanishing**  $\mu_B$



• In the non-interacting limit we have a Stefan-Boltzmann form

### The QCD EoS at vanishing  $\mu_B$ 9

#### with



stout: Borsanyi *et al* 1309.5258 HISQ: HOTQCD collab. 14076387  $e^{\frac{1}{2}(\theta + \theta)}$ . Note that the error bands shown here do not include the 2% scale error. The right hand panel shown here do not include the 2% scale error. The right hand panel shows have been defined by a shown hand pa error is included in the error bands. The dark lines show the prediction of the HRG model. The horizontal line at 95⇡<sup>2</sup>*/*60

- $N_{\rm dof} = 2 \times 8 + \frac{7}{8}$ 8  $\times$  2  $\times$  2  $\times$  3  $\times$  3  $=$  47.5
- Deep in the QGP phase bulk thermodynamics is never  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  far from the ideal gas. In  $\mathcal{N}=4$  AdS/CFT at infinite coupling *s* = 3/4*s*<sub>non−int</sub> Gubser Klebanov Polyakov **hep-th/9802109**

$$
\varepsilon \equiv U/V \stackrel{\text{non-int}}{=} \frac{N_{\text{dof}}\pi^2}{30} \frac{k_{\text{b}}^4}{(\hbar c)^3} T^4
$$







## The QCD EoS at vanishing  $\mu_B$



pQCD review: JG Kurkela Strickland Vuorinen Phys. Rep. 880 (2020) Lattice: Budapest-Wuppertal, Borsanyi et al JHEP1011 (2010)





### The QCD phase diagram at finite  $\mu_B$ *The QCD phase diagram at zero and small baryon density* Owe Philipsen

∞



- 
- 
- A **critical point** and a **firstorder PT** expected to emerge at finite *μB*
- Lattice QCD in its current numerical form hampered by a *sign problem*. Employ effective models or functional methods
- Currently searched in heavyion collisions at lower energies, keywords: fluctuations, correlations, cumulants, …







emerge



# The QCD phase diagram at finite  $\mu_B$













### Including the possibility that the QCD critical point does not exist at all from V. Vovchenko's slides at Quark Matter 2023

de Forcrand, Philipsen, JHEP 01, 077 (2007); VV, Steinheimer, Philipsen, Stoecker, PRD 97, 114030 (2018)

15

### The QCD phase diagram at finite  $\mu_B$ **Critical point predictions from theory as of previous QM**



### Including the possibility that the QCD critical point does not exist at all from V. Vovchenko's slides at Quark Matter 2023

de Forcrand, Philipsen, JHEP 01, 077 (2007); VV, Steinheimer, Philipsen, Stoecker, PRD 97, 114030 (2018)



15

# QCD and the standard cosmological history

- Does the universe go through  $T \sim 150$  MeV?
- If so, at which values of  $\mu_B$ ?





### Reheating after inflation





## The Early Universe

- Long thermal history, but how long?
- Reheating at the earliest at  $T \lesssim 10^{16}$ GeV from Planck constraints
- Reheating at the latest at  $T \gtrsim 4 \text{ MeV}$ for Big Bang Nucleosynthesis Hannestad **astro-ph/0403291**

### Big Bang Nucleosynthesis 4 *24. Big Bang Nucleosynthesis*



- Earliest data point: Big Bang Nucleosynthesis. Successful prediction (except for lithium) of lightnuclei abundance from equilibrium plasma at sub-MeV *T*
- It tells us that at sub-MeV temperatures the baryon density was in excellent agreement with that at the much later CMB epoch
- $\Omega_b h^2 \approx 0.022$  means "5% baryonic matter" or 6 10-10 baryons per (CMB) photon
- Whatever creates the baryon asymmetry in the universe, it must do it before

## Before Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

### • What happens before BBN? We need to address baryogenesis and dark matter production, which strongly suggest (much) higher reheating

temperatures

#### $\blacksquare$  These determine the eective number of degrees of the Standard Model at a certain  $\blacksquare$ Before Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

• The Hubble rate is proportional to the energy density  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $8π*e*$  $3m_\mathsf{P}^2$ Pl  $\sim$  $T^2$  $m_{\rm Pl}$ **Constraining the energy density**  $H = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi e}{r^2}} \sim \frac{T^2}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}$  Laine Meyer (2015)

 $T \sim 10^{-19}$  Very different from heavy-ion collisions Standard Model plans into the model plans into account interaction of the second interaction of the second interactions between the second interactions between the second interactions between the second interactions betwee particles of the both perturbative and lattitude system. • At  $T \approx 200$  MeV,  $H/T \sim 10^{-19}$ . Very different from heavy-ion collisions,  $T$  determine the extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  at a certain  $\mathcal{L}$  certain  $\mathcal{L}$ early universe is an effectively static and infinite system.







#### $\blacksquare$  These determine the eective number of degrees of the Standard Model at a certain  $\blacksquare$ Before Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

• The Hubble rate is proportional to the energy density  $H = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi e}{3m^2}} \sim \frac{T^2}{\sqrt{2m}}$  Laine Meyer (2015)  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $8π*e*$  $3m_\mathsf{P}^2$ Pl  $\sim$  $T^2$  $m_{\rm Pl}$ 



particles, with both perturbative and lattice methods [24]. • And baryogenesis? Needs BSM but SM can te  $T_{\text{tot}}$  determine the eective number of  $\sigma$ • And baryogenesis? Needs BSM, but SM can tell us something about  $μ_B$ at the QCD epoch



Jeeds BSM but SM can tell us something about u  $S_{S}$  stating the model of the set of the set of the set of  $\mu$ 



- Need to satisfy Sakharov's conditions
	- B violation
	- C and CP violation
	- Deviations from thermal equilibrium





### B violation in the SM

- Need to satisfy Sakharov's conditions
	- B violation
	- C and CP violation
	- Deviations from thermal equilibrium
- Feynman rules always conserve B, but sphaleron processes **violate B+L and conserve B-L**  Non-perturbative solutions, in equilibrium at *T>T*<sub>EW</sub>, exponentially suppressed below. Decouple at *T*~130 GeV D'Onofrio Rummukainen Tranberg **PRL113** (2014) ressed below. Decouple at T / GeV  $\mathbf C$  , the Higgs expectation value as a function  $\mathbf C$

• Bubble dynamics would also create a gravitational wave signature, potentially  $\blacksquare$ miso create a gravitational wave signa

- Need to satisfy Sakharov's conditions
	- B violation
	- C and CP violation
	- Deviations from thermal equilibrium
- The CKM phase violates CP
- A strong first order electroweak phase transition is needed. Sphaleron rate
- observable by LISA

suppressed in bubbles of the broken phase nucleating within the symmetric phase Figure 4: The figure shows the thermal eective Higgs potential *V<sup>T</sup>* (*"*) at dierent temper-Jweak phase transition is needed. 5phalefon rate lying minimum develops. At the critical temperature *T<sup>c</sup>* (green) both minima are degener-

**SciPost Physics Lecture Notes Submission**



## Electroweak baryogenesis

• Very active community effort in BSM extensions with EW phase transitions, GWs

## **Electroweak baryogenesis**

- Need to satisfy Sakharov's conditions
	- B violation
	- C and CP violation
	- Deviations from thermal equilibrium
- The CKM phase violates CP
- 
- and baryogenesis in the wave equation for the timelike component of the gauge field *A*0) and the corresponding



#### **Temperature**

• A strong first order electroweak phase transition is needed. Sphaleron rate suppressed in bubbles of the broken phase nucleating within the symmetric phase Standard Model undergoes a first-order phase transition. For larger Higgs masses, there is s of the proken phase nucleating within the symmetric phase  $\,$ 



- Need to satisfy Sakharov's conditions
	- B violation
	- L, C and CP violation: extend SM with massive right-handed neutrinos, with CP phases in Yukawa couplings to leptons and Higgs
	- Deviations from thermal equilibrium
		- In (possibly resonant) decays after freeze-out for  $M \gg T_{EW}$ Fukugita Yanagida **PLB174** (1986)
		- Oscillating during freeze-in production before equilibrium Akhmedov Rubakov Smirnov **PRL81** (1998)





# Other testable (low-energy) ideas

- Post-sphaleron baryogenesis through
	- Higher-dimension  $|\Delta B| = 2$  operators
	- massive scalar that later decays to produce  $n_B$

brief Snowmass review in Barrow *et al* **2203.07059**

• Mesogenesis: matter-dominated universe at the QCD epoch through a

- Assume baryogenesis somehow takes place before sphaleron freeze out
- At  $T_B > 130$  GeV  $n_B/s$  is then fixed to today's value (8.7 10<sup>-11</sup>). Hubble expansion conserves entropy *S* and thus any *number density*/*entropy density s*

## Baryogenesis: intermediate summary



• hypercharge neutrality of the plasma.  $C_{\text{shal}}^{\text{SM}} = 28/51$  (at tree level) *a a*

- In the standard scenario we thus have that  $n_B/s$  and  $n_L/s$  are very small constants at the QCD epoch. But what about the chemical potentials?
- $m_B \neq -\sum n_{L_a}$  but rather  $n_B = -C_{\text{sphal}} \sum n_{L_a'}$  with  $C_{\text{sphal}}$   $\mathcal{O}(1)$  accounting for  $C_{\text{snh}}^{\text{SM}}$  $sphal$  = 28/51

## Baryogenesis: intermediate summary

- Assume baryogenesis somehow takes place before sphaleron freeze out
- At  $T_B > 130$  GeV  $n_B/s$  is then fixed to today's value (8.7 10<sup>-11</sup>). Hubble expansion conserves entropy *S* and thus any *number density*/*entropy density s*
- Sphalerons will equilibrate B+L (conserving B-L)



- Reminder  $n_i = \partial p / \partial_{\mu_i}$   $p^{\text{ideal}} = \sum_i$ *i*
- What are the  $\mu_i$ ? Baryon and lepton chemical potentials only?
- In EM any conductor is charged on the boundary only and has a non-zero electrostatic potential in the bulk

### Chemical potentials, densities and pressure

$$
\int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^4}{6\pi^2 E_p} \frac{1}{\exp[(E_p \mp \mu_i)/T] \pm 1}
$$



- Reminder  $n_i = \partial p / \partial_{\mu_i}$   $p^{\text{ideal}} = \sum_i$ *i*
- What are the  $\mu_i$ ? Baryon and lepton chemical potentials only?
- In EM any conductor is charged on the boundary only and has a non-zero electrostatic potential in the bulk
- A plasma such as in the Early Universe must behave as the bulk of our conductor
- We then have nonzero charge chemical potentials, e.g.  $\mu_Y = g_1 \langle B^0 \rangle$ , which correspond to the "electrostatic" potential  $\mu_Y = g_1 \langle B^0 \rangle$ ⟩

### Chemical potentials, densities and pressure

$$
\int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^4}{6\pi^2 E_p} \frac{1}{\exp[(E_p \mp \mu_i)/T] \pm 1}
$$



• By setting charge chemical potentials so that corresponding charge densities

• Important consequence: a vanishing  $n_B$  does not imply  $\mu_B = 0$ , as charge gets

- vanish we fix charge neutrality of the bulk
- redistributed among carriers

### Chemical potentials, densities and pressure

- Reminder  $n_i = \partial p / \partial_{\mu_i}$   $p^{\text{ideal}} = \sum_i$ *i*
- E.g.  $\mu_{Q_L} = \mu_B/3 + \mu_Y/6$ ,  $\mu_{e_R} = \mu_1 \mu_Y$

$$
p_{\rm SM}^{\rm ideal}(T>T_{\rm EW}) = \frac{106.75T^4}{90\pi^2} + \frac{T^2}{6} \left[ 2\mu_B^2 + 2\mu_Y\mu_B + \sum_a \left( \frac{3}{2}\mu_a^2 - 2\mu_Y\mu_a \right) + \frac{11}{2}\mu_Y^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\mu^4)
$$

$$
\int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^4}{6\pi^2 E_p} \frac{1}{\exp[(E_p \mp \mu_i)/T] \pm 1}
$$





# $\mu_B$  in the standard scenario





# $\mu_B$  in the standard scenario





# $\mu_R$  in not-so-standard scenarios

- What could we do to turn the table?
	- Exploit potentially large lepton densities
	- Invoke cosmological magnetic fields or vorticities at the QCD epoch, which can alter the phase diagram

•

…

• Reminder: obey all constraints from BBN, CMB, particle and nuclear physics,







• Main idea: total lepton density  $n_L$  poorly constrained (can "hide" in neutrinos) Oldengott Schwarz **1706.01705** from Planck data

• There can be scenarios where a significant  $n<sub>L</sub>$  is generated post-sphaleron,

# $\mu_R$  from large lepton densities

- *nL s*  $< 0.012$
- evading  $n_L \sim n_B$ . For instance in leptogenesis with GeV-scale right-handed neutrinos

$$
\left| \frac{n_L}{s} \right| < 0.012 \text{ means e.g. } |n_{L_1}| \gg |n_{L_1} + n_{L_2} + n_{L_3}| < 0.012s \text{ is possible}
$$

Schwarz Stuke **0906.3434** Wygas Oldengott Bödeker Schwarz **1807.10815 2009.00036**  Gao Oldengott **2106.11991**



• We can get to 2ish orders of magnitude below the critical-point region

• 
$$
l \equiv n_L / s
$$
 up to  
maximum value with  
 $n_L = n_L / 3$ 

### $\mu_{B}$  from large lepton densities  $\qquad \qquad$ -μ[]



Wygas Oldengott Bödeker Schwarz **1807.10815**

 $\mu_B[\mathrm{MeV}]$ 

# $\mu$ <sub>B</sub> from large lepton densities

- Exploiting flavour asymmetries makes larger μ<sub>*B*</sub> possible
- Second-order Taylor expansion becomes unreliable for larger lepton flavor asymmetries  $p(T, \mu) = p(T,0) + \mu_a \chi_{ab} \mu_b + O(\mu^4)$



200

 $\vdash$ 

300

400

500



[MeV ]

Wygas Oldengott Bödeker Schwarz **2009.00036**

# $\mu_B$  from large lepton densities



120



Gao Oldengott **2106.11991**

- A very large lepton asymmetry is generated early on, in the would-be symmetric phase of the SM. A sufficiently large asymmetry can trigger the breaking of the EW symmetry and thereby suppress sphalerons McDonald **hep-ph/9908300** March-Russel *et al* **hep-ph/9908396** Barenboim Park **1703.08258**
- Small B asymmetry from large L asymmetry
- Surviving large L can induce a QCD phase transition. However, some extra entropy injection is needed to get baryogenesis and the QCD transition simultaneously while obeying CMB and BBN constraints Gao Harz Hati Lu Oldengott White **2309.00672 2407.17549**

# *μ* from large lepton densities: a model *<sup>B</sup>*

- QCD phase diagram: crossover at  $\mu_B \ll T$  from QGP to hadronic phase, likely critical point and first order PT for  $\mu_B > T \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$
- Vanilla cosmology with SM (EW+QCD) particle physics: no EW phase transition, no QCD phase transition
- Addressing baryon asymmetry and/or dark matter requires BSM physics which can induce transitions, for instance through large lepton densities at the QCD epoch

### Summary

### Backup





• State of the art for the SM at  $M_H$ =125 GeV. Lattice D'Onofrio Rummukainen (2015), pert



ing the physics at soft momentum scales k ∞ g2T non-2002 at soft momentum scales k ∞ g2T non-2002 at soft momen<br>The physics at soft momentum scales k ∞ g2T non-2002 at soft momentum scales at soft momentum scales at soft **Perturbative Match between the Excellent match between the lattice in the lattice winds** a valit the form perturbative will condensation Thermodynamics at the 1\% level  $\frac{1}{1}$  the sphaleron rate, which is in esternal rate, which is in esternal rate, which is in esternal rate, which is in example,  $\frac{1}{1}$ gas result  $e=106.75 \pi^2$  $\mathcal{O}$  define the pseudocritical temperature by the maximum temperature by the max-Thermodynamics at the  $1\%$  level. Below the ideal  $JW$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 2 gas result *e*=106.75 π2/30 *T*4≈35.1 *T*<sup>4</sup> • Narrow non-perturbative window for the SM.

#### **TE** T=170 140 145 150 155 160 165 170 2 The EW transition

State of the art for the SM at  $M_H$ = perature values. The statistical errors are too small to be thy Laine.  $\mathsf{R}$ thy Laine Meyer (2015)

 $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$ 





• State of the art for the SM at  $M_H$ =125 GeV. Lattice D'Onofrio Rummukainen (2015), pert



#### **TE** T=170 140 145 150 155 160 165 170 2 The EW transition

State of the art for the SM at  $M_H$ = perature values. The statistical errors are too small to be thy Laine.  $\mathsf{R}$ thy Laine Meyer (2015)

> perturbative research in adaptir very active rebearen in aaup en measurements or performing  $\epsilon$  $\Gamma$  the sphaleron rate, which is in essence  $\Gamma$ scenarios who promise  $\mathbf{H}$  define the pseudocritical temperature by the maximum define temperature by the maximum define temperature by the maximum defined on  $\mathbf{H}$ signatures 0.328 measurements or performing new ones for BSM dCt.  $\mathbf{r}$ s scenarios who promise phase transitions and GW  $14/3$ • Very active research in adapting existing lattice 44

 $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$  0.15  $0.05$ 



### The QCD transition



Very different from QCD transition: here all but a handful of dofs are weakly-coupled

Review: JG Kurkela Strickland Vuorinen Phys. Rep. 880 (2020) Lattice: Budapest-Wuppertal, Borsanyi et al JHEP1011 (2010)

### A way to large lepton asymmetries?

• Right-handed neutrino interaction rate  $\Gamma$  for a specific seesaw parametrisation



