Analysis of beam data taken with the HA-TPCs and iterative logQ parameterization





Ulysse VIRGINET - LPNHE Neutrino Group Meeting - Wednesday December 4th 2024



 \sim

The logQ method

- Method for reconstructing the track position within a pad using the ln of the amplitude ratio of waveforms from pads within the same clust the bottom right).
- The α_{ij} and β_{ij} parameters depend on the angle of the track and the drift distance of the ionization electrons
- The α_{ij} and β_{ij} parameters were first obtained using the DESY 2021 test beam data, before that I developped an app to iteratively tune them on cosmics and beam data taken by the HA-TPCs at J-PARC









Iterative parameterization of logQ

- Iteration 0 is performed using the charge barycenter in each cluster (a less precise method but without parameterization bias).
- Subsequent iterations use the parameters obtained from the previous iteration.







Ulysse VIRGINET - LPNHE Neutrino Group Meeting - Wednesday December 4th 2024

History of logQ iterative parameterization

- Iterative parameterization for vertical tracks (horizontal clusters)
 - Using cosmics data taken by Bottom HAT in December 2023 (3 ranges) and then 10 ranges of drift), no improvement when separating between ERAMs
 - When analyzing cosmics data taken by both HATs in May 2024, it was observed that spatial resolution in Top HAT was worse, especially for ERAMs with a RC value far from Bottom HAT mean RC value
 - Iterative parameterization done for 10 ranges of drift distance and separated between the 32 ERAMs using cosmics data taken by both HATs in May 2024
- Iterative parameterization for horizontal tracks (vertical clusters)
 - Iterative parameterization done for 10 ranges of drift distance and separated between the 32 ERAMs using beam data taken by both HATs in June 2024
- Iterative parameterization for diagonal tracks (diagonal clusters)
 - Iterative parameterization done for 10 ranges of drift distance and separated between the 32 ERAMs using beam data taken by both HATs in June 2024







Ulysse VIRGINET - LPNHE Neutrino Group Meeting - Wednesday December 4th 2024



Analysis performed

- CCIN2P3 was in maintenance, so it was not possible to run on a large statistics • I was able to analyze files nd280_00016922_0000.daq.mid.gz and
- nd280_00016922_0001.daq.mid.gz
- These are beam data taken with HAT in global DAQ in June 2024 and they contain 37554 reconstructed tracks in the HATs in total
- Ran hatRecon with and without using iterative logQ parameterization
- Very basic comparison of spatial resolution vs track angle in the 2 configurations.







Ulysse VIRGINET - LPNHE Neutrino Group Meeting - Wednesday December 4th 2024

Residuals vs track angle

• Using DESY 2021 parameters

Resolution vs Angle







Ulysse VIRGINET - LPNHE Neutrino Group Meeting - Wednesday December 4th 2024





• Using tuned parameters

Resolution vs Angle





Spatial resolution vs track angle

- Took the sigma value of the gaus fit performed on the residuals distribution for each bin in angle
- Iteratively obtained logQ parameters give better spatial resolution everywhere except between 30 and 35°
- But should reproduce it with more statistics to confirm this apparent behavior







Ulysse VIRGINET - LPNHE Neutrino Group Meeting - Wednesday December 4th 2024



Summary

- regions of drift, different columns and rows of pads in a given ERAM... soon
- Should compare data and MC soon
- instead of beam data in order to see if the parameterization is improved s_{000}
- In general using the iterative logQ parameters improve the results
- different configurations





Ulysse VIRGINET - LPNHE Neutrino Group Meeting - Wednesday December 4th 2024



• Should do this study with more statistics, separated betwwen the different ERAMs and different

• Now that I have the app to tune the parameters for diagonal clusters, I can try it on cosmics data

• But as I mentionned the statistics I have is low, so should do that with more stats and more





