

Flavour physics and tests of fundamental interactions

GT2 – Symposium ESPPU

Yasmine Amhis, Giulio Dujany, Christopher Smith

20 January 2025

The intensity frontier



Probe New Physics pushing the experiment's luminosity rather than the energy scale

Strategy:

- Look for deviations from theory predictions in precise measurements of SM processes
- Search for hugely suppressed or forbidden processes in SM

Require large datasets and precise measurements



Why the flavour sector

- Majority of SM parameters
- Unexplained patterns and hierarchies in masses and CKM elements
- Accidental symmetries (baryon and lepton numbers)
- Indirect measurements are powerful prediction and discovery tool
 - Predicted half the quarks before their direct discovery!
 - Strong constraints on masses of c, t, H before their discovery



Link to open questions

- Matter/antimatter imbalance
- Strong CP problem
- Dark matter

4/20

Inputs to GT2

- 11 direct contributions on esppu.in2p3.fr about
 - Current and proposed future experiments (Belle II, 0 LHCb, n2EDM, GrAHal, PIONEER)
 - Precision measurements at FCC-ee \bigcirc
 - Theory (Phenomenology and lattice QCD) Ο
 - Challenges in an heterogeneous computing 0 environment with exabytes of data
 - New-physics searches with radioactive ions beams Ο
- Dedicated discussion at GDR-InF annual workshop (indico.in2p3.fr/event/33443/)





UCLab

Yasmine

INTENSITY frontier

Two-pillars strategy

- Big experiments with a large physics program
- Smaller experiments dedicated to
 - Measurement of crucial observables (EDM, g-2, LFV experiments)
 - Dedicated searches for long-lived particles (Axion, ALPs, ...)







Big experiments with a large physics program

Big experiments with a large physics program





- Hermetic detector
 - Allow inclusive and missing energy analyses
- Asymmetric e⁺e⁻ collider at Y(4S)
 - Clean environment
 - Entangled B pair
 - ~100% trigger efficiency for BB events
 - Similar BB, cc and TT production x-section
 - Good efficiency for photons and neutral particles





INTENSITY

frontier

- General purpose detector in the forward region
- pp collisions
 - Large bb and cc production cross section
 - All B-hadron produced
 - Large boost
- Complementary program of QCD and EW measurements
- Fully software trigger since Run 3

Main physics interests

- 1. CP violation and unitary triangle
- 2 Rare B decays sensitive to New Physics
- 3 Tests of lepton flavour violation and lepton flavour universality violation
- Charm and tau physics 4.
- 5. Spectroscopy
- 6 . . .



6.0

د. 5.5

\$ 5.0

4.5

8 4.0

3.5

3.0

2.5

2.0

A₆(5920)⁰ A₁(5912)⁰

P.(4450)*



PRL 131 051803



arXiv:2411.18639



PRD 108 032006

2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 koppenburg.ch/particles

Date of arXiv submission

8/20

Upgrade opportunities

- frontier
- Without upgragrades expect 50 fb⁻¹ for LHCb (2033) and 5-10 ab⁻¹ for Belle II (2032)
- Most of key observables still statistically limited
- Calls for larger datasets
 - Increase instantaneous luminosity
 - Upgrade detectors and acquisition systems to cope with increased luminosity



- Goal: 50 ab⁻¹
- When: ~ 2032
- More robust against beam background
- Improved performances
- Possibly polarised e⁻ beam for precise measurements of electroweak and τ parameters



- Goal: 300 fb⁻¹
- When: 2034 (Long Shutdown 4), some already in 2027 (Long Shutdown 3)
- Precise timing and high granularity essential to reduce pileup
- Heterogeneous trigger
- Probe a 90% higher new physics mass scale for fixed couplings

Computing challenges





- Flavour physics at the forefront of the Exascale computing
- Need for highly efficient and energy-saving heterogeneous high performance computing
- Need for interdisciplinary teams of physicists and computer engineers

Longer term wish: Tera-Z + Giga-W on a e⁺e⁻ machine with a suitable detector



- A Tera-Z factory has several advantages of LHCb and Belle II combined
- W⁺W⁻ run allows direct access to CKM elements

Attribute	$\Upsilon(4S)$	pp	Z^0
All hadron species		\checkmark	1
High boost		\checkmark	1
Enormous production cross-section		1	
Negligible trigger losses	1		1
Low backgrounds	1		1
Initial energy constraint	1		(\checkmark)

Eur.Phys.J.Plus 136 (2021) 8, 837

- Preferred option is a circular e⁺e⁻ collider
 - A smaller number of Z from a linear collider will clearly provide less opportunities for World-leading measurements (considering the available samples after Belle II and LHCb)
 - Multiple collision points allow for an experiment optimized for the needs of flavour physics

Opportunities at Tera-Z + Giga-W

- Improved precision on CKM parameters (angles and sides Unitarity triangle, Φ_s ; direct access to V_{cb} from W decays)
- Unique sensitivity to very rare FCNC B decays (B_(s) \rightarrow µµ, B_s \rightarrow TT, b \rightarrow s(d)II, b \rightarrow s(d)TT, b \rightarrow s(d)UÚ)́ and challenging B_c decays (B_c $\rightarrow \mu \nu$, B_c $\rightarrow \tau \nu$) sensitive to possible new physics
- Improve measurement of T properties and reach for LFV decays
- Opportunities in charm physics, heavy flavour spectroscopy





A suitable detector for flavour physics



- Good momentum resolution down to 100 MeV
- Good vertex resolution to separate secondary vertices and perform time-dependent measurements
- pion/kaon/proton separation across full kinematical range
- Electromagnetic calorimeter with good resolution and granularity (transverse and longitudinal) down to low energies
- Good efficiency and precision in reconstructing K_s and Λ





CP violation: Very constrained in the SM : - Only one phase in the CKM matrix,

- Strong CP violation is (puzzlingly) tiny.

Tiny EDMs (leptons, nucleons, atomic), nuclear CP asymmetries,... Does not suffice to induce the baryon asymmetry of the universe,



Most BSM bring many new CP-violating phases and break this pattern.

Scales up to O(100 TeV) can be probed.

n2EDM@PSI for the neutron EDM, Ultra cold neutrons, comagnetometer.



DESIR@GANIL for asymmetries, Beta decay spectra, radioactive ion beams.



Lepton flavor violation: In the SM, charged leptonic flavors appear essentially conserved.

Many tests using B, D, K, τ decays + dedicated experiments for μ .

COMET experiment (COherent Muon to Electron Transition) at JPARC





INTENSITY

frontier

Many other initiatives (conversion, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow eee$)

Lepton flavor universality: In the SM, gauge interactions do not care about flavors.

Again, many tests both heavy meson decay, or directly via EW-scale colliders.

Dedicated experiment for the pion:
$$\frac{\pi \to \mu \nu}{\pi \to e \nu}$$
 @ PHOVEER

Vud: It is the only 'naturally occurring' CKM element, best measured at low energy

Precise test of the unitarity of CKM: $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1$.

Nuclear (superallowed):



Pion decay $\pi \rightarrow \ell_{V}$:



INTENSITY

frontier

Baryon and lepton numbers: Accidentally but exactly conserved in the SM Lagrangian.

	ΔB	ΔL
Proton decay	-1	±1,±3
0νββ	0	±2
$n-\overline{n}$ oscillations	±2	0
Dinucleon trans.	-2	X

These are flavored transitions,

but mostly addressed by the GT3-neutrinos.



New light particle:Are we sure nothing else exists at low energy?Dark matter is presumably made of particles!

A whole dark sector may exist with dark fermions, photons, (pseudo)scalars,...

Generalist searches (e.g. beam dump, nuclear recoil, colliders, ...)

Precision observables: $\frac{1}{(many TeV)^n} \rightarrow \frac{(very \, small)}{(\leq GeV)^n}$

Dielectric disks for interference + resonance

B RF resonant cavity in a large 42T hybrid magnet @LNCMI

Lattice QCD



Most flavor observables involve light hadrons:



Challenges to attain the percent level accuracy:

Needs to control QED and $m_u \neq m_d$ effects, (directly on fine lattice and/or indirectly via low-energy EFT)

Road to inclusiveness requires dealing with many hadron states,

Developments for nuclear observables (from Vud to $0\nu\beta\beta$ or proton decay).

Past few decades have seen great achievements,

...but the next generation experiments ask to push even further!

Priorities



Short-medium term

- Fully exploit LHCb and Belle II and support their proposed upgrades
- Support smaller dedicated experiments (n2EDM, COMET, GrAHal, MADMAX, Gbar, ...)
- Pursue synergy with NuPECC for precise measurements at the boundary between particle and nuclear physics (e.g. with DESIR at GANIL)

Long-term

- Tera-Z + Giga-W on a e⁺e⁻ machine with a well designed detector
- Important to continue investing in smaller dedicated experiments with potential high-impact discoveries (including probes for a possible dark sector)

Specific needs from Theory, Detector and Computing



BACKUP







- 5 time larger instantaneous luminosity
- Greatly improved tracker & PID granularity, 30 MHz detector readout & GPU tracking trigger
- More than doubled the efficiency for hadronic signals

LHCb-U2 physics opportunities



			LHCb Upgrade 2 Scoping Document				
Observable	Old L	HCb	Upgr	Upgrade II			
	(up to	$9\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	$(23{\rm fb}^{-1})$	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	$(300{\rm fb}^{-1})$		
CKM tests							
$\gamma \ (B \rightarrow DK, \ etc.)$	2.8°	[18, 19]	1.3°	0.8°	0.3°		
$\phi_s \ (B^0_s o J/\psi \phi)$	$20\mathrm{mra}$	d [22]	$12\mathrm{mrad}$	$8\mathrm{mrad}$	$3\mathrm{mrad}$		
$ V_{ub} / V_{cb} ~(\Lambda^0_b\to p\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu,~etc.)$	6%	[55, 56]	3%	2%	1%		
Charm							
$\Delta A_{CP} \ \left(D^0 \to K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \right)$	$29 \times 10^{\circ}$	$^{-5}$ [25]	13×10^{-5}	$8 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.3 imes 10^{-5}$		
$A_{\Gamma} (D^0 \to K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-)$	$11 \times 10^{\circ}$	$^{-5}$ [29]	$5 imes 10^{-5}$	3.2×10^{-5}	$1.2 imes 10^{-5}$		
$\Delta x \ (D^0 \to K^0_{\rm S} \pi^+ \pi^-)$	$18 \times 10^{\circ}$	$^{-5}$ [57]	$6.3 imes 10^{-5}$	$4.1 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.6 imes 10^{-5}$		
Rare decays							
$\overline{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}/\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-) 69%	[30, 31]	41%	27%	11%		
$S_{\mu\mu} \ (B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	_		27		0.2		
$A_{\rm T}^{(2)} \ (B^0 \to K^{*0} e^+ e^-)$	0.10	[58]	0.060	0.043	0.016		
$S_{\phi\gamma}(B^0_s \to \phi\gamma)$	0.32	[59]	0.093	0.062	0.025		
$\alpha_{\gamma}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \gamma)$	$^{+0.17}_{-0.29}$	[60]	0.148	0.097	0.038		

Comparison expected yields



Working poi	nt – Lumi. / IP $[10^{34} \text{ cm}^-$	$^{-2}.\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$	Tota	al lumi	. (2 IF	Ps)	Run time	Physics goal
Z first phase	e 100		20	5 ab^{-1}	/year	8	2	
Z second pha	ase 200		52	2 ab^{-1}	/year		2	$150 {\rm ~ab^{-1}}$
4- 	Particle production (10^9)	B^0	B^-	B_s^0	Λ_b	$c\overline{c}$	$\tau^- \tau^+$	
=	Belle II	27.5	27.5	n/a	n/a	65	45	
	FCC-ee	400	400	100	100	800	220	

Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 474

Current and planned b-factories





From https://indico.in2p3.fr/event/22028/contributions/98788/attachments/66508/93166/GT01.pdf