Looking for leptophilic Z' at the FCC-ee

- Baibhab Pattnaik (IFIC, University of Valencia/CSIC and LPCA, Clermont Ferrand)

In collaboration with

Rebeca Gonzalez Suarez and Jose Zurita

IRN Terascale, 14 November 2024









Contents

- Leptophilic Z'
- Existing constraints
- Pipeline
- FCC-ee sensitivity
- Sensitivity dependence
- Systematics

Leptophilic Z'

- Arises from an additional U(1) symmetry [Dasgupta et al (2308.12804), Goudelis et al (2312.14103)]
- Electrically neutral, couples only to SM leptons
- Does not show up at hadron colliders at tree level
- We focus on the anomaly free $Le L\mu$ and $Le L\tau$ models



Existing constraints



- $m_{Z'} \lesssim 10 \text{ GeV}, g' \lesssim 10^{-4} \text{ BaBar}$, other low energy experiments [not shown].
- LEP searches (mono- γ , $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$).
- LHC: Leading bounds from ATLAS search $pp \rightarrow W^{(\star)} \rightarrow Z'\mu\nu \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu\nu$, 140 fb⁻¹ (2402.15212)
- IceCube constrains non-standard v interactions in matter $(L_e L_{\tau})$.

- Calculate signal and background cross-sections on MG5_aMC@NLO
- Use PYTHIA8 and DELPHES to take showering and detector effects into account
- Cuts and object efficiencies taken from DELPHES IDEA card used for analysis:

$$\begin{split} e, \mu : p_T &> 0.5 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| \leq 2.5, \Delta R(1, X) > 0.5 \\ \gamma : E &> 2 \text{GeV}, p_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| \leq 3.0, \Delta R(\gamma, X) > 0.5 \\ \tau : p_T &> 1 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| \leq 3.0, \Delta R(\tau, X) > 0.5 \\ \epsilon e, \mu, \gamma &= 0.99, \ \epsilon \tau &= 0.6 \end{split}$$

Pipeline (contd.):

• Preliminary kinematic distributions obtained using MadAnalysis; further cuts imposed

Final analysis is performed using these cuts
Invariant mass of dilepton pair data used to obtain signal and background event count for charged lepton searches. For invisible search channels recoil mass used.

$$Z = \frac{N_s}{\sqrt{N_s + N_b + \lambda^2 N_b^2}}$$

Significance Z =2 (95 % CL)

FCC-ee sensitivity

- $e+e- \longrightarrow Z' + \gamma$
- Signal: 2 leptons + γ
- Main backgrounds:2 leptons + γ 4 leptons + γ



Backgrounds

Process				
1100003	$N_{\rm ev}, Z run$	$N_{\rm ev}$, WW run	$N_{\rm ev}$, ZH run	$N_{\rm ev}, t\bar{t}$ run
$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + \dots$,			,
$\mu\mu$	$2.3 imes10^{10}$	$2.1 imes 10^7$	$5.5 imes 10^6$	$8.44 imes 10^5$
ee	$8.63 imes10^{10}$	1.26×10^9	$4.5 imes 10^8$	$7.9 imes10^7$
au au	$2.3 imes10^{10}$	$2.1 imes 10^7$	$5.7 imes 10^6$	$8.82 imes 10^5$
$\nu \nu$	$2.2 imes 10^9$	$5.9 imes 10^7$	$3.3 imes 10^7$	1.35×10^7
$\mu\mu\mu\mu$	$1.2 imes 10^5$	$1.4 imes 10^4$	$6.3 imes 10^3$	$1.4 imes 10^3$
$\mu\mu ee$	$8 imes 10^7$	$5.03 imes 10^6$	$4.16 imes10^6$	$1.73 imes 10^6$
$\mu\mu au au$	1.43×10^9	$9.9 imes 10^6$	$1.7 imes 10^8$	$2.3 imes10^6$
$\mu\mu u u$	$8 imes 10^3$	$1.8 imes 10^4$	$1.56 imes 10^4$	$6.7 imes10^3$
eeee	$7.6 imes10^7$	$4.86 imes 10^6$	$4.04 imes 10^6$	$1.78 imes 10^6$
$ee\tau\tau$	$3 imes 10^7$	$1.1 imes 10^6$	$8.9 imes10^5$	$3.82 imes 10^5$
$ee\nu\nu$	1.28×10^4	$2 imes 10^4$	$2.5 imes 10^4$	1.16×10^4
$\tau \tau \tau \tau$	5×10^5	$6.3 imes 10^3$	4.5×10^3	1×10^3
au au u u	4×10^3	$2.3 imes10^4$	$1.6 imes 10^5$	4×10^4
νννν	0.5	$1.12 imes 10^4$	$8.1 imes 10^3$	$4.6 imes10^3$

$$e+e- \rightarrow \mu + \mu - \gamma$$

Backgrounds:

- SM process
- $\mu + \mu \mu + \mu \gamma$, $e + e \mu + \mu \gamma$, $\mu + \mu \tau + \tau \gamma$, $\mu + \mu \nu \nu \gamma$
- Obtain events for each process, set preliminary cuts to reject events (Eg: reject events with electrons and taus)
 Further cuts imposed based on particle kinematics to effectively reject background



Baibhab Pattnaik



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$, $\sqrt{s} = 240 \text{ GeV}$

Baibhab Pattnaik

Sensitivity plots



Plots shown for an invariant mass window (photon energy/recoil mass window) of 5 GeV

Baibhab Pattnaik

Sensitivity plots



Plots shown for an invariant mass window (photon energy/recoil mass window) of 5 GeV

Baibhab Pattnaik

Sensitivity dependence (invariant mass window)



Narrower the invariant mass window, better the sensitivity

Baibhab Pattnaik

Sensitivity dependence (energy window)



Recoil mass window/photon energy window of I GeV typically yields best bounds

Baibhab Pattnaik

Recoil mass or invariant mass?



Using the recoil mass (photon energy) leads to weaker sensitivity compared to using the invariant mass.

Range of sensitivities



Sensitivities presented for all invariant and recoil mass windows under consideration (as shown in previous slides)

Baibhab Pattnaik

Strongest bounds





Baibhab Pattnaik

Impact of systematics



The bands show the range of sensitivities obtained by using $\lambda = 0.1\%$ and 1% on the strongest bounds shown before

Baibhab Pattnaik

- FCC-ee can provide leading constraints in 10-365 GeV mass range (down to O (10^(-4))
- Our study shows the impact of reconstructed parameters, i.e. invariant mass window and energy window, on the projected sensitivities and the impact of systematics

Future (!) possibilities: Dark sector portal?

Thank you!