HYACINTH! HYdrogen And Carbon chemistry in the INTerstellar medium in Hydro simulations

> Emilio Romano-Díaz Prachi Khatri & Cristiano Porciani





#### STAR FORMATION ACROSS COSMIC TIME



### MOLECULAR GAS ACROSS COSMIC TIME

#### Molecular gas

density



We need simulations to complement these observations.

Simulations that model:

✓ The formation of galaxies in a cosmological context



 ✓ Follow the evolution of molecular gas in galaxies. || H<sub>2</sub> and other molecules

#### Model chemistry





#### COSMOLOGICAL SIMULATIONS OF GALAXY FORMATION



Modelling galaxy formation is a multiscale and multiphysics problem



#### DENSITY STRUCTURE OF THE ISM



The finite resolution of simulations misses the **intricate density structure** (and other properties) of the ISM, important for modelling chemical abundances and emission.





#### DENSITY STRUCTURE OF THE ISM







The MARIGOLD simulations



# TEST OF CHEMICAL ABUNDANCES – Comparison of equilibrium abundances using the slab test

- 19 chemical reactions
- Additional chemical species – He<sup>+</sup> and HCO<sup>+</sup> -- important for CO chemistry
- 29 chemical reactions in total
- ~3.3x computational time

NL99= Nelson & Langer 1999 G17 = Gong et al. 2017 PDR code from Tielens & Hollenbach 1985



#### APPLICATION: POST-PROCESSING

➤ A RAMSES simulated galaxy at z=2.5 from Tomassetti+15 including H<sub>2</sub> chemistry and Lyman-Werner RT.

Compute Eq. abundances: H<sub>2</sub>, CO, C, and C<sup>+</sup> using HYACINTH.

- ➤ Metallicity from simulation
- ≻ UV flux  $\chi$  from simulation
- Different options for the cosmic-ray ionization rate ζ<sub>H</sub>
  - constant
  - linear scaling with  $\chi$
  - quadratic scaling with  $\chi$



#### COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATIONS





#### **THE MARIGOLD SUITE:** COSMOLOGICAL SIMULATIONS USING THE SUB-GRID MODEL HYACINTH

Follow galaxy formation & evolution in a cosmological context (DM, gas & stars til z=3)

> Embed HYACINTH into RAMSES to track the abundances of  $H_2$ , CO, C, and C<sup>+</sup> in addition to the total gas.



#### THE MARIGOLD SUITE

Simulation	$L_{\rm box}$	N <sub>DM</sub>	$\Delta x^{\min}$	$m_{\rm DM}$	$m_*$
	(Mpc)		(pc)	$(\mathrm{M}_{\odot})$	$(\mathrm{M}_{\odot})$
M25	25	$1024^{3}$	32	$5 \times 10^{5}$	$7 \times 10^{3}$
M50	50	$1024^{3}$	64	$4 \times 10^{6}$	$6 \times 10^{4}$

Sufficiently low particle mass to resolve low-mass galaxies

High spatial resolution to model chemistry and emission properties of galaxies

Large enough volume to study statistical properties of galaxies



The MARIGOLD simulation



#### COSMIC MOLECULAR GAS DENSITY



The MARIGOLD simulations

#### STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF GALAXIES

Distribution of simulated galaxies aligns with empirical relations

MARIGOLD





#### How does $\alpha_{[CII]}$ change with galaxy properties?







PERFORMANCE OF PCA-BASED RELATIONS



### [CII]-SFR RELATION



# MARIGOLD & LIM

- Our results can be used together with Halo Abundance Matching (HAM) to populate large Nbody simulations for LIM purposes:
- l halo l galaxy
- No scatter in  $LF_{C^+}$  at fixed mass
- CLF:  $\phi(L|M,z)$  monotonic function







(Elena Marcuzzo's talk, tomorrow)



## CONCLUSIONS

HYACINTH – a sub-grid model for hydrogen and carbon chemistry in hydro simulations.

>HYACINTH can be used as a post-processing tool

- ➤The MARIGOLD simulations cosmological simulations for tracing molecular gas & Crelated species in galaxies.
- ➤ Simulated cosmic H<sub>2</sub> density in agreement with observational estimates.
- > [C ii]  $M_{\rm mol}$  correlation
  - Evolves over time
  - Is sensitive to SFR

#### Modelling molecular gas and its tracers with HYACINTH





## THE FUTURE:

≻ The future is bright! ☺

≻...

- ≻ CO analysis: RT -> line emission & LF -> LIM
- ≻Include neutral and ionized hydrogen (HI & HII) within HYACINTH
- Include massive galaxies' contributions -> Constrained Realizations & zoom-ins

#### Modelling molecular gas and its tracers with HYACINTH







z	$\log(\phi_* /\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}\mathrm{dex}^{-1})$	$\log(L_*/\mathrm{L}_{\odot})$	α	β	$\Delta \text{DIC}^{(a)}$	$\Delta \text{DIC}^{(b)}$
7	$-1.84^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	$6.44_{-0.11}^{+0.10}$	$-1.54^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$-2.75^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$	105	107
6	$-1.26^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$6.20^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$	$-1.24^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$-2.30^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	222	223
5	$-1.52^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$	$6.72^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	$-1.42^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$-2.31^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	159	161
4	$-0.91\substack{+0.08\\-0.07}$	$7.03^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$-1.28^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$-2.57^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	449	451
3	$-1.00^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$7.37^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$-1.22^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$-2.65^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	174	176

Table 2: Best-fit parameters to the LF for the DPL function given in Eq. (2).

Notes. The last two columns show the values of  $\Delta DIC^a$  and  $\Delta DIC^b$  between the Schechter function and the DPL (see text).



# MAIN-SEQUENCE OF STAR-FORMING GALAXIES



- Schreiber + 2015
  Popesso + 2023
  Clarke + 2024
- $(2.7 \le z \le 4)$
- $\begin{array}{c} \text{Khusanova} + 2021 \\ \text{(ALPINE; } z \sim 4.5) \end{array}$

Distribution of simulated galaxies aligns with empirical relations



# SFR-M<sub>MOL</sub> RELATION IN MARIGOLD GALAXIES



### **REACTION RATE CALCULATION**

- Compute reaction rate at a given  $n_{\rm H}$
- For temperature-sensitive reactions – read out the T corresponding to a given  $n_{\rm H}$  using the  $T - n_{\rm H}$  relation
- Integrate over  $n_{\rm H}$  weighing by the **PDF** to obtain the cell-level reaction rate
- Repeat for all reactions in the network



HYACINTH captures the effect of microscopic (i.e., unresolved) density fluctuations on the macroscopic (i.e., on resolved scales) chemical abundances.





#### COMPARISON OF APPROACHES



#### COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATIONS



32

#### THE CONVERSION FACTOR $\alpha_{[CII]}$

#### *Distribution of* $\alpha_{[CII]}$



$$\alpha_{\rm [CII]} = \frac{M_{\rm mol}}{L_{\rm [CII]}}$$

The numerical factor used to convert the observed [CII] luminosity to a molecular gas mass

