# Performance of the real-time alignment and calibration of the LHCb detector in Run 3 of the Large Hadron Collider



**Miguel Ruiz Díaz,** on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

EPS-HEP 2025, Marseille

LHCb THCp





for Precision Tests of Fundamental Symmetries INTERNATIONAL MAX PLANCK RESEARCH SCHOOL





July 8th, 2025

### The LHCb detector

The LHCb detector underwent a major upgrade for Run3

- Many key flavor physics observables remain statistically limited
- Now we take data at a x5 larger instantaneous luminosity compared to Run 2

Key points of the upgrade:

- Renovated tracking system with increased granularity and faster response
- Faster **RICH photodetectors** and improved **optics**
- Upgraded read-out electronics and Data Acquisition (DAQ) system
- New full software-based trigger system



### LHCb detector In Run 3



### [JINST 19 (2024) P05065]

### Only in 2024 we collected more pp data than in Run 1 and Run 2 combined!

### Heidelberg University

### The LHCb dataflow



- Data processed through a two-stage full software-based trigger system → Novel approach implemented for Run 3!
- HLT1 applies a partial event reconstruction and a set of more inclusive selections: 30 MHz input rate → ~ 1 MHz output rate
  Running on GPUs
- HLT2 runs an offline-level reconstruction and more refined selections targeted to specific analyses
  - Running on CPUs
- Dedicated HLT1 algorithms collect data for alignment and calibration purposes → Corrections computed and propagated in real time to both HLT1 and HLT2

More details in: "The upgraded LHCb trigger system", Dorothea von Bruch

### Heidelberg University

### Performance of the trigger system

Hardware level L0 trigger operating in Run 1 and Run 2 employed calorimeter and muon system information to reduce the HLT1 input rate to 1 MHz

- Inefficient for low momentum heavy-flavor hadron decays
- Main bottleneck to operate the detector at larger luminosity and pile-up

New trigger selects heavy-flavor decays at low  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{T}}$  more efficiently

- Overall performance substantially better than in Run 2
- Largest improvements for channels involving hadrons and electrons in the final state

HLT performance relies on precise alignment and calibration of the detector

Real-time alignment and calibration now more important than ever!

See also: "BuSca: New Strategies for LLP Searches at 30 MHz at LHCb", Jiahui Zhuo

### Trigger efficiencies compared to Run 2



### [LHCB-FIGURE-2024-030]

### Heidelberg University

### The alignment algorithm

Idea: employ information from reconstructed charged particle tracks to determine the position and orientation of the detector elements



Adapted from: [CERN-THESIS-2022-105]

The rotations and translations applied to the detector elements are known as alignment constants

### [Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 600 (2009) 471-477]

- Track residual: distance vector from the extrapolated hit position of a fitted track on the sensor plane to the measured position of the hit associated to the track [CERN-THESIS-2017-076]
- Corrections to the geometry obtained by **minimizing the track residuals** as a function of the **alignment constants**
- The algorithm minimizes the global track  $\chi^2$

 $\chi^2 = \sum_{i}^{n_{\text{tracks}}} \chi_i^2(\mathbf{x}_i, \alpha)$  $\chi_i^2(\mathbf{x}_i, \alpha) = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}_i, \alpha)^{\text{T}} V^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}_i, \alpha)$ 

- x<sub>i</sub>: vector of track parameters for track i
  α: set of alignment constants
  r: vector of track residuals
  V: covariance matrix of track residuals
- Minimization is **performed iteratively** starting from input geometry with constants  $\alpha_0$

 Survey measurements taken during construction and survey campaigns and mass and vertex constraints from reconstructed particle candidates are employed to support the minimization [Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 712 (2013) 48-55]

### **Alignment of the VELO**



[JINST 19 (2024) P05065]

[LHCB-FIGURE-2022-016]

- The VELO is in an open position when beams are injected and closes around the interaction region when stable beams are declared → Need to correct for misalignments in real-time
- Alignment quality monitored in real-time employing reconstructed primary vertices

After alignment

 Alignment of VELO modules and sensors also performed regularly



Before alignment

Heidelberg University

### 5

### **Alignment of the tracker**



• Tracker alignment **running in real time** and automatic update of constants active since this year

### [LHCB-FIGURE-2022-018]

### Heidelberg University

.

#### Miguel Ruiz Díaz

SciFi quarter number

### **RICH alignment and calibration**



### [JINST 19 (2024) P05065]

 Refractive index calibration → Compute calibration factors comparing the expected and reconstructed Cherenkov angle of charged particles

$$\cos\theta_c = \frac{1}{n\beta}$$

- Refractive index affected by changes in pressure and temperature → Continuously monitored and calibrated
- **Misalignment of RICH mirrors** → Biases on the reconstructed angle across the RICH
- Mirror alignment corrected in real time



.



### **Calibration of the SciFi mat-end contraction**



[JINST 19 (2024) P05065]



- Scintillating fibers are assembled into mats and read out by Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs) cooled to -50 °C in nominal conditions
- Fiber mats and SiPMs have different thermal expansion coefficients → Relative contraction of mats-ends with respect to the SiPMs → Wrong SiPM channel to hit mapping

Contraction can reach ~250 µm

• **Calibration corrects the channel to hit mapping** fitting a linear model to the track residuals in each SiPM

Effect currently being studied employing data collected with SciFi cooled to different temperatures



### Heidelberg University

### ECAL $\pi^0$ calibration

- Calibration of the **ECAL energy scale** applied cell-by-cell using  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  decays
- **Running in real time** and new corrections applied if changes on the reconstructed  $\pi^0$  mass are significant
  - ~6000 cells are continuously monitored and calibrated! Ο
- Necessary to compensate aging of scintillators and photomultipliers due to large radiation doses



[JINST 19 (2024) P05065]

µ=134.32±0.06 MeV

σ=11.62±0.08 MeV

200

 $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  [MeV]



#### **Before calibration**

After calibration

100

150



#### Miguel Ruiz Díaz

250

### **Mass resolution**



Large impact on mass resolution and signal efficiency!

### **Momentum resolution**



Compatible with Run 2 performance in a much harsher environment

For more performance highlights see: "Tracking and PID performance with the upgraded LHCb detector". Giovanni Cavallero



- The LHCb detector underwent a large upgrade for Run 3 allowing us to take data at x5 more luminosity
- Designed a **full software-based trigger system** to cope with the larger data rates
- **Real-time alignment and calibration** corrections are crucial to operate the new trigger

# Thank you!

## **Backup**

### The new tracking system



### [JINST 19 (2024) P05065]

### Scintillating Fiber Tracker (SciFi)



- 5 m long scintillating fibers arranged into modules in the vertical direction
- Modules split into halves by a mirror to increase light yield collected by Silicon Photomultipliers
- $\leq 100 \,\mu m$  hit resolution in the x axis

#### Heidelberg University

### **Further upgrades**

### **Electromagnetic and Hadronic Calorimeters**



**Ring Imaging Cherenkov Detectors** 

- New Multi-anode Photomultiplier tubes for with x40 faster read-out electronics
- Improved RICH1 optical mirrors to reduce occupancy
- Provide **PID** information for charged hadrons in the 2.6-100 GeV/c range



[JINST 19 (2024) P05065]

- Shashlik-type sampling calorimeters from Run 2 with faster read-out
- complementary **PID** information