New techniques for reconstructing and calibrating hadronic objects with ATLAS

Arnau Morancho Tardà (Niels Bohr Institute) on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration EPS-HEP2025 July 8th, 2025 - Marseille (France)

> UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

arnau.morancho.tarda@cern.ch



Event display: 24



and the second s
STATE OF THE OWNER
State -
<u>407.10819</u>
40 <u>7.10013</u>





Performance gains on hadronic objects are achieved by different ideas shown in this presentation

2. Single E/p measurements

3. Using pile-up events

4. Large-R regression with DNN

5. b-jet regression with transformer

6. Missing Transverse Energy





Introduction

What do we mean by hadronic objects?

- - In ATLAS, they are built with the anti-k, algorithm of cone size R=0.4 or 1.0 (Small-R or Large-R)
 - Jets need to be calibrated to take into account detector effects

• We use the response
$$\langle \mathscr{R}_x \rangle = \left\langle \frac{x^{reco}}{x^{true}} \right\rangle$$

- Jet Energy Scale (JES): response mean
- Jet Energy Resolution (JER): response width

The **Missing Transverse Energy** uses energy conservation to compute the p_T^{miss} of undetected objects



Jets are the outcome of the partons after showering and hadronisation giving the hadronic activity in pp collisions









Improving topo-clusters using calorimeter cell timing

- **Method**: use cell timing information on the cell level before forming the clusters to **reduce out-of-time** (OOT) **pile-up**
- Topo-clustering is based on cell signal significance $\zeta_{cell}^{EM} = \frac{E_{cell}^{EM}}{\sigma_{noise,cell}}$; different cuts are studied:





- Seed cut: if $\zeta_{cell}^{EM} > 4$ and $|t_{cell}| > 12.5$ ns rejected
- Seed Extended: reject cells failing the time cut during seed neighbour clustering
- Seed Ext+UpperLimit X: if $\zeta_{cell}^{EM} > X$, we skip the time cut to retain long-lived-particle signals





Improving topo-clusters using calorimeter cell timing

- **Method**: use cell timing information on the cell level before forming the clusters to reduce out-of-time (OOT) pile-up
- Topo-clustering is based on cell signal significance $\zeta_{cell}^{EM} = \frac{E_{cell}^{EM}}{\sigma^{EM}}; \text{ different cuts are studied:}$





- Seed cut: if $\zeta_{cell}^{EM} > 4$ and $|t_{cell}| > 12.5$ ns rejected
- Seed Extended: reject cells failing the time cut during seed neighbour clustering
- ⇒ Seed Ext+UpperLimit 20: if $\zeta_{cell}^{EM} > 20$, we skip the time cut to retain long-lived-particle signals

- ✓ **Reduce OOT** ~50% for $p_T = 20$ GeV and ~80% for $p_T \gtrsim 50$ GeV, while HardScatter not changed
- ✓ Also beneficial for size on disk, with an 8% reduction





Precise measurement of JES using E/p single particles



- E/p single measurement corrections using:
 - $p_T < 10$ GeV: single charged hadrons using minimum bias sample (low μ)
 - $10 < p_T < 300$ GeV: single isolated pions from $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$
- Deconvolution method: propagates E/p corrections to the constituents of the jet
- E/p combination with p_T^{jet} balance in Small-R:
 - Both methods are in good agreement and they are uncorrelated
 - ✓ **Improved JES uncertainty**: 0.3% at p_T = 300 GeV and 0.6% at p_T = 4 TeV (last studies were 4.0%)









Using pile-up collisions as source of low-energy hadronic physics for JER

Trigger-based approach:

Analyse triggered collision Discard pileup collisions



- Complex problem of selecting pile-up Primary Vertices





Using pile-up collisions as source of low-energy hadronic physics for JER

Trigger-based approach:





- Pile-up as abundant source of dijet events at low- $p_{T'}$ using single lepton trigger
- Complex problem of selecting pile-up Primary Vertices
 - Prioritise good quality of jets \rightarrow no overlap between different vertices jets

• Dijet analysis:
$$\frac{\sigma(p_T)}{p_T} = \frac{N}{p_T} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{p_T}} + C$$

✓ Method provides superior statistical precision for p_T^{lead} < 60 GeV

 \checkmark We can constraint 40% better the noise term *N* and 20% the stochastic *S*









Energy and Mass calibration of Large-R jets using a DNN









Transformer for constituent-based b-jet calibration

- **b-jets** are important on many analyses such as $t\bar{t}$ and Higgs
 - They give a distinguished signature, often containing leptons and neutrinos
- Some analyses use *muon-in-jet* and *PtReco* methods for Small-R jets, comparisons to this methods and Nominal Calibration are performed
- Two **transformers** (called Regression) based on <u>GN2</u> and <u>GN2X</u> (flavour tagging)

- Regression models bring the median closer to the true value
- ✓ **Resolution** of Small-R p_T and Large-R p_T and *m* improves ~30%
- ✓ Higgs and Z mass resolution improves by ~22% on Small-R and by ~15% on Large-R





Performance of missing transverse momentum

• p_T^{miss} is a key quantity to study SM processes with neutrinos and BSM with e.g. dark matter candidates

$$p_T^{miss} = -\left(\sum p_T^e + \sum p_T^{\gamma} + \sum p_T^{\tau} + \sum p_T^{\tau} + \sum p_T^{\mu} + \sum p_T^{jet} + \sum p_T^{unuse}\right)$$

- First time studying the performance with the p_T^{miss} WPs: Loose to Tenacious
 - On $t\bar{t}$ sample, containing real p_T^{miss} : need for supporting the different WPs which might give better resolution in different phase space
 - These results are the current method of p_T^{miss} , with **Tight** being the default WP

track soft term

ed track







Performance of missing transverse momentum

p_T^{miss} is a key quantity to study SM processes with neutrinos and BSM with e.g. dark matter candidates
 track soft term

$$p_T^{miss} = -\left(\sum p_T^e + \sum p_T^{\gamma} + \sum p_T^{\tau} + \sum p_T^{\tau} + \sum p_T^{\mu} + \sum p_T^{jet} + \sum p_T^{unuse}\right)$$

- First time studying the performance with the p_T^{miss} WPs: Loose to Tenacious
 - On $t\bar{t}$ sample, containing real p_T^{miss} : need for supporting the different WPs which might give better resolution in different phase space
 - These results are the current method of p_T^{miss} , with **Tight** being the default WP
- Scale and Resolution uncertainties are reduced by up to 76% and 51% w.r.t.
 2015 results, with more luminosity and computation of the systematic uncertainty from soft p_T^{miss}
 - Soft term uncertainty is calculated by projecting it into the hard term
- ✓ Object-based Significance for fake $p_T^{miss} \rightarrow S(p_T^{miss})$ using the covariance matrix per each object



 $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{hard}}$ $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{soft}}$ $\vec{\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{soft}}$ ed track $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} = \vec{\Delta p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{soft}}$ $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{soft,true}}$ **ATLAS** 140 fb⁻¹ √s=13 TeV Events 10⁷ 10⁶ $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$, jet inclusive selection Tight WP, PFlow p₊^{miss} HAN Total Prediction 10⁵ Data]Z→μμ (Sherpa) 10⁴ tt+Wt Diboson 10³ $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ 10² $W \rightarrow \mu v$ 10 10^{-1} Data / MC 10 15 20 30 35 25 40 0 S



Conclusions

Pile-up mitigation with cell-time cut

Decreasing JES uncertainty with extended E/p single measurements

Using pile-up as statistical source for improving JER

• Further improvements are on their way











Pipeline of standard jet calibration

Small-R: <u>JETM-2018-05</u>



and energy leakage effects using calorimeter, track, and muon-segment variables.

is applied **only to data** to correct for data/MC differences.



Absolute MC-based calibration

Corrects jet 4-momentum to the particle-level energy scale. Both the energy and direction are calibrated.

Large-R: <u>JETM-2018-02</u>



Precise measurement of JES using E/p single particles (details)

- In previous studies uncertainty was bigger than the p_T -balance method, so it was only used beyond kinematic reach of it
- E/p: ratio of the average energy deposited by an isolated charged particle in the calorimeter to the momentum of its inner detector track
- Electron and gamma uncertainties are reduced by using tag-and-probe in $Z \rightarrow e\bar{e}$ sample and extrapolating it to photons
- Extrapolation of single-particle response to very high p_T , two methods:
 - 1. Forced agreement up to $p_T = 175$ GeV, then the variation of the hadronic shower simulation is studied as function of p_T
 - values of the out-of-range particle uncertainty
- Focus on R=0.4 EMTopo and PFlow, but can be used generic for different R values, and quark/gluon initiated jets





2. Using the JES response of the p_T -balance (up to 2 TeV) to constraint the JES derived by E/p measurements by scanning various assumed







Using pile-up collisions as source of low-energy hadronic physics for JER (selection of PPV)

Select pile-up primary vertex:

- Charged-particle tracks used to form PVs
 - 1. Select one PV
 - 2. Identified charged PFOs consistent with current PV
 - 3. Adjust all neutral PFO 4-vectors to point to the current PV
 - 4. Run FastJet clustering for the current PV
 - 5. Repeat the procedure for every PV (each charged PFO only match to one PV, all neutral PFOs are used on every PV)
- JVT provides substantial discrimination between jets originating from other PVs
- Mitigate overlapping high-momentum signals by $f_{jet}^{CPV} = \frac{p_T^{probe}}{p_T^{probe} + \sum p_T^{overlap}}$
- PV associated to a trigger-matched electron or muon is removed from the RBC
- The total eff. having at least one PPV satisfying all selections in a given BC is 2.1%







Energy and Mass calibration of Large-R jets using a DNN (architecture and validation)







 η annotation encodes the region of the jet with additional features based on η

• Loss: Mixture-density-network finds the mode of the distr.

$$\mathscr{L}_{MDN} = \log(\sigma_{pred}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(r_E - \mu_{pred})^2}{\sigma_{pred}^2}$$

- Validations
 - Spectrum dependency: give flat $p_T \rightarrow \text{similar performance}$
 - Pileup dependency: less gradient with NPV
 - Flavour dependency: better response
 - Generator dependency: less dependent than standard calibration



Energy and Mass calibration of Large-R jets using a DNN (input features)

Name	Definition
E	
$m \\ \eta$	Energy of the jet in GeV Mass of the jet in GeV, Jet pseudorapidity
groomMRatio Width Split12,Split23 C2, D2 τ_{21}, τ_{32} Qw	Mass ratio of groomed to $\sum_i p_{Ti} \Delta R(i, \text{jet}) / (\sum_i p_T)$ Splitting scales at the 1s Energy correlation ratios N-Subjettiness ratios usi Smallest invariant mass
EMFrac EM3Frac Tile0Frac EffNConsts NeutralFrac ChargedPTFrac ChargedMFrac	Energy fraction deposite Energy fraction deposite Energy fraction deposite $(\sum_i E_i)^2 / (\sum_i E_i^2)$ (sum Energy fraction from neu- $p_{\rm T}$ fraction from charged Mass fraction from charged
μ NPV	Mean number of interact Number of primary vert
	$m \\ \eta$ groomMRatio Width Split12,Split23 C2, D2 τ_{21}, τ_{32} Qw EMFrac EM3Frac Tile0Frac EffNConsts NeutralFrac ChargedPTFrac ChargedMFrac



V, $\log E$ is taken to reduce the spread of its distribution $\log m$ is taken to reduce the spread of its distribution

to ungroomed jets p_{Ti}) where ΔR is the angular distance (sum over the jet constituents) st and 2nd exclusive k_T declusterings [34] as [35,36] sing WTA axis [37,38] among the proto-jets pairs of the last 3 steps of a k_T reclustering sequence ed in the electromagnetic calorimeter ed in the third layer of the electromagnetic calorimeter ed in the 1st layer of the hadronic calorimeter in over the jet constituents) sutral constituents d constituents reged constituents ettions per bunch crossing sizes per event



Transformer for constituent-based b-jet calibration (architecture and muon dependence)





[ATL-PHYS-PUB-2024-015]



Transformer for constituent-based b-jet calibration (input features) **[ATL-PHYS-PUB-2024-015]**

+: only Small-R inp	ut Only Constitution N	Soft Muon Input	Description
	Only Small-R input —	p_{T}	Transverse momentum
		$\eta \phi$	Signed pseudorapidity Azimuthal angle
 ‡: only for Large-R input 		$\phi \\ dB$	Angular distance of the soft muon from the small- R jet axis
+. Only 101 Large-K	Input	$\frac{q}{p}$	Muon charge divided by the reconstructed momentum
Jet feature	Description	Momentum Balance Significance	Ratio of the difference in momentum measured by the ID and MS to the
р _т	Transverse momentum		uncertainty on the energy loss measured by the calorimeters
n	Signed pseudorapidity	Scattering Neighbour Significance	Sum of the significances of the angular difference $\Delta \phi$ between pairs of adja-
$m \ddagger$	Jet mass	rel	cent hits along the track, multiplied by the particle charge
•		p_{T} $d_{\hat{a}}$	Orthogonal projection of the muon $p_{\rm T}$ onto the jet axis Transverse IP: Closest distance from track to beam-line in the transverse
Track & charged UFO feature	Description	a_0	plane
q/p	Track charge divided by reconstructed momentum	z_0	Longitudinal IP: Closest distance from track to PV in the longitudinal plane
$\mathrm{d}\eta$	Pseudorapidity of track relative to the jet η	$\sigma(d_0)$	Uncertainty on measurement of transverse IP
$\mathrm{d}\phi$	Azimuthal angle of the track, relative to the jet ϕ	$\sigma(z_0)$	Uncertainty on measurement of longitudinal IP
d_0	Transverse IP: Closest distance from track to beam-line in the transverse plane		Significance of transverse IP
$z_0 \sin heta$	Longitudinal IP: Closest distance from track to PV in the longitudinal plane	$z_0/\sigma(z_0)$	Significance of longitudinal IP
$\sigma(q/p)$	Uncertainty on q/p	Soft Electron Input	Description
$\sigma(\theta)$	Uncertainty on track polar angle θ	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{r}}$	Relative $p_{\rm T}$ of the electron with respect to the jet
$\sigma(\phi)$	Uncertainty on track azimuthal angle ϕ	dR	Angular separation between electron and jet axis
$s(d_0)$	Significance of transverse IP	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{iso}}$	Isolation variable
$s(z_0\sin\theta)$	Significance of longitudinal IP times the sin of the polar angle	$ \eta $	Absolute value of pseudorapidity
nPixHits	Number of pixel hits	$s(d_0)$	Transverse IP: Closest distance from track to beam-line in the transverse
nSCTHits	Number of SCT hits	$z(d_0)$	plane Longitudinal IP: Closest distance from track to PV in the longitudinal plane
nIBLHits	Number of IBL hits	$s(d_0/\sigma_{d_0})$	Significance of the transverse IP
nBLHits	Number of B-layer hits	$\Delta \phi^{ m res}$	The azimuthal angle difference $\Delta \phi$ between the cluster position in the middle
nIBLShared	Number of shared IBL hits	,	layer and the track.
		E/p	Ratio of the cluster energy to the track momentum
nIBLSplit	Number of split IBL hits	$R_{ m had}$	Ratio of $E_{\rm T}$ in the hadronic calorimeter to $E_{\rm T}$ of the EM cluster
nPixShared	Number of shared pixel hits	$R_{ m had1}$	Ratio of transverse energy $E_{\rm T}$ in the first layer of the hadronic calorimeter
nPixSplit	Number of split pixel hits	\overline{F}	to $E_{\rm T}$ of the EM cluster
nSCTShared	Number of shared SCT hits	$E_{ m ratio}$	Ratio of the energy difference between the largest and second-largest energy deposits in the cluster over the sum of these energies
LeptonID †	Information on if the track was used in lepton reconstruction	w_{2}	Lateral shower width
Charged & neutral UFO feature	Description	$egin{array}{c} w_{\eta 2} \ R_{\eta} \end{array}$	Ratio of the energy in 3×7 cells over the energy in 7×7 cells centered at
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Flow}}$ ‡	Transverse momentum of charged flow constituent	,	the electron cluster position
E_{Flow} ‡	Energy of charged flow constituent	f_1	Ratio of the energy in the strip layer to the total energy in the EM accordion
$\mathrm{d}\eta_{\mathrm{Flow}}$ ‡	Pseudorapidity of track relative to the large-R jet η	£	calorimeter Batic of the energy in the back layer to the total energy in the EM accordion
$\mathrm{d}\phi_{\mathrm{Flow}}$ ‡	Azimuthal angle of the track, relative to the large-R jet ϕ	J_3	Ratio of the energy in the back layer to the total energy in the EM accordion calorimeter
$\mathrm{d}r_{\mathrm{Flow}}$ ‡	Angular distance of the track from the large- R jet direction	$p_{ m HF}$	Probability of being from heavy flavour decay 21











Performance of missing transverse momentum (WPs details and soft term uncertainty)

PFlow

	Selections		
	$p_{\rm T}$ [GeV] for		
	jets with:		JVT for jets with
Working point	$ \eta < 2.4$	$2.4 < \eta < 4.5$	$ \eta < 2.4$
Loose	> 20	> 20	> 0.5 for $p_{\rm T} < 60$ GeV
Tight	> 20	> 30	> 0.5 for $p_{\rm T} < 60 {\rm GeV}$
Tighter	> 20	> 35	> 0.5 for $p_{\rm T} < 60 {\rm GeV}$
Tenacious	> 20	> 35	> 0.91 for $20 < p_{\rm T} < 40 {\rm GeV}$
			> 0.59 for $40 < p_{\rm T} < 60$ GeV
			> 0.11 for 60 $< p_{\rm T} < 120$ GeV

For [30,35] GeV bin

- Parallel scale uncertainty reduced by 52%
- Parallel resolution uncertainty reduced by 43%
- Perpendicular resolution uncertainty reduced by 13%



• Object-based significance

fJVT for jets with $2.5 < |\eta| < 4.5 \&$ $p_{\rm T} < 120 {\rm ~GeV}$

< 0.5

 $S(p_T^{miss}) =$

 σ_L : longitudinal resolution

 ρ_{LT} : correlation between transverse and longitudinal resolution to p_T^{miss}







