The BDF/SHiP experiment at the ECN3 high-intensity beam facility at the CERN SPS

Maksym Ovchynnikov On behalf of the SHiP collaboration

July 9, 2025





Introduction

Positioning SHiP on a landscape of new physics searches I

#### Three Frontiers to explore new physics at accelerators

1. Energy
New physics is heavy
$\mathbf{but}$
may be produced by
increasing $\sqrt{s}$

2. Intensity (operators) New physics is too heavy to be produced but induces detectable effective interactions 3. Intensity (particles) New physics is light and feebly-coupled but may be detected

if increasing intensity

#### Introduction

# Positioning SHiP on a landscape of new physics searches II

#### Frontier 3

- Particle X with mass m and coupling  $g \ll 1$
- $c\tau_X\gamma_X \propto g^{-2}m^{-\alpha}$ : light Particles are Long-Lived (LLPs)
- Probing LLPs maximize  $N_{ev}/\sqrt{N_{bg}}$ , with

$$N_{\rm ev} \simeq N_{\rm prod} \times \epsilon_{\rm geom} \times \\ \times \Delta z / (c \tau_X \gamma_X) \times \epsilon_{\rm det} \quad (1)$$



- Complicated to probe with LHC: large  $N_{
  m bg}$  and/or small  $\epsilon_{
  m geom} \cdot \epsilon_{
  m det}, \Delta z$
- Complicated to probe with FCC-ee: small  $N_{prod}$

#### Introduction

# Positioning SHiP on a landscape of new physics searches III



SHiP: beam dump experiment to explore GeV-mass LLPs

Ingredients. 1. Facility, beam, and target I

- Location: CERN SPS. Proton beam  $E_p = 400 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - North Area  $\rightarrow$
  - TCC8 target hall  $\rightarrow$
  - ECN3 cavern



# Ingredients. 1. Facility, beam, and target II

#### HI-ECN3:

- Beam intensity T4 wobbling-magnet upgrade, dilution sweep magnets, P42 temporary dump, and three in-vacuum stoppers are all engineered and reviewed, with drawings/ECRs issued or imminent
- No show-stoppers identified for delivering the  $4 \times 10^{13} PoT/spill$  beam to TCC8 after LS3
- $N_{
  m PoT,year} = 4 \cdot 10^{19} \Rightarrow 15$ -year running time:  $N_{
  m PoT,year} = 6 \cdot 10^{20}$



# Ingredients. 1. Facility, beam, and target III

## – Thick target

- 12*\*
- **Ti-Zr-Mo** alloy followed by pure  ${\bf W}$
- $\mathcal{O}(2)$  cascade enhancement of the heavy flavor production

# Enormous yields of heavy flavor production

- $N_{c\bar{c}} \sim 10^{18}$ : ~ 2 orders of magnitude larger than at HL-LHC
- $N_{b\bar{b}} \sim 10^{14}$ : comparable to LHCb@HL-LHC



# Ingredients. 2. Muon shield



- System of magnets to reduce muon flux with  $E > 10~{\rm GeV}$  from  $2\cdot 10^{10}/{\rm spill}$  by more than 6 orders of magnitude
- Subject of re-optimization because of moving to ECN3
- A few setups are considered: minimal iron yoke; + diluted with non-magnetic shielding; hybrid warm+SC; decide on option by Fall

## Ingredients. 2. Detectors I



- **SND@SHiP**: neutrinos and scattering detectors
- HSDS: hidden sector decay spectrometer

# Ingredients. 2. Detectors II



- SND@SHiP: Hybrid target with emulsion (experience from SND@LHC) and silicon layers, in the central yoke of the muon shield (*re-optimization in progress*)
   *Previously: emulsion outside muon shield*
- $\nu$  scattering events:  $\sim 10^6 (\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e), 10^7 (\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu), 10^5 (\nu_\tau + \bar{\nu}_\tau)$ . Rich  $\nu$  physics!

# Ingredients. 2. Detectors III

#### HSDS: decay vessel

- Pyramidal frustum with dimensions:  $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z =$ (1.4-4.6) m×(3.1-6.6) m×50 m
- Placed 32 m downstream of the target, 1 atm He filled, with Al frame *Previously: vacuum, steel*



- Geometry and placement: maximize signal yield while not overproducing background [2304.02511]
- Diffusion rates measurements: Hardware installed; sample holders in fabrication; awaiting material coupons

# Build and commission a scaled prototype during 2026

# Ingredients. 2. Detectors IV

#### **HSDS:** magnet

– Power of  $\mathbf{0.65}~\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\cdot}\mathbf{m}$  over tracking stations

#### HSDS: straw tracker

- Ultra-light horizontal gas-filled straws with 2 cm diameter
- 4 straw-stations, separated by a magnetized region

50 prototype straws successfully leak-tested at 3 bar; tubes awaiting shipment to CERN



# Ingredients. 2. Detectors V

- HSDS: PID.  $20X_0$  hybrid-strip ECAL+  $5\lambda$  HCAL (SplitCal)
- Pointing and full-depth PID performance match simulation

# Closing the conceptual-prototype phase

- HSDS: TOF. 546 scintillator bars with SiPM-array read-out, providing timing  $\delta t < 100 \mathrm{ps}$
- Power, cabling, and CAEN supply scheme are defined

## Frozen mechanical design

Maksym Ovchynnikov



# Ingredients. 3. Background taggers

- **HSDS: SBT.** liquid scintillator surrounding cells surrounding decay vessel
  - May: performance analysis of two single-cell prototypes
  - Currently: minimizing the level of deformation with the Al-vessel equipped with SBT; optimizing the structure to reduce self-induced backgrounds
- HSDS. UBT: background tagger in front of the decay vessel



## 

# Timeline and costs



- 2024: SHiP is approved and goes onto the TDR phase
- CERN as host covers HI-ECN3 and civil engineering
- The detector construction amount is  $\simeq 50$  MCHF A significant part has been already secured
- Construction should start in  $\sim 2029$  and collecting data in 2033

# Exploring new physics with SHiP I



#### From exclusion potential...

– Probing orders of magnitude in the parameter space of various models: ALPs, dark photons, HNLs, Higgs-like scalars, inelastic DM, B - L, dark QCD, neutralinos, ...

Comparison with currently running and proposed experiments: ESPP talk

Maksym Ovchynnikov

# Exploring new physics with SHiP II



To revealing LLP properties in case of discovery

Searching for events with 1 decay/scattering:

- Reconstructing invariant mass, spin, decay properties
- "Hidden properties" (LNV, quasi-particle mass splitting)  $\Rightarrow$  Relation to BSM problems

[1912.05520], [2312.05163]

Exploring new physics with SHiP III



To revealing LLP properties in case of discovery

Searching for events with two or more decays/scatterings:

- Revealing production mode
- Discriminating between LLPs with identical decay phenomenology

[2312.14868], [2503.01760], to appear

- SHiP: unique combination of large intensity, optimal geometry, background rejection
- Ultimate new physics exclusion and discovery potential
- Current status: transitioned from design to early hardware realization, with key prototypes (muon-shield magnets, straw tracker, calorimeter modules, tungsten target) already in fabrication or test
- A lot of underexplored directions:
  - New physics models
  - Non-trivial signatures
  - Exploring QCD
- Neutrino physics performance

## You are very welcome to join!

## Collaboration



#### 36 institutes from 18 countries + CERN

# **Backup** slides

- Background studies: full GEANT4 simulation, with the muon flux generation generated on test beam flux
- Background reduction:
  - Pre-selection (DOCA < 10 cm+IP < 2.5 m+goodquality tracks)
  - SBT+UBT

Background rates per 15-year time:

Muon comb	$< 10^{-4}$
$\mu$ DIS	< 0.2
$\nu$ DIS	< 0.3



- $\nu_{\tau}$  cross-section measurements
- Measurement of  $F_4, F_5$  parametrizing  $\nu$  DIS reactions
- Measurements of PDFs
- Checking lepton flavor universality
- Measurements of  $V_{cd}$



``Portals'' - lowest-dimensional gauge-invariant operators with LLPs:

Model	(Effective) Lagrangian	What it looks like
HNL N	$Yar{L} ilde{H}N+ ext{h.c.}$	Heavy neutrino with
	I L I I N + n.c.	interaction suppressed by $U \sim \frac{Y v_h}{m_N} \ll 1$
Higgs like gealan S	$c_1 H^\dagger H S^2 + c_2 H^\dagger H S$	A light Higgs boson with
Higgs-like scalar $S$	$c_1 \pi \cdot \pi s + c_2 \pi \cdot \pi s$	interaction suppressed by $ heta \sim rac{c_2 v_h}{m_h} \ll 1$
Vector mediator V	$-rac{\epsilon}{2}B_{\mu u}V^{\mu u}+gV^{\mu}J_{\mu,B}$	A massive photon/vector meson with
vector mediator v	$-\frac{1}{2}D_{\mu\nu}v + gv \cdot J_{\mu,B}$	interaction suppressed by $\epsilon,g\ll 1$
		A $\pi^0/\eta/\eta'$ -like particle with
$ALP a \qquad \qquad c_G \frac{\alpha_i}{4\tau}$	$c_G rac{lpha_s}{4\pi} a G^{\mu u}  ilde{G}_{\mu u} + \dots$	interaction suppressed by $\frac{f_{\pi}}{f_a} \ll 1$

Model	(Effective) Lagrangian	What it looks like
$\rm MCPs \; \chi$	$\kappa e ar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu$	Millicharged particle
Quasi-elastic DM $\chi$	$a \overline{x} a \overline{y} \overline{y} \overline{y} \overline{y} W^{\mu}$	Stable particles
	$g_d ar{\chi} \gamma_\mu \chi V^\mu$	coupled via dark photons $\boldsymbol{V}$
Inelastic dark matter $\chi', \chi$	$g_d ar{\chi'} \gamma_\mu \chi V^\mu + { m h.c.}$	An unstable particle $\chi'$
	$gd\chi^{\gamma}\mu\chi^{\gamma}$ + i.e.	decaying into $\chi + SM$
${\rm Dark}~{\rm QCD}~\rho_d/\pi_d$	$ar{q}_d \gamma^\mu q_d Z_\mu^{\prime} + \dots$	A dark photon/ALP
		with additional production
		in showerings

#### Simulation tools:

- SensCalc
- EventCalc@SHiP

# Exclusion potential: examples I



- Heavy flavor machine: efficient production of HNLs, Higgs-like scalars, ALPs coupled to fermions,...

# Exclusion potential: examples II



- **On-axis placement**: excellent sensitivity to
  - Photonic ALPs
  - Dark photons
  - Inelastic DM,...



Maksym Ovchynnikov

- SHiP may probe orders of magnitude of unexplored parameter space
- $\mathcal{O}(1000)$  events may be observed in case of **discovery**



#### What is discovery potential?

#### Simple signatures – "mono"-events

- Reconstructing decay modes and kinematics  $\Rightarrow$  identifying particle's spin and decay operators ECAL+PID+magnetic field
- HNL case as an example:
  - Extracting mixing pattern
  - Checking consistency with neutrino oscillations
  - Resolving HNL-anti-HNL oscillations and measuring mass splitting



# Discovery potential III



– Less trivial signatures: **n-bangs** (scattering+decay, 2/3/n decays per events)

– Essential for differentiating between the models

[2312.05163], [2503.01760], in preparation

#### Maksym Ovchynnikov

#### Different models may have the same "mono"-events

- Inelastic DM:
  - Add  $\mathbf{A'} \boldsymbol{\chi}' \boldsymbol{\chi}'$  vertex (vs models with  $\mathbf{A'} \boldsymbol{\chi}' \boldsymbol{\chi}$  only)
  - Make *h<sub>d</sub>* visible
     (vs Higgs-like scalars)
- Portals:
  - Dark QCD vs portals
    - Dark pions vs ALPs, dark  $\rho$ s vs dark photons
  - Mediators with/without quadratic coupling to SM

LLPs X with vs without hXX coupling

May be distinguished by production, but accelerator-based experiments (typically) do not see it!



n-decays:

- DM: decays  $\chi^*\chi^*, \chi^*h_d, h_dh_d$
- Portals:  $SS, NN, n\rho_d/n\pi_d$





Scatterings + decays:

- DM: scatterings  $\chi + p/e \rightarrow \chi' + X$ followed by  $\chi' \rightarrow \chi + X'$
- Portals: neutrino upscattering + decay

 $[1707.08573], [2012.08595], [2312.14868], [2503.01760] [2505.05663], \ldots$ 

 ${\bf n-decays}$  as an example:

- Access to correlated distributions of the decaying particles:  $c au, m_{
  m inv}$
- $m_{inv,di}$ : may allow identifying the production without seeing it



#### n-decays may be observed at experiments with large intensity

[2503.01760]+backup