# Axions, Versatile Friends to Probe Beyond the Standard Model

### Théo Brugeat

Laboratory of Subatomic Physic and Cosmology

Theoretical physics team

In collaboration with Christopher Smith











# Outline

- I. Axion Solution to Strong CP puzzle
- II. Landscape of Axion models
- III. Baryonic Axions in Neutron Oscillations (2412.06434)
- IV. Conclusion

### Strong CP Puzzle



### Strong CP Puzzle

Similar contributions for  $SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$ :  $\delta \mathcal{L}_{CP} = \theta_{SU(2)} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu} + \theta_{U(1)} \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} B_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\theta} \frac{\alpha_S}{8\pi} G_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$  $U(1)_{\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{B}}$  is anomalous  $\Rightarrow$  rotate away  $U(1)_{V}$  is trivial  $\Rightarrow$  Integrate by parts How Yukawa phases speaks to Gluons:  $\begin{array}{c|c} \psi_L \to e^{+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \to e^{-\frac{i\alpha}{2}} \psi_R \end{array} \end{array} \Rightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} \overline{\psi}_L \psi_R \to e^{i\alpha} \overline{\psi}_L \psi_R \\ +\delta \mathcal{L}_{Ano} \propto \alpha F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \end{array}$  $U(1)_{\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{B}}$  is not anomalous. QCD operator cannot be removed. Chiral anomaly of fermions.

### How to Cook Axions (KSVZ type)

1. Consider  $\phi$  with global  $U(1)_{PQ}$  coupled to colored fermions:



2.  $U(1)_{PQ}$  is chiral, hence anomalous:

3. Potential induces a spontaneous breaking:

$$\begin{array}{c} \phi \to e^{i\theta}\phi \\ \psi_L \to e^{+i\theta}\psi_L \\ \psi_R \to e^{-i\theta}\psi_R \end{array} \Rightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c} J^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \\ \partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} \propto G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \phi = (\sigma+\nu)e^{ia/\nu} \\ \langle 0|J^{\mu}|a(p)\rangle = i\nu p^{\mu} \end{array}$$



#### Axions have a shift invariance, up to the anomalous coupling.

$$\mathcal{L}_{KSVZ} = -\frac{G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}}{4} + \frac{1}{\nu}\partial_{\mu}aJ^{\mu} - \left(\bar{\theta} + \frac{a}{\nu}\right)\frac{\alpha_{S}}{8\pi}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g^{0}_{a\gamma\gamma}aF_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \cdots$$

#### [Peccei,Quinn(1977), Weinberg(1978),Wilczek(1978)]

### Axions Solves Strong CP





### Axions as Cold Dark Matter



# Models of Invisible Axions

 $\phi = (\sigma + v)e^{ia/v}$ 

One new scalar field, two path to reach SM:

KSVZ:  $\phi \overline{\psi}_L \psi_R$ 

[Kim (1979), Shifman, Vainhstein, Zakharov (1980)]

DFSZ: 
$$\phi H_u^{\dagger} H_d$$
 v

 $v \gg v_{EW}$ 

[Dine,Fischer,Srednicky(1981), Zhitnitsky(1980)]

Small mixing of pseudo-scalar modes.

Coupling to SM by left fermions:



$$a \cdots \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma$$

Coupling to SM by gauge bosons:



## Extensions Beyond DFSZ/KSVZ

#### Beyond, many alternatives of models:

#### ■ QCD axions off the band:

heavy (mirror QCD) or ultra light ( $Z_n$ -symmetry) axions, enlarging the band (many fields), ... [Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi (2016)] [Di Luzio, Gavela, Quilez, Ringwald (2021)] [Gaillard, Gavela, Houtz, Quilez, del Rey (2018)]

#### ■ DM axion off misalignment mass range:

Only a fraction of DM, kinetic misalignement, topological defects, curvature-induced production,...

[Co, Hall, Harigaya (2019)] [Marsch (review, 2015)] [Eröncel, Gouttenoire, Sato, Servant, Simakachorn (2025)]

■ Axion-like particles (no correlation of  $m_a$  and  $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ ):

Do not solve Strong CP, but natural DM candidate and arise in string theory.

[Ringwald (review, 2014)]

## Space-Time Entanglement

Some models predicts axions and dilatons together (e.g. Einstein-Cartan gravity):

[Karananas, Shaposhnikov, Zell (2025)]

The dilaton phenomenology close to axions:

Scalar field weakly interacting, May play a role in cosmological scenarios,<sup>[Banerjee, Csáki, Geller, Heller-Algazi, Ismail (2025)]</sup> Goldstone with anomalous coupling to the gauge bosons. [Adler, Collins, Duncan (1977)]

But space-time symmetries are much more involved:

Inverse Higgs constraint : 5 broken generators  $\rightarrow$  1 Goldstone, [Low, Manohar (2001)] Current of the symmetry with explicit coordinates dependance,  $\Rightarrow$  Deriving effective theories consistently is tedious.

$$J^{\mu} = x_{\nu} T^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} = 2M_{H}^{2} (1+\gamma) |H|^{2} + \sum_{X \in SM} \beta_{X} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu}$$

[Coleman Callan Jackiw (1970)]



### Mixing with $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}$

Peccei-Quinn is a flavor symmetry which can naturally mix with  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}$ .

Breaking spontaneously  $\mathcal{L}$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  could explain matter asymetry.

Closeness of seesaw scale and v:  $\Rightarrow$  attemps to unite them with  $\phi N_R N_R$ .

[Dias, Machado, Nishi, Ringwald, Vaudrevange (2014)]

Cosmological role as DM candidate: ⇒ axions inducing baryogenesis ?

DM and baryonic relic densities are close  $\Rightarrow$  motivates such models.

### **Baryon Violation**

Baryogenesis requires *B*, *L* violating processes. A naturally preferred mode  $\Rightarrow \Delta B = 2$  models.

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{n} (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)n - \varepsilon(\bar{n}n^{C} + \bar{n}^{C}n) - \mu_{n}F^{\mu\nu}(\bar{n}\sigma_{\mu\nu}n)$$
[Mohapatra (1980)]

 $i\frac{d}{dt}\binom{n}{n^{C}} = \binom{E}{\varepsilon} \binom{n}{E^{C}}\binom{n}{n^{C}}$ 

Non-Relativistic description: 2 by 2 system with  $\Delta E = 2\mu_n B$ .

$$P_{n \to n} c(t) = e^{-\Gamma t} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\left(\frac{\Delta E}{2}\right)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \sin\left(t \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta E}{2}\right)^2 + \varepsilon^2}\right)^2.$$

■ In quasi-free regime  $t\Delta E \ll 1$ :  $P_{n \to n^{c}}(t) \approx e^{-\Gamma t} (\varepsilon t)^{2}$ , ■ Energy splitting is hard to minimize, ■ Current bound:  $\varepsilon \leq 0.8 \times 10^{-23} eV$ .

[ILL,Grenoble (1994)]

### Dark Matter Enhanced Oscillations

Baryonic Dark Matter:  $\lambda \phi \bar{n}^C n \Rightarrow$  dynamical parameter.

 $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_0 \sin m_{\phi} t$ 

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\binom{n}{n^{C}} = \binom{E}{\varepsilon(t)}\binom{n}{n^{C}} \implies P_{n \to n}c(t) = e^{-\Gamma t}\frac{\varepsilon_{0}^{2}}{\left(\frac{\Delta E - m_{\phi}}{2}\right)^{2} + \varepsilon_{0}^{2}}\sin\left(t\sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta E - m_{\phi}}{2}\right)^{2} + \varepsilon_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}.$$
Rabi Resonance at  $\Delta E = m_{\phi}$ :
$$P_{n \to n}c(t) = e^{-\Gamma t}\sin(t\varepsilon_{0})^{2}.$$

[Rabi (1937)]

[Smith,TB (2024)]

■ Convert 50% of the neutrons ?!

■ From  $\mu_n = 6.02 \times 10^{-8} eV. T^{-1}$ ,  $m_{\phi} \sim 1 \mu eV \Rightarrow B \approx 16T$ ,

**■** Tuning at the precision of  $\varepsilon_0$  ...

■ The ILL measurements give a bound :  $P_{n \to n}c(t) \approx e^{-\Gamma t} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{m_{\phi}}t\right)^2$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 \leq 10^{-15} eV$ 

■ Well choosen magnetic field may improve the constraint.

### Axionic Couplings to Baryonicity

Two  $(\Delta B, \Delta L) = (2,0)$  couplings in SM:



SSB of Baryonic number, axion = « baryonon »:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} \supset -e^{ia/\nu} (m_S \bar{n} n^C + m_P \bar{n} \gamma^5 n^C)$$

Dominant contribution is constant:  $ve^{ia/v} = (v + ia + \cdots)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{linear} \supset -i\frac{a}{v}(m_S\bar{n}n^C + m_P\bar{n}\gamma^5n^C)$$

[Arias-Aragón,Smith(2022)]

Identify Baryonic and Peccei-Quinn using Diquarks.

$$U(1)_{PQ} = U(1)_B : \mathcal{L}_{eff} \supset -\varepsilon_S \phi \bar{n} n^C - \varepsilon_P \phi \bar{n} \gamma^5 n^C$$

### Axionic Couplings to Baryonicity

Two  $(\Delta B, \Delta L) = (2,0)$  couplings in SM:



SSB of Baryonic number, axion = « baryonon »:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} \supset -e^{ia/v} (m_S \bar{n} n^C + m_P \bar{n} \gamma^5 n^C)$$

Dominant contribution is constant:  $ve^{ia/v} = (v + ia + \cdots)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{linear} \supset -i\frac{a}{v}(m_S\bar{n}n^C + m_P\bar{n}\gamma^5 n^C)$$

$$U(1)_{PQ} = U(1)_B: \mathcal{L}_{eff} \supset -\varepsilon_S \phi \bar{n} n^C - \varepsilon_P \phi \bar{n} \gamma^5 n^C$$

Identify Baryonic and Peccei-Quinn using Diquarks.

[Arias-Aragón,Smith(2022)]

Parity odd ( $\gamma^5$ ) couplings are technically challenging for Non-Relativistic reduction.

### Derivative Coupling in Oscillations



What about other probes : Solar axions, Binary systems, ...

### Conclusion

■ Resonances from DM is relevant for future neutron experiments,
 ■ Baryonic QCD axion requires another probe, what source would be best ?

- The formalism fits to Leptogenesis by identifying axion to majoron,
- Hints of Baryonic/Leptonic Dark Matter would motivate the investigations.

# Thank you for your attention!

# Leptoquark and Diquarks : mediators of B-violation

Leptoquark and Diquark are mediating Fermi interactions to colored pairs of Quark/Lepton. They arise naturally in some theories (SUSY).



They are classified according to their SM charges and carry Leptonic/Baryonic Number.

They can be used to construct ( $\Delta B$ , $\Delta L$ ) = (2,0) couplings.

On the right : scalar coupling, requiring 2 di-quarks.



### Reparametrization Invariance

In the UV, CPV gauge coupling is not always explicit:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Polar} = -\frac{G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}}{4} - \bar{\theta}\frac{\alpha_S}{8\pi}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}iD\psi + m\bar{\psi}e^{i\gamma^5a/\nu}\psi$$

Change variables to make the fermions PQ invariant  $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\gamma^5 a/2\nu}\psi$ :



$$\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\psi = im\bar{\psi}\gamma^{5}\psi + \frac{\alpha_{S}}{8\pi}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Der} = -\frac{G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}}{4} - \left(\bar{\theta} - \frac{a}{v}\right)\frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}iD\psi + m\bar{\psi}\psi + \frac{1}{2v}\partial_{\mu}aJ^{\mu}$$