

Xiaocong Ai (ZZU)

on behalf of FASER Collaboration

EPS-HEP 2025, Marseille, July 11, 2025



Swiss National Science Foundation



HEISING-SIMONS FOUNDATION



European Research Council Established by the European Commission

ForwArd Search ExpeRiment (FASER)

- Designed to search for Long-Lived Particles (LLPs) and study TeV neutrinos produced in pp collisions at the ATLAS IP
- Unique opportunities from high flux light TeV particles produced in π , K, D mesons
 - 10¹⁶ pions, 10¹² neutrinos produced in FASER angular acceptance in LHC Run3 (2022-2026)
- Clean environment (low background)
 - Charged particles: bent by LHC magnets
 - Neutral particles: absorbed by TAN and ~100m of rock



FASER physics goals

- LLPs search: dark photon, Axion-like particles (ALPs) ... → this talk
- Direct collider neutrinos studies: v_e , v_μ , v_τ from hadron decays \longrightarrow See <u>Akitaka Ariga's talk</u>

on Tuesday



PRL 133 (2024) 021802

FASER detector

- Small inexpensive detector
 - ~7 m length, 20 cm aperture (η > 9.1) , ~1.5 m magnetized decay volume
- Began taking data at start of LHC Run 3 (2022)
 - All detector components working as expected
 - Successfully collected ~214 fb⁻¹ (>97% of delivered luminosity). A further ~100 fb⁻¹ expected from Run3



Dark photon search

Production and decay

• SM extended by U(1)_D gauge field with dark gauge boson, which mix with SM photon (coupling ϵ <<1) resulting in dark photon A' (mass $m_{A'}$) interacting with SM

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} m_{A'}^2 A'^2 - \epsilon \, e \, \sum_f q_f A'^{\mu} \, \bar{f} \gamma_{\mu} f$$

- Dominant dark photon production at FASER:
 - Light meson decays (neutral pion or η , $m_{A'} < m_{\eta/\pi^0}$)
 - Dark bremsstrahlung (m_{A'} up to 0(2 GeV))
- TeV dark photon decay:
 - FASER sensitive to $\epsilon \sim 10^{-5}$ and $m_{A'} \sim 10$ 100 MeV
 - For $2m_e < m_{A'} < 2m_{\mu} \sim 211$ MeV, $Br(A' \rightarrow e^+e^-) \approx 100\%$



Dark photon search- event selection

- Uses FASER's first year (2022) of data (27 fb⁻¹,13.6 TeV)
- Probes the phase space for A' and A'_{B-L} (B-L gauge boson) ...
- 50% efficiency for representative sensitive parameter space $\epsilon = 3 \times 10^{-5}$, $m_{A'} = 25.1$ MeV with A' decaying in FASER decay volume



- No signals in 5 Veto scintillator layers
- Signal in the Timing and Preshower scintillators
- Two tracks:
 - χ^2 /ndf < 25, nHits >=12, p > 20 GeV, r_{tracks} < 95 mm

PLB 848 (2024) 138378

• E_{calo} > 500 GeV

Dark photon search - backgrounds

- Neutral hadrons from muons interacting with rock
 - Data-driven estimation using three-track control region (lower EM energy)
- Neutrinos interacting in Timing scintillator or first tracking station
 - Suppressed by $E_{calo} > 500 \text{ GeV}$
 - Estimated using MC
- Backgrounds due to veto inefficiency, large-angle muons, non-collision events
 - Negligible



Background	Central Value	Error (%)
Veto inefficiency	-	-
Non-collision	-	-
Neutral hadrons	$0.8\times\!10^{-3}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-3} (140\%)$
Neutrinos	1.5×10^{-3}	$2.0 \times 10^{-3} (130\%)$
Total	$2.3~\times10^{-3}$	$2.3 imes 10^{-3} \ (100\%)$

Close to background free analysis!

Dark photon search – systematics

- Signal yield
 - MC statistics
 - Theoretical modelling
 - Experimental: luminosity, scintillators efficiency, calo energy scale, tracking efficiency and resolution
- Neutral hadron background
 - 100% assigned
- Neutrino background
 - 127% uncertainty from MC modelling

Source	Value	Effect on signal yield
Signal Generator	$\frac{0.15 + (E_{A'}/4 \text{ TeV})^3}{1 + (E_{A'}/4 \text{ TeV})^3}$	15-65% (15-45%)
Luminosity	2.2%	2.2%
MC Statistics	$\sqrt{\sum W^2}$	1-3% (1-2%)
Track Momentum Scale	5%	< 0.5%
Track Momentum Resolution	5%	< 0.5%
Single Track Efficiency	3%	3%
Two-track Efficiency	7%	7%
Calorimeter Energy Scale	6%	0-8% (< 1%)

PLB 848 (2024) 138378

Dark photon search - results

PLB 848 (2024) 138378

• Zero observed events with $N_{bkg}^{exp} = (2.3 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-3}$. Limits set in unexplored region.



- Exclude region $\epsilon \sim 4 \times 10^{-6} 2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $m_{A'}$ ~10-80 MeV
 - World-leading exclusion in the range $\epsilon \sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$ 1 × 10⁻⁴ and $m_{A'} \sim 17$ 70 MeV



- Exclude region $g_{B-L} \sim 3 \times 10^{-6}$ 4×10^{-5} and $m_{A'_{B-L}} \sim 10-50$ MeV
 - First exclusion in the range $g_{B-L} \sim 5 \times 10^{-6} 2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $m_{A'_{B_{-L}}} \sim 15 40 \text{ MeV}$

Axion-like particles search

Production and decay

• FASER has largest sensitivity to model where ALPs (a_w) with mass m_a coupling to SU(2)_L gauge boson (coupling g_{aWW}) after EW symmetry breaking

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}m_a^2 a_W^2 - \frac{1}{4}g_{aWW}a_W W^{a,\mu\nu}\tilde{W}^a_{\mu\nu}$$

- Produced in decays of b- or s-flavoured hadrons
 - Dominant production from B^0 and B^{\pm} decays
- Decaying into photon pair





JHEP 01 (2025) 199

ALPs search – event selection

JHEP 01 (2025) 199

- Uses FASER's 2022 + 2023 data (57.7 fb⁻¹ ,13.6 TeV)
- Selection efficiency about 75% for representative parameter space: $m_a = 140$ MeV and $g_{aWW} = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ GeV⁻¹ with ALPs decaying in FASER decay volume



- No signals in 5 Veto and Timing scintillator layers
- EM-like signature in Preshower to reduce background of neutrinos interacting in the calorimeter
 - Energy in 2nd layer to 1st layer (Preshower Ratio) larger than 4.5
 - Energy deposits in second layer > 10 MIPs
- Large energy deposits in calorimeter (> 1.5 TeV)

ALPs search – backgrounds



- Dominated by neutrinos interacting in the • Preshower or the calorimeter
 - Estimated using MC (0.44 ± 0.39 events) modelling PRD 110, (2014) 012009

Events / GeV 1, 0,

10

 10^{-2}

10-3

10-4

Data / MC 1.5.1 0.5.0 $2_{\rm E}$

200

400

600

FASER

- Validated in different neutrino interaction regions (separated by Preshower Ratio)
- Other backgrounds negligible ٠
 - Veto System Inefficiency ٠
 - **Background from Large-Angle** Muons
 - Neutral Hadron Background ٠
 - Non-Collision Background



ALPs search – systematics

JHEP 01 (2025) 199

- Signal yield -
 - MC modelling (B meson production and decay
 - MC statistics
 - Experimental: luminosity, scintillators efficiency, calo energy scale, tracking efficiency and resolution
- Neutrino background
 - 90% uncertainty from MC modelling [<u>PRD 110,</u> (2014) 012009]

			Signal Samples			
/	Uncertainties	$m_a = 140 \text{ MeV}$	$m_a = 120 \text{ MeV}$	$m_a = 300 \text{ MeV}$		
		$g_{aWW} = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$	$g_{aWW} = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$	$g_{aWW} = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$		
	Theo.	59.4%	57.3%	58.0%		
		theoretical uncertainties including flux and branching ratio				
	Luminosity	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%		
		uncertainty on the luminosity estimate				
	Calo E-scale	3.6%	16.3%	15.8%		
		uncertainty on calorimeter energy scale				
	PS ratio	7.9%	6.9%	8.4%		
		uncertainty on the preshower ratio				
	Second PS	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%		
		uncertainty on the second preshower layer charge deposit				
	MC stat.	1.8%	3.5%	2.9%		
		statistical uncertainty of the MC sample				

16

<u>JHEP 01 (2025) 199</u>

• 1 event observed

Results

- Compatible with background estimation
- World-leading constraints on ALPs coupling to W boson for m_a up to 300 MeV and $g_{aWW} \sim 10^{-4}$ GeV (unexplored region)
 - Result interpreted in several other scenarios see backup



Prospects

New Preshower detector

Preshower TDR LHCC-P-023

- New high-granularity tungsten-silicon pixel pre-shower detector installed
 - Enable distinguishing between very closed (~0.2 mm) spaced photons
 - Improved sensitivity for ALPs analysis



Charge distribution [fC]



FASER at **HL-LHC**

- FASER is approved to run in Run4 (expected ~680 fb⁻¹)
 - Will push the limits with higher statistics!

FASER Run4 request



Expansion for HL-LHC: Forward Physics Facility

- Proposal to have a cavern housing 4 experiments 627m away from the LHC interactions
- Unique potential to shed light on neutrino physics (10³-10⁴ ν_{τ} interactions), and search for dark matter and other new particles ...





Summary

- FASER provides word-leading limits for dark photon (27 fb⁻¹) and ALPs (57.7 fb⁻¹) search
- New Preshower detector installed during Run3 upgrade
 - Will help with BSM searches (e.g. ALPs)
- FASER approved at Run4
 - More statistics will boost the sensitivities
- Forward Physics Facility (FPF) housing several detectors (inc. FASER2) is under discussion
 - Will provide significant new sensitivity in all dark sector

Thank you!

Backup

Dark photon decay



FIGURE 2.8. The dark photon decay branching ratios are shown for varying dark photon mass. Plot taken from Ref [8].

FASER



FIGURE 3.4. A schematic showing the location of FASER with respect to the LHC beam and IP1. More detailed views of the LHC beam are shown in the BOTTOM LEFT for distances up to 170 m from the IP, and in the BOTTOM RIGHT for the area around FASER at \sim 480 m. The beam collision axis line-of-sight is shown as a dashed red line.

Pi0 production



FIGURE 3.5. The production rates of π^0 from the LHC IP, obtained via EPOS-LHC [13], are shown as a function of the meson's angle with respect to the beam axis (θ_{π}) and momentum (p_{π}). The angular acceptances for FASER and FASER2 (a proposed future detector) are indicated by the vertical gray dashed lines. Figure is from Ref [14]

Dark photon previous measurements

JHEP, 06, 004, 2018



FIGURE 2.9. The dark photon parameter space is depicted with shaded regions showing the dark photon mass $(m_{A'})$ and kinetic mixing constant (ϵ) that have been excluded from past experiments. The colors of the shaded regions refer to experiments that utilized a similar A' production mechanism where experiments shaded in RED used electron beam dumps, CYAN used proton beam dumps, GREEN used e+e- colliders, BLUE used pp collisions, MAGENTA used meson decays, and YELLOW used electrons on a fixed target. The GREY constraint comes from the precise measurement of the electron magnetic dipole moment. Figure is from Ref [9].



Dark photon affects the electron magnetic dipole moment scaling with $\frac{\epsilon^2}{m_{A'}^2}$ Visible decay with decay length: $\frac{E_{A'}}{\epsilon^2 m_{A'}^2}$

More ALPs interpretations

JHEP 01 (2025) 199









More ALPs interpretations



