Alien operators for PDF evolution

Sam Van Thurenhout

In collaboration with G. Falcioni, F. Herzog and S. Moch 2025 European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics

8 July 2025

JHEP11(2024)080



How to gain insight into the structure of hadrons

• Hadrons such as the proton are a mess of many interacting quarks/gluons!



• Nevertheless, they have well-defined physical properties such as mass, spin etc.

 \Rightarrow How can we explain these in terms of the properties of the constituent partons?

• Experimentally: Perform high-energy scattering experiments that can resolve the inner hadron structure (e.g. scatter electrons off a proton)

Scattering experiments: Deeply-inelastic scattering



Assumptions:

• Photon highly virtual, $Q^2 \equiv -q^2 \gg p^2$

•
$$s \gg m_p^2$$

Description of scattering experiments

 Hard scale ⇒ Factorization between short-range and long-range physics

$$\hat{\sigma}(e^{-}p^{+} \rightarrow e^{-}\Gamma) = \sum_{a} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{a} f_{a}(x_{a}, \mu_{F}^{2}) \sigma(e^{-}p_{a} \rightarrow e^{-}\Gamma; \mu_{F}^{2})$$

- Short-range physics characterized by the perturbative partonic cross section $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$
- Long-range physics described by non-perturbative parton distributions PDFs
- Through application of the OPE, the moments of the distributions are related to hadronic matrix elements of composite QCD operators

$$f_N(\mu^2) \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \, x^{N-1} \, f(x,\mu^2) \sim \langle P | O^{(N)} | P \rangle$$

Leading-twist operators

The OPE is dominated by leading-twist operators. Based on the representations of the QCD flavour group, we can distinguish two sets of such operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{q \text{ NS};\mu_{1}...\mu_{N}}^{(N)}(x) = \mathcal{S}\left[\overline{\psi}\lambda^{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu_{1}}D_{\mu_{2}}...D_{\mu_{N}}\psi\right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{g \text{ S};\mu_{1}...\mu_{N}}^{(N)}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{S}\left[F^{a_{1}}_{\ \mu\mu_{1}}D^{a_{1}a_{2}}_{\mu_{2}}...D^{a_{N-2}a_{N-1}}_{\mu_{N-1}}F^{a_{N-1};\mu}_{\ \mu_{N}}\right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{q \text{ S};\mu_{1}...\mu_{N}}^{(N)}(x) = \mathcal{S}\left[\overline{\psi}\gamma_{\mu_{1}}D_{\mu_{2}}...D_{\mu_{N}}\psi\right]$$

with

$$\begin{split} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A^{a}_{\mu} + g_{s}f^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu} \\ D_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu} - ig_{s}T^{a}A^{a}_{\mu} \\ D^{ac}_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu}\delta^{ac} + g_{s}f^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu} \end{split}$$

 f^{abc} are the standard QCD structure constants.

PDF scale dependence

Scale evolution of PDFs is set by the DGLAP equation [Gribov and Lipatov, 1972],

[Altarelli and Parisi, 1977], [Dokshitzer, 1977]

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}f_i(x,\mu^2)}{\mathsf{d}\ln\mu^2} = \int_x^1 \frac{\mathsf{d}y}{y} P_{ij}(y) f_j\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu^2\right)$$

with P_{ij} the QCD splitting functions. These are perturbative quantities and can be computed as the anomalous dimensions of the leading-twist operators that define the PDFs

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathcal{O}_i]}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu^2} &= \gamma_{ij}[\mathcal{O}_j], \quad \gamma_{ij} \equiv a_s \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} + a_s^2 \gamma_{ij}^{(1)} + \dots \\ \gamma_{ij} &= -\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \, x^{N-1} P_{ij}(x) \end{aligned}$$

The anomalous dimensions are extracted from the renormalization of the operators. One way to do this is by considering off-shell operator matrix elements.

Alien operators

When doing so, it is well-known that mixing with non-gauge-invariant (alien) operators needs to be taken into account [Dixon and Taylor, 1974,

Kluberg-Stern and Zuber, 1975a, Kluberg-Stern and Zuber, 1975b, Joglekar and Lee, 1976, Joglekar, 1977a, Joglekar, 1977b].

These receive contributions from

- ghost fields and
- the field equations of motion (EOM)

Recently, G. Falcioni and F. Herzog derived a method to consistently construct the aliens to any loop-order [Falcioni and Herzog, 2022].

- In their approach, the aliens are derived using **generalized BRST symmetry** of the QCD Lagrangian.
- Each alien operator features a coupling constant obeying certain constraint relations, which were solved for fixed $N \le 20$ in

[Falcioni and Herzog, 2022, Falcioni et al., 2024a]

 \rightarrow All 4-loop splitting functions now known to $\mathit{N}=20$ $_{\rm [Falcioni\ et\ al.,\ 2023b,}$

Falcioni et al., 2023a, Gehrmann et al., 2024a, Falcioni et al., 2024c, Falcioni et al., 2024a, Falcioni et al., 2025]

Can we solve the relations for arbitrary values of N?

Sam Van Thurenhout

Alien operators for PDF evolution

7 / 17

$$\kappa_{ij} + \kappa_{ji} = 0,$$

$$\eta_{ij} = 2\kappa_{ij} + \eta(N) \binom{i+j+1}{i},$$

$$\eta_{ij} + \sum_{s=0}^{i} (-1)^{s+j} \binom{s+j}{j} \eta_{(i-s)(j+s)} = 0$$

NOTE: Bottom relation = conjugation!

Alien relations

A second application of the sum leads to

$$\sum_{t=0}^{j} (-1)^{t+j} \binom{t+j}{j} \eta_{(i-t)(j+t)} = -\sum_{t=0}^{j} (-1)^{t+j} \binom{t+j}{j} \sum_{s=0}^{j-t} (-1)^{s+j+t} \binom{s+j+t}{j+t} \eta_{(i-t-s)(j+t+s)} \eta_{(i-t-s)(j+t+s)}$$

and hence

$$\eta_{ij} = \sum_{t=0}^{i} {\binom{t+j}{j}} \sum_{s=0}^{i-t} (-1)^{s} {\binom{s+j+t}{j+t}} \eta_{(i-t-s)(j+t+s)}$$

• Already encountered in the computation of the anomalous dimensions of leading-twist operators in non-forward kinematics, see

e.g. [Moch and Van Thurenhout, 2021, Van Thurenhout, 2024]

- Great predictive power!
- Valuable information about the function space

Solving conjugation relations

- To take full advantage of conjugation relations, one needs to be able to evaluate them analytically
- Use principles of symbolic summation: Gosper's algorithm [Gosper, 1978] and (creative) telescoping [Zeilberger, 1991]
- Fully automated in Mathematica packages Sigma
 [Schneider, 2004, Schneider, 2007] and EvaluateMultiSums [Schneider, 2013, Schneider, 2014]



Alien relations

Another neat feature of the alien relations is that they show a **bootstrap**: Complicated higher-order couplings can be related to simpler lower-order ones

$$\begin{split} \eta_{ijkl}^{(1)} + \eta_{jilk}^{(1)} + \eta_{lkji}^{(1)} + \eta_{klij}^{(1)} &= 2[\kappa_{ij(k+l+1)}^{(1)} + \kappa_{(k+l+1)ji}^{(1)}]\binom{k+l+1}{k} \\ &+ \text{permutations} \end{split}$$

$$\eta_{ijk}^{(1)} + \eta_{kij}^{(1)} + \eta_{jki}^{(1)} = 2\kappa_{i(j+k+1)} \binom{j+k+1}{j} + 2\kappa_{k(i+j+1)} \binom{i+j+1}{i} + 2\kappa_{j(i+k+1)} \binom{i+k+1}{k}.$$
$$\eta_{ij} + \eta_{ji} = \eta(N) \left[\binom{i+j+1}{i} + \binom{i+j+1}{j} \right]$$

The coupling $\eta(N)$ is known to $O(a_s^3)$

[Dixon and Taylor, 1974, Hamberg and van Neerven, 1992, Gehrmann et al., 2023]

The power of all these relations is that they allow us to write the alien couplings in terms of a **small** number of unknowns. Consider e.g. the most complicated aliens that are needed for the 4-loop renormalization of the physical operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{EOM}}^{(N),IV} = g_s^3 \left(D \cdot F^a + g_s \overline{\psi} \not A T^a \psi \right) (f f f)^{abcde} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l \\ =N-5}} \kappa_{ijkl}^{(1)} (\partial^i A^b) (\partial^j A^c) (\partial^k A^d) (\partial^l A^e),$$
$$\mathcal{O}_c^{(N),IV} = -g_s^3 (f f f)^{abcde} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l \\ =N-5}} \eta_{ijkl}^{(1)} (\partial \overline{c}^a) (\partial^i A^b) (\partial^j A^c) (\partial^k A^d) (\partial^{l+1} c^e)$$

A priori > 500 parameters to fix!

Using all relations: Only 8 remain in the end!

- \rightarrow Can be fixed by a few fixed-N OME computations
- \rightarrow Explicit expressions in [Falcioni et al., 2024b]

With the couplings known, one can derive the Feynman rules of the alien operators from **first principles**

• The Feynman rules for the gauge-invariant quark and gluon operators, with up to 6 external legs, can be found e.g. in [Floratos et al., 1977,

Floratos et al., 1979, Mertig and van Neerven, 1996, Kumano and Miyama, 1997, Hayashigaki et al., 1997,

Bierenbaum et al., 2009, Klein, 2009, Blümlein, 2001, Moch et al., 2017, Gehrmann et al., 2023] and

references therein. The generalization to an arbitrary number of legs can be found in $_{\rm [Somogyi and Van Thurenhout, 2024]}{}^1$

• The alien rules were computed up to 3 external legs in [Hamberg and van Neerven, 1992],[Matiounine et al., 1998],[Blümlein et al., 2022], and extensions to the 4- and 5-point vertices were recently presented in [Gehrmann et al., 2023] and [Gehrmann et al., 2024b]

¹Note that the latter also presents the corresponding rules for the operators with total derivatives, relevant for non-zero momentum flow through the operator vertex.

Application: Alien Feynman rules



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}^{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}c_{4}c_{5}}(p_{1},p_{2},p_{3},p_{4},p_{5}) &= \frac{1+(-1)^{N}}{2} i^{N-1} f^{c_{1}c_{2}\times} f^{xc_{3}y} f^{yc_{4}c_{5}} \left\{ \\ &- g_{\mu\rho}\Delta_{\nu}\Delta_{\sigma}\Delta_{\tau} \sum_{i+j=N-3} \kappa_{ij}(\Delta \cdot p_{4})^{i}(\Delta \cdot p_{5})^{j} + \Delta_{\rho}\Delta_{\sigma}\Delta_{\tau} [(p_{1}+2p_{2})_{\mu}\Delta_{\nu} \\ &- (\Delta \cdot p_{2})g_{\mu\nu}] \sum_{i+j+k=N-4} \kappa_{ijk}^{(1)}(\Delta \cdot p_{3})^{i}(\Delta \cdot p_{4})^{j}(\Delta \cdot p_{5})^{k} + [p_{1}^{2}\Delta_{\mu} \\ &- p_{1\mu}(\Delta \cdot p_{1})]\Delta_{\nu}\Delta_{\rho}\Delta_{\sigma}\Delta_{\tau} \sum_{i+j+k+l=N-5} \kappa_{ijkl}^{(1)}(\Delta \cdot p_{2})^{i}(\Delta \cdot p_{3})^{j}(\Delta \cdot p_{4})^{k}(\Delta \cdot p_{5})^{l} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1+(-1)^{N}}{2} i^{N-1} d_{4f}^{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}c_{4}c_{5}} \left\{ \Delta_{\mu}\Delta_{\nu}\Delta_{\rho}[(p_{4}+2p_{5})_{\sigma}\Delta_{\tau} \\ &- (\Delta \cdot p_{5})g_{\sigma\tau}] \sum_{i+j+k=N-4} \kappa_{ijk}^{(2)}(\Delta \cdot p_{1})^{i}(\Delta \cdot p_{2})^{j}(\Delta \cdot p_{3})^{k} + [p_{1}^{2}\Delta_{\mu} \\ &- p_{1\mu}(\Delta \cdot p_{1})]\Delta_{\nu}\Delta_{\rho}\Delta_{\sigma}\Delta_{\tau} \sum_{i+j+k+l=N-5} \kappa_{ijkl}^{(2)}(\Delta \cdot p_{2})^{i}(\Delta \cdot p_{3})^{j}(\Delta \cdot p_{4})^{k}(\Delta \cdot p_{5})^{l} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

+ permutations

Application: Alien Feynman rules

- Ghost vertices:
 - (a) Agreement with [Gehrmann et al., 2023] for 0- and 1-gluon vertices and (f f), d_4 parts of the 2-gluon vertex
 - (b) $d_{\widehat{4ff}}$ part of 2-gluon vertex new!
 - (c) 3-gluon vertex new! [Recently also obtained in [Gehrmann et al., 2024b], exact agreement!]
- Alien gluon vertices:
 - (a) Agreement with [Blümlein et al., 2022, Gehrmann et al., 2023] for 2- and 3-gluon vertices; agreement with [Gehrmann et al., 2023] for (f f), d_4 parts of the 4-gluon vertex
 - (b) $d_{\widehat{4ff}}$ part of 4-gluon vertex new!
 - (c) 5-gluon vertex new! [Recently also obtained in [Gehrmann et al., 2024b], exact agreement!]
- Alien quark vertices:
 - (a) Agreement with [Gehrmann et al., 2023] for 0-, 1- and 2-gluon vertices
 - (b) 3- and 4-gluon vertices new! [3-gluon vertex recently also obtained in [Gehrmann et al., 2024b], exact agreement!]

- One way to reconstruct the functional form of the alien operators is based on the use of generalized BRST symmetry
- One then finds classes of EOM and ghost operators, the couplings of which obey interesting consistency relations
- Bootstrap: Complicated higher-order couplings in terms of simpler lower-order ones
- We used these relations to reconstruct the full *N*-dependence of the 1-loop alien couplings necessary to perform the operator renormalization to 4 loops
- This should be useful in the reconstruction of the full *N*-dependence of the 4-loop splitting functions!
- Generalization to higher orders in perturbation theory?

Thank you for your attention!



²Part of this work has been supported by grant K143451 of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund in Hungary.

Sam Van Thurenhout

Alien operators for PDF evolution 17 / 17

- 2 Renormalization
- 3 Colour structures
- 4 Solving conjugation relations



The complete gauge-fixed QCD action is written as

$$S = \int \mathsf{d}^D x \; (\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{GF+G}}) \; .$$

Here \mathcal{L}_0 represents the classical part of the QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu}_a F^a_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{f=1}^{n_f} \overline{\psi}^f (i \not D - m_f) \psi^f ,$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{GF+G}} = -rac{1}{2\xi} (\partial^{\mu} A^{a}_{\mu})^{2} - \overline{c}^{a} \, \partial^{\mu} D^{ab}_{\mu} \, c^{b}$$

and

$$\begin{split} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A^{a}_{\mu} + g_{s}f^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu} \\ D_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu} - ig_{s}T^{a}A^{a}_{\mu} \\ D^{ac}_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu}\delta^{ac} + g_{s}f^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu} \end{split}$$

 f^{abc} are the standard QCD structure constants.

The QCD Lagrangian can be extended to also include the leading-twist spin-N gauge-invariant operators, which we define as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{(N)}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} F_{\nu}(x) \, D^{N-2} F^{\nu}(x) \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(N)}(x) &= \overline{\psi}(x) \not \Delta \, D^{N-1} \psi(x) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Here Δ_{μ} is a lightlike vector and we introduced the notation

$$F^{\mu;a} = \Delta_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu;a}, \qquad A^a = \Delta_{\mu} A^{\mu;a}, \qquad D = \Delta_{\mu} D^{\mu}, \qquad \partial = \Delta_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}$$

These physical operators now mix under renormalization with aliens, which are (a) proportional to the field EOMs and (b) contain ghosts. Schematically the complete Lagrangian is then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{GF+G}} + w_i \, \mathcal{O}_i + \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N)} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{c}}^{(N)}$$

The most general form of the EOM operator is [Falcioni and Herzog, 2022]

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N)} = \left(D \cdot F^{\mathfrak{a}} + g_{\mathfrak{s}} \overline{\psi} T^{\mathfrak{a}} \not \Delta \psi \right) \mathcal{G}^{\mathfrak{a}}(A^{\mathfrak{a}}, \partial A^{\mathfrak{a}}, \partial^{2} A^{\mathfrak{a}}, ...)$$

with \mathcal{G}^a a generic local function of the gauge field and its derivatives. Expanding \mathcal{G}^a in a series of contributions with an increasing number of gauge fields then leads to

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N),I} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N),II} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N),III} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N),IV} + \dots$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{\text{EOM}}^{(N),I} &= \eta(N) \, \left(D \cdot F^a + g_s \overline{\psi} \, \measuredangle \, T^a \psi \right) \, \left(\partial^{N-2} A^a \right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{\text{EOM}}^{(N),II} &= g_s \left(D \cdot F^a + g_s \overline{\psi} \, \measuredangle \, T^a \psi \right) \sum_{\substack{i+j \\ =N-3}} C_{ijk}^{abc} (\partial^i A^b) (\partial^j A^c), \\ \mathcal{O}_{\text{EOM}}^{(N),III} &= g_s^2 \, \left(D \cdot F^a + g_s \overline{\psi} \, \measuredangle \, T^a \psi \right) \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \\ =N-4}} C_{ijk}^{abcd} (\partial^i A^b) (\partial^j A^c) (\partial^k A^d), \\ \mathcal{O}_{\text{EOM}}^{(N),IV} &= g_s^3 \, \left(D \cdot F^a + g_s \overline{\psi} \, \measuredangle \, T^a \psi \right) \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \\ =N-4}} C_{ijkl}^{abcde} (\partial^i A^b) (\partial^j A^c) (\partial^k A^d) (\partial^l A^e). \end{split}$$

The coefficients $C_{i_1...i_{n-1}}^{a_1...a_n}$ can be written in terms of a set of independent colour tensors, each of them multiplying an associated coupling constant, as follows

$$\begin{split} C_{ij}^{abc} &= f^{abc} \kappa_{ij}, \\ C_{ijk}^{abcd} &= (f \ f)^{abcd} \kappa_{ijk}^{(1)} + d_4^{abcd} \kappa_{ijk}^{(2)} + d_{\widehat{4ff}}^{abcd} \kappa_{ijk}^{(3)}, \\ C_{ijkl}^{abcde} &= (f \ f \ f)^{abcde} \kappa_{ijkl}^{(1)} + d_{4f}^{abcde} \kappa_{ijkl}^{(2)} \end{split}$$

To avoid overcounting: κ -couplings inherit properties of the colour structures they multiply, e.g. $\kappa_{ij} = -\kappa_{ji}$

The standard gauge transformations leave \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{O}_i invariant, but not $\mathcal{O}_{\rm EOM}^{(N)}$

 \Rightarrow generalized gauge transformation

$$A^a_\mu o A^a_\mu + \delta_\omega A^a_\mu + \delta^\Delta_\omega A^a_\mu$$

$$\begin{split} A^a_\mu &\to A^a_\mu + \delta_\omega A^a_\mu + \delta^\Delta_\omega A^a_\mu \\ \delta_\omega A^a_\mu &= D^{ab}_\mu \omega^b(\mathbf{x}), \\ \delta^\Delta_\omega A^a_\mu &= -\Delta_\mu \left[\eta(N) \,\partial^{N-1} \omega^a + g_s \sum_{\substack{i+j \\ =N-3}} \widetilde{C}^{aa_1a_2}_{ij} \left(\partial^i A^{a_1} \right) \, \left(\partial^j A^{a_2} \right) \, \left(\partial^i A^{a_1} \right) \, \left(\partial^{j+1} \omega^{a_2} \right) \right. \\ &+ g_s^2 \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \\ =N-4}} \widetilde{C}^{aa_1a_2a_3}_{ijkl} \left(\partial^i A^{a_1} \right) \, \left(\partial^j A^{a_2} \right) \, \left(\partial^k A^{a_3} \right) \, \left(\partial^{l+1} \omega^{a_4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(g_s^4) \\ &+ g_s^3 \sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l \\ =N-5}} \widetilde{C}^{aa_1a_2a_3a_4}_{ijkl} \, \left(\partial^i A^{a_1} \right) \, \left(\partial^j A^{a_2} \right) \, \left(\partial^k A^{a_3} \right) \, \left(\partial^{l+1} \omega^{a_4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(g_s^4) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{C}_{ij}^{abc} &= f^{abc} \eta_{ij}, \\ \widetilde{C}_{ijk}^{abcd} &= (f \ f)^{abcd} \eta_{ijk}^{(1)} + d_4^{abcd} \eta_{ijk}^{(2)} + d_{4\bar{f}\bar{f}}^{abcd} \eta_{ijk}^{(3)}, \\ \widetilde{C}_{ijkl}^{abcde} &= (f \ f \ f)^{abcde} \eta_{ijkl}^{(1)} + d_{4\bar{f}}^{abcde} \eta_{ijkl}^{(2a)} + d_{4\bar{f}}^{aebcd} \eta_{ijkl}^{(2b)}. \end{split}$$

The generalized gauge symmetry implies that the couplings $\eta_{n_1...n_j}^{(k)}$ are related to $\kappa_{n_1...n_j}^{(k)}$

$$\begin{split} \eta_{ij} &= 2\kappa_{ij} + \eta(N) \binom{i+j+1}{i}, \\ \eta_{ijk}^{(1)} &= 2\kappa_{i(j+k+1)} \binom{j+k+1}{j} + 2[\kappa_{ijk}^{(1)} + \kappa_{kji}^{(1)}], \\ \eta_{ijk}^{(2)} &= 3\kappa_{ijk}^{(2)}, \\ \eta_{ijk}^{(3)} &= 2[\kappa_{ijk}^{(3)} - \kappa_{kji}^{(3)}], \\ \eta_{ijkl}^{(1)} &= 2[\kappa_{ij(l+k+1)}^{(1)} + \kappa_{(l+k+1)ji}^{(1)}] \binom{l+k+1}{k} + 2[\kappa_{ijkl}^{(1)} + \kappa_{likj}^{(1)} + \kappa_{likj}^{(1)}], \\ \eta_{ijkl}^{(2a)} &= 3\kappa_{ij(k+l+1)}^{(2)} \binom{k+l+1}{k} + 2\kappa_{ijkl}^{(2)}, \\ \eta_{ijkl}^{(2b)} &= 2\kappa_{lijk}^{(2)}. \end{split}$$

The generalized gauge transformation can now be promoted to a generalized BRST (gBRST) transformation

$$A^{a}_{\mu} \rightarrow A^{a}_{\mu} + \delta_{c}A^{a}_{\mu} + \delta^{\Delta}_{c}A^{a}_{\mu}$$

The ghost operator is now generated by the action of gBRST on a suitable ancestor operator [Falcioni and Herzog, 2022], giving

$$\mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N)} = \mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),I} + \mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),II} + \mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),III} + \mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),IV} + \dots$$

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),I} = -\eta(N)(\partial\overline{c}^{a})(\partial^{N-1}c^{a}), \\ &\mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),II} = -g_{s}\sum_{\substack{i+j\\=N-3}}\widetilde{C}_{ij}^{abc}(\partial\overline{c}^{a})(\partial^{i}A^{b})(\partial^{j+1}c^{c}), \\ &\mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),III} = -g_{s}^{2}\sum_{\substack{i+j+k\\=N-4}}\widetilde{C}_{ijk}^{astu}(\partial\overline{c}^{a})(\partial^{i}A^{s})(\partial^{j}A^{t})(\partial^{k+1}c^{u}), \\ &\mathcal{O}_{c}^{(N),IV} = -g_{s}^{3}\sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l\\=N-5}}\widetilde{C}_{ijkl}^{abcde}(\partial\overline{c}^{a})(\partial^{i}A^{b})(\partial^{j}A^{c})(\partial^{k}A^{d})(\partial^{l+1}c^{e}). \end{split}$$

In fact, there is another, and equivalent, approach to generate the ghost operators. Namely, we could also start from anti-gBRST, for which $\omega^a(x)$ in the generalized gauge transformation should be replaced by the anti-ghost field $\overline{c}^a(x)$

$$A^{a}_{\mu} \to A^{a}_{\mu} + \delta_{\overline{c}} A^{a}_{\mu} + \delta_{\overline{c}} A^{a}_{\mu}$$

 \rightarrow This should lead to the same operators!

 \rightarrow Nevertheless, the functional form of the resulting operators is different from those derived from gBRST

 \Rightarrow Non-trivial identities for the η -couplings!

$$\begin{split} \eta_{ij} + \sum_{s=0}^{i} (-1)^{s+j} \binom{s+j}{j} \eta_{(i-s)(j+s)} &= 0, \\ \eta_{ijk}^{(1)} &= \sum_{m=0}^{i} \sum_{n=0}^{j} \frac{(m+n+k)!}{m! \, n! \, k!} (-1)^{m+n+k} \eta_{(j-n)(i-m)(k+m+n)}^{(1)}, \\ \eta_{ijkl}^{(1)} &= -\sum_{s_1=0}^{i} \sum_{s_2=0}^{j} \sum_{s_3=0}^{k} \frac{(s_1+s_2+s_3+l)!}{s_1! \, s_2! \, s_3! \, l!} (-1)^{s_1+s_2+s_3+l} \eta_{(k-s_3)(j-s_2)(i-s_1)(s_1+s_2+s_3+l)}^{(1)}. \end{split}$$

Renormalization

The complete Lagrangian is now

$$egin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} &= \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{GF+G}} + w_{\mathrm{i}} \, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{i}} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{EOM}}^{(N)} + \mathcal{O}_c^{(N)} \ &= \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{A}^a_\mu, g_s) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{GF+G}}(\mathcal{A}^a_\mu, c^a, ar{c}^a, g_s, \xi) + \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \, \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{k}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_k labels all the distinct couplings of the operators, $C_k = \{w_i, \eta(N), \kappa_{n_1...n_j}^{(i)}, \eta_{n_1...n_j}^{(k)}\}$. The UV singularities associated with the

QCD Lagrangian are absorbed by introducing the bare fields/parameters

$$\begin{aligned} A^{a;\text{bare}}_{\mu}(x) &= \sqrt{Z_3} A^a_{\mu}(x) \\ c^{a;\text{bare}}(x) &= \sqrt{Z_c} c^a(x) \\ \bar{c}^{a;\text{bare}}(x) &= \sqrt{Z_c} \bar{c}^a(x) \\ g^{\text{bare}}_s &= \mu^{\epsilon} Z_g g_s \\ \xi^{\text{bare}} &= \sqrt{Z_3} \xi \end{aligned}$$

Renormalization

This is **not** enough to make the OMEs finite. Instead they need an additional renormalization

$$\mathcal{O}_{i}^{\mathsf{ren}}(x) = Z_{ij} \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{\mathsf{bare}}(x),$$

The renormalized Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} &= \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{A}^{a;\text{bare}}_{\mu}, g^{\text{bare}}_s) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{GF+G}}(\mathcal{A}^{a;\text{bare}}_{\mu}, c^{a;\text{bare}}, \bar{c}^{a;\text{bare}}, g^{\text{bare}}_s, \xi^{\text{bare}}) \\ &+ \sum_k \mathcal{C}^{\text{bare}}_k \mathcal{O}^{\text{bare}}_k, \\ \mathcal{C}^{\text{bare}}_i &= \sum_k \mathcal{C}_k \, Z_{k\,i}, \end{split}$$

where \mathcal{C}_k is the (finite) renormalized coupling of the operator \mathcal{O}_k . The UV-finite OMEs featuring a single insertion of $\mathcal{O}_{g/q}^{\text{ren}}$ are computed by setting the renormalized couplings $\mathcal{C}_i = \delta_{i\,g/q}$, which gives

$$\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{bare}}_{\mathrm{i}} = Z_{\mathrm{g/q\,i}}$$

Renormalization

 \Rightarrow The couplings of the bare operators $\eta^{\text{bare}}(N)$, ... are interpreted as the renormalization constants that mix the physical operators into the aliens

 \rightarrow Extracted from the direct calculation of the singularities of the OMEs, e.g.



We note that this quantity is known to $O(a_s^3)$

[Dixon and Taylor, 1974, Hamberg and van Neerven, 1992, Gehrmann et al., 2023]

 f^{abc} are the QCD structure constants. The other colour structures are in turn defined as

$$(f f)^{abcd} = f^{abe} f^{cde},$$

$$(f f f)^{abcd} = f^{abm} f^{mcn} f^{nde},$$

$$d_4^{abcd} = \frac{1}{4!} [\text{Tr}(T_A^a T_A^b T_A^c T_A^d) + \text{symmetric permutations}],$$

$$d_{4ff}^{abcd} = d_4^{abmn} f^{mce} f^{edn},$$

$$d_{\widehat{4ff}}^{abcd} = d_{4ff}^{abcd} - \frac{1}{3} C_A d_4^{abcd},$$

$$d_{\widehat{4ff}}^{abcde} = d_4^{abcm} f^{mde}.$$

- To take full advantage of the anti-gBRST conjugation relations, one needs to be able to evaluate them analytically
- Use principles of symbolic summation!
- Creative telescoping [Zeilberger, 1991]: evaluate the sum of interest by rewriting it as a recursion relation using Gosper's algorithm [Gosper, 1978]
- The closed-form expression of the sum then corresponds to the linear combination of the solutions of the recursion that has the same initial values as the sum.
- \rightarrow For single sums: Sigma [Schneider, 2004, Schneider, 2007]
- \rightarrow For multiple sums: EvaluateMultiSums [Schneider, 2013, Schneider, 2014]

Classical telescoping and Gosper's algorithm

The telescoping algorithm is a well-known method for evaluating finite sums. Suppose we want to evaluate the following sum

$$\sum_{k=a}^{N} f(k)$$

with $a, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \leq N$. Now, if we can find a function g(N) such that

$$f(k) = \Delta g(k) \equiv g(k+1) - g(k)$$

then

$$\sum_{k=a}^{N} f(k) = \sum_{k=a}^{N} g(k+1) - \sum_{k=a}^{N} g(k)$$

= g(N+1) - g(a).

Here, Δ represents the finite difference operator. The telescoping function g(N) can be found by application of Gosper's algorithm [Gosper, 1978].
Suppose

$$\frac{g(N)}{g(N-1)}$$

is a rational function in N. The algorithm consists of three main steps. Assume we want to calculate the telescoping function for some sequence $\{a_N\}$

 $a_N = \Delta b(N).$

It is assumed that $\{a_N\}$ is a hypergeometric sequence, that is

$$\frac{a_{N+1}}{a_N} = q(N)$$

with q(N) a rational function of N. The steps of Gosper's algorithm can then be summarized as follows

Classical telescoping and Gosper's algorithm

• Determine three functions f(x), g(x) and h(x) such that

$$q(x) = \frac{f(x+1)}{f(x)} \frac{g(x)}{h(x+1)}$$

and

$$gcd[g(x), h(x+n)] = 1 \ (n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Solve the so-called Gosper equation,

$$f(x) = g(x)y(x+1) - h(x)y(x),$$

for the polynomial y(x).

If such a polynomial solution does not exist, it means that the sum in question does not have a hypergeometric closed form. Otherwise, the telescoping function is determined by

$$t(x) = \frac{h(x)}{f(x)}y(x)$$
 with $b(N) = t(N)a(N)$

More details can e.g. be found in [Kauers and Paule, 2011]

Sam Van Thurenhout

Creative telescoping

Classical telescoping works when dealing with sequences that depend on one variable only. When we want to determine a closed form for a summation of a sequence depending on two variables, we can use the creative telescoping algorithm by Zeilberger [Zeilberger, 1991]. The idea is similar to that of classical telescoping. Suppose we want to evaluate

$$\sum_{k=a}^{b} f(N,k) \equiv S(N).$$

The way to go about this is by attempting to find d functions $c_0(N), \ldots, c_d(N)$ and a function g(N, k) such that

$$g(N, k+1) - g(N, k) = c_0(N)f(N, k) + ... + c_d(N)f(N+d, k).$$

Summing both sides, and applying classical telescoping to the left-hand side then gives

$$g(N, b+1) - g(N, a) = c_0(N) \sum_{k=a}^{b} f(N, k) + ... + c_d(N) \sum_{k=a}^{b} f(N+d, k).$$

22 / 0

Creative telescoping

This leads to an inhomogeneous recursion relation for the original sum of the form

$$q(N) = c_0(N)S(N) + ... + c_d(N)S(N+d).$$

Typically, one starts this procedure at d = 0, which is equivalent to classical telescoping. The value of d is then increased stepwise until a solution is found. The creative telescoping algorithm can be applied when the sequence under consideration is holonomic. A sequence $\{a_N\}$ is said to be holonomic if there exist polynomials $p_0(x), \ldots, p_r(x)$ such that the following recursion relation is obeyed [Kauers and Paule, 2011]

$$p_0(N)a_N + p_1(N)a_{N+1} + \cdots + p_r(N)a_{N+r} = 0 \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}, p_r(N) \neq 0).$$

For example, the harmonic numbers $\{S_1(N)\}$ form a holonomic sequence as they obey

$$(N+1)S_1(N) - (2N+3)S_1(N+1) + (N+2)S_1(N+2) = 0.$$

More details on the summation algorithms reviewed here can e.g. be found in the excellent books [Graham et al., 1989, Petkovšek et al., 1996].

Sam Van Thurenhout

[Altarelli and Parisi, 1977] Altarelli, G. and Parisi, G. (1977). Asymptotic Freedom in Parton Language. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 126:298–318.

[Bierenbaum et al., 2009] Bierenbaum, I., Blumlein, J., and Klein, S. (2009).

Mellin Moments of the $O(\alpha_s^3)$ Heavy Flavor Contributions to unpolarized Deep-Inelastic Scattering at $Q^2 \gg m^2$ and Anomalous Dimensions.

Nucl. Phys. B, 820:417-482.

[Blümlein, 2001] Blümlein, J. (2001).

On the anomalous dimension of the transversity distribution $h_1(x, Q^2)$. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 20:683–687. [Blümlein et al., 2022] Blümlein, J., Marquard, P., Schneider, C., and Schönwald, K. (2022). The two loop massless off shell OCD operator matrix elements to finit

The two-loop massless off-shell QCD operator matrix elements to finite terms.

Nucl. Phys. B, 980:115794.

[Dixon and Taylor, 1974] Dixon, J. A. and Taylor, J. C. (1974). Renormalization of wilson operators in gauge theories. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 78:552–560.

[Dokshitzer, 1977] Dokshitzer, Y. L. (1977).

Calculation of the Structure Functions for Deep Inelastic Scattering and e^+e^- Annihilation by Perturbation Theory in Quantum Chromodynamics.

Sov. Phys. JETP, 46:641-653.

[Falcioni and Herzog, 2022] Falcioni, G. and Herzog, F. (2022). Renormalization of gluonic leading-twist operators in covariant gauges. *JHEP*, 05:177.

[Falcioni et al., 2024a] Falcioni, G., Herzog, F., Moch, S., Pelloni, A., and Vogt, A. (2024a).
Four-loop splitting functions in QCD – The quark-to-gluon case. *Phys. Lett. B*, 856:138906.

[Falcioni et al., 2025] Falcioni, G., Herzog, F., Moch, S., Pelloni, A., and Vogt, A. (2025).
Four-loop splitting functions in QCD – the gluon-gluon case –. *Phys. Lett. B*, 860:139194. [Falcioni et al., 2024b] Falcioni, G., Herzog, F., Moch, S., and Van Thurenhout, S. (2024b).Constraints for twist-two alien operators in QCD.JHEP, 11:080.

[Falcioni et al., 2024c] Falcioni, G., Herzog, F., Moch, S., Vermaseren, J., and Vogt, A. (2024c).

The double fermionic contribution to the four-loop quark-to-gluon splitting function.

Phys. Lett. B, 848:138351.

[Falcioni et al., 2023a] Falcioni, G., Herzog, F., Moch, S., and Vogt, A. (2023a).
Four-loop splitting functions in QCD – The gluon-to-quark case. *Phys. Lett. B*, 846:138215. [Falcioni et al., 2023b] Falcioni, G., Herzog, F., Moch, S., and Vogt, A. (2023b).Four-loop splitting functions in QCD – The quark-quark case.

Phys. Lett. B, 842:137944.

[Floratos et al., 1977] Floratos, E. G., Ross, D. A., and Sachrajda, C. T. (1977).

Higher Order Effects in Asymptotically Free Gauge Theories: The Anomalous Dimensions of Wilson Operators.

Nucl. Phys. B, 129:66-88.

[Erratum: Nucl.Phys.B 139, 545–546 (1978)].

[Floratos et al., 1979] Floratos, E. G., Ross, D. A., and Sachrajda, C. T. (1979).

Higher Order Effects in Asymptotically Free Gauge Theories. 2. Flavor Singlet Wilson Operators and Coefficient Functions.

Nucl. Phys. B, 152:493-520.

[Gehrmann et al., 2024a] Gehrmann, T., von Manteuffel, A., Sotnikov, V., and Yang, T.-Z. (2024a).

Complete N_f^2 contributions to four-loop pure-singlet splitting functions. *JHEP*, 01:029.

 [Gehrmann et al., 2023] Gehrmann, T., von Manteuffel, A., and Yang, T.-Z. (2023).
 Renormalization of twist-two operators in covariant gauge to three loops

in QCD.

JHEP, 04:041.

[Gehrmann et al., 2024b] Gehrmann, T., von Manteuffel, A., and Yang, T.-Z. (2024b).
Leading Twist-Two Gauge-Variant Counterterms. *PoS*, LL2024:087.

[Gosper, 1978] Gosper, R. W. (1978). Decision procedure for indefinite hypergeometric summation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 75(1):40–42.

[Graham et al., 1989] Graham, R. L., Knuth, D. E., and Patashnik, O. (1989).

Concrete mathematics - a foundation for computer science. Addison-Wesley.

[Gribov and Lipatov, 1972] Gribov, V. N. and Lipatov, L. N. (1972). Deep inelastic e p scattering in perturbation theory. *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, 15:438–450. [Hamberg and van Neerven, 1992] Hamberg, R. and van Neerven, W. L. (1992).

The Correct renormalization of the gluon operator in a covariant gauge. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 379:143–171.

[Hayashigaki et al., 1997] Hayashigaki, A., Kanazawa, Y., and Koike, Y. (1997).
Next-to-leading order q² evolution of the transversity distribution h₁(x,q²). *Phys. Rev. D*, 56:7350–7360.

[Joglekar, 1977a] Joglekar, S. D. (1977a). Local Operator Products in Gauge Theories. 1. *Annals Phys.*, 108:233. [Joglekar, 1977b] Joglekar, S. D. (1977b). Local Operator Products in Gauge Theories. 2. Annals Phys., 109:210.

[Joglekar and Lee, 1976] Joglekar, S. D. and Lee, B. W. (1976). General Theory of Renormalization of Gauge Invariant Operators. *Annals Phys.*, 97:160.

[Kauers and Paule, 2011] Kauers, M. and Paule, P. (2011). The Concrete Tetrahedron: Symbolic Sums, Recurrence Equations, Generating Functions, Asymptotic Estimates. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated.

[Klein, 2009] Klein, S. W. G. (2009). Mellin Moments of Heavy Flavor Contributions to $F_2(x, Q^2)$ at NNLO. PhD thesis, Dortmund U., Berlin. [Kluberg-Stern and Zuber, 1975a] Kluberg-Stern, H. and Zuber, J. B. (1975a).

Renormalization of Nonabelian Gauge Theories in a Background Field Gauge. 1. Green Functions.

Phys. Rev. D, 12:482-488.

[Kluberg-Stern and Zuber, 1975b] Kluberg-Stern, H. and Zuber, J. B. (1975b).

Renormalization of Nonabelian Gauge Theories in a Background Field Gauge. 2. Gauge Invariant Operators.

Phys. Rev. D, 12:3159–3180.

[Kumano and Miyama, 1997] Kumano, S. and Miyama, M. (1997). Two loop anomalous dimensions for the structure function h1. *Phys. Rev. D*, 56:R2504–R2508. [Matiounine et al., 1998] Matiounine, Y., Smith, J., and van Neerven, W. L. (1998).

Two loop operator matrix elements calculated up to finite terms. *Phys. Rev. D*, 57:6701–6722.

[Mertig and van Neerven, 1996] Mertig, R. and van Neerven, W. L. (1996).

The Calculation of the two loop spin splitting functions $P_{ij}^{(1)}(x)$. Z. Phys. C, 70:637–654.

[Moch et al., 2017] Moch, S., Ruijl, B., Ueda, T., Vermaseren, J. A. M., and Vogt, A. (2017).
Four-Loop Non-Singlet Splitting Functions in the Planar Limit and Beyond.
JHEP. 10:041.

[Moch and Van Thurenhout, 2021] Moch, S. and Van Thurenhout, S. (2021).

Renormalization of non-singlet quark operator matrix elements for off-forward hard scattering.

Nucl. Phys. B, 971:115536.

[Petkovŝek et al., 1996] Petkovŝek, M., Wilf, H. S., and Zeilberger, D. (1996).
A=B.

A K Peters.

[Schneider, 2004] Schneider, C. (2004).

The summation package sigma: Underlying principles and a rhombus tiling application.

Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., 6(2):365-386.

[Schneider, 2007] Schneider, C. (2007). Symbolic summation assists combinatorics. Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, 56:1–36.

 [Schneider, 2013] Schneider, C. (2013).
 Simplifying Multiple Sums in Difference Fields.
 In LHCPhenoNet School: Integration, Summation and Special Functions in Quantum Field Theory, pages 325–360.

[Schneider, 2014] Schneider, C. (2014). Modern Summation Methods for Loop Integrals in Quantum Field Theory: The Packages Sigma, EvaluateMultiSums and SumProduction. J. Phys. Conf. Ser., 523:012037. [Somogyi and Van Thurenhout, 2024] Somogyi, G. and Van Thurenhout, S. (2024).

All-order Feynman rules for leading-twist gauge-invariant operators in QCD.

Eur. Phys. J. C, 84(7):740.

[Van Thurenhout, 2024] Van Thurenhout, S. (2024).

Basis transformation properties of anomalous dimensions for hard exclusive processes.

Nucl. Phys. B, 1000:116464.

[Zeilberger, 1991] Zeilberger, D. (1991).
 The method of creative telescoping.
 Journal of Symbolic Computation, 11(3):195–204.