

# Tidal contributions to the gravitational waveform amplitude to the 2.5 post-Newtonian order

---

Laura Bernard, Eve Dones, Quentin Henry

API "Gravitational waves and compact objects" Workshop @ Observatoire de Paris, Meudon

October 10, 2024



# Introduction

---

## Systems

Non-spinning compact binary systems (BNS or BH-NS)

**Project** : continuation of previous work

**Quentin Henry, Guillaume Faye, Luc Blanchet**


*Tidal effects in the equations of motion of compact binary systems to next-to-next-to-leading post-Newtonian order,*  
Phys. Rev. D.101, 064047, 2020

*Tidal effects in the gravitational-wave phase evolution of compact binary systems to next-to-next-to-leading post-Newtonian order,* Phys. Rev. D.102, 044033, 2020

Aims at furnishing the complete waveform amplitude including tidal effects at 2.5PN order consistently with the precision of the orbital phase

# Overview

---



**Analytical waveform modeling for inspiraling binaries**

**Two-body problem in GR**



**Tidal effects and their impact on the GW amplitude**

**PN-expanded and EOB-factorized modes**

**Detectability of tidal effects**




# Approaches to computing the waveform



# Post-Newtonian formalism

---



- **Slow motion and weak field** regimes
- PN power series in the small parameter

$$\epsilon = \frac{v_{12}^2}{c^2} \sim \frac{Gm}{r_{12}c^2} \ll 1$$

- PN orders : nPN =  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^n)$

# Solving the Relativistic Two-Body Problem

## Dynamical sector

◦ Effective action  $S = S_{EH} + S_m$

◦ Solving iteratively the EFEs :

$$\square_{\eta} h^{\mu\nu} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4} \tau^{\mu\nu} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4} |g| T^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda^{\mu\nu}(h, \partial h, \partial^2 h)$$

◦ Fokker Lagrangian  $L_{fokker} = L[y_A, v_A, a_A^k]$

→  $(a_1^i, a_2^i)$  : conservative EOM

E : conserved energy

## Radiative sector

◦ **Gravitational wave generation formalism** [\[Blanchet Living Review\]](#)

- **mPM** expansion of the field outside the source

- **PN** expansion of the field in the near zone

- **Matching of MPM and PN expansions** in exterior near zone where both expansions are valid

→  $\mathcal{F}$  : radiated energy flux parametrized by a set of radiative multipole moments  $(U_L, V_L)$

## Orbital phase

Flux balance equation :


$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \phi = \int \omega dt = - \int \frac{\omega dE}{\mathcal{F}}$$

# Adiabatic tidal effects

---

## Motivations

- Main influence of NS matter on the GW signals in the inspiral due to adiabatic tidal effects  
→ very promising way to **probe the internal structure of NS**
- A way to **distinguish signals** coming from BBH, BH-NS, BNS or systems involving more exotic objects such as bosons stars
- Affects both the dynamics and the GW emission of compact binaries  
→ results in a **change in the orbital phase and waveform amplitude, which are directly observable**
- Becomes more important in the late inspiral and for extended NS  
→ **could be measurable**, in particular with 3G detectors (ET, CE ...)



# Effective action at 2PN


Go beyond the point-particle approximation :

$$S_m = - \sum_{A=1,2} \int d\tau_A \left\{ m_A c^2 + \frac{\mu_A^{(2)}}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^A G_A^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\sigma_A^{(2)}}{6c^2} H_{\mu\nu}^A H_A^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\mu_A^{(3)}}{12} G_{\mu\nu\rho}^A G_A^{\mu\nu\rho} \right\}$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv -c^2 R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta \quad : \text{tidal mass-type quadrupole moment}$$

$$H_{\mu\nu} \equiv 2c^3 R_{\mu(\alpha\underline{\nu}\beta)}^* u^\alpha u^\beta \quad : \text{tidal current-type quadrupole moment}$$

$$G_{\lambda\mu\nu} \equiv -c^2 \nabla_{(\lambda}^\perp R_{\mu\alpha\underline{\nu})\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta \quad : \text{tidal mass-type octupole moment}$$



$$\nabla_\mu^\perp = \perp_\mu^\nu \nabla_\nu = (\delta_\mu^\nu + u_\mu u^\nu) \nabla_\nu$$

Tidal deformability of the NS characterized by a set of deformation parameters  $(\mu_A^{(l)}, \sigma_A^{(l)})$

→ linked to the **Tidal Love Numbers**  $(k_A^{(l)}, j_A^{(l)})$

$G\mu_A^{(l)} = \frac{2}{(2l-1)!!} k_A^{(l)} R_A^{2l+1}$ $G\sigma_A^{(l)} = \frac{l-1}{4(l+2)(2l-1)!!} j_A^{(l)} R_A^{2l+1}$	+	<p style="color: #8B4513; margin: 0;"><u>Compactness</u></p> $\mathcal{C} \sim \frac{Gm}{Rc^2} \sim 1$ <p style="margin: 0;">for compact objects</p>	⇒	$\mu_A^{(2)} \sim \sigma_A^{(2)} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{10}}\right) : \text{5PN effect (LO/0PN)}$ $\mu_A^{(3)} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{14}}\right) : \text{7PN effect (NNLO/2PN relative)}$
--	---	--	---	--



# Waveform amplitude


Radiative coordinate system :  $X^\mu = (cT, \mathbf{X})$

The TT projection of the metric uniquely decomposed, at LO in  $1/R$ , in terms of the STF radiative multipole moments ( $U_L, V_L$ )

$$h_{ij}^{\text{TT}} = \frac{4G}{c^2 R} \mathcal{P}_{ijkl}(\mathbf{N}) \sum_{\ell=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{c^\ell \ell!} \left\{ N_{L-2} U_{klL-2}(T_R) - \frac{2\ell}{c(\ell+1)} N_{aL-2} \varepsilon_{ab(k} V_{l)bL-2}(T_R) \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right)$$

with :

- $R$  : distance between the source and the observer
- $\mathbf{N}$  : direction of propagation of the GW
- $T_R = T - R/c$  : retarded time
- $P_{ijkl} = P_{i(k} P_{l)j} - \frac{1}{2} P_{ij} P_{kl}$  : TT projection operator
- $P_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - N_i N_j$



# Waveform amplitude

The 2 GW propagation modes expressed in the orthonormal triad  $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{N})$  :

$$h_+ = \frac{1}{2} (P_i P_j - Q_i Q_j) h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}$$

$$h_\times = \frac{1}{2} (P_i Q_j + Q_i P_j) h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}$$


$h_+ - ih_\times$  decomposed in a spin-weighted spherical harmonics basis of weight -2 :

$$h \equiv h_+ - ih_\times = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l h_{\ell m} Y_{-2}^{\ell m}(\Theta, \Phi)$$

**Amplitude modes**  $h^{\ell m}$  computed directly from radiative moments :

$$h_{\ell m} = -\frac{2G}{Rc^{\ell+2}\ell!} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+1)(\ell+2)}{\ell(\ell-1)}} \alpha_L^{\ell m} \left( U_L + \frac{2\ell}{\ell+1} \frac{i}{c} V_L \right)$$


→ To get the full waveform amplitude at 2.5PN, we need to compute all the  $h^{\ell m}$  for  $l \leq 7$  and  $|m| \leq l$  at 2.5PN



# Radiative moments

Precision of the radiative moments needed to get **the full GW amplitude to 2.5PN** :

Moments	$U_{ij}$	$V_{ij} \ \& \ U_{ijk}$	$V_{ijk} \ \& \ U_{ijkl}$	$V_{ijkl} \ \& \ U_{ijklm}$	$V_{ijklm} \ \& \ U_{ijklmp}$	$V_{ijklmp} \ \& \ U_{ijklmpq}$
Order	2.5PN	2PN	1.5PN	1PN	0.5PN	0PN



In comparison, for the computation of the flux (and orbital phase) to 2.5PN :

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{G}{c^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{5} U_{ij}^{(1)} U_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[ \frac{1}{189} U_{ijk}^{(1)} U_{ijk}^{(1)} + \frac{16}{45} V_{ij}^{(1)} V_{ij}^{(1)} \right] + \frac{1}{c^4} \left[ \frac{1}{9072} U_{ijklm}^{(1)} U_{ijklm}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{84} V_{ijk}^{(1)} V_{ijk}^{(1)} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{c^6} \right) \right\}$$


Moments	$U_{ij}$	$V_{ij} \ \& \ U_{ijk}$	$V_{ijk} \ \& \ U_{ijkl}$
Order	2.5PN	1.5PN	0.5PN

→ **More PN information is needed** to derive the modes at a given PN order than to derive the energy flux at that same order

# Stress-energy tensor and potentials

Start from the matter action :

$$S_m = - \sum_{A=1,2} \int d\tau_A \left\{ m_A c^2 + \frac{\mu_A^{(2)}}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^A G_A^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\sigma_A^{(2)}}{6c^2} H_{\mu\nu}^A H_A^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\mu_A^{(3)}}{12} G_{\mu\nu\rho}^A G_A^{\mu\nu\rho} \right\}$$



In [Henry+20], they derived the stress-energy tensor :

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$$

We define the matter source densities :  $\sigma \equiv \frac{T^{00} + T^{ii}}{c^2}$ ,  $\sigma_i \equiv \frac{T^{0i}}{c}$  and  $\sigma_{ij} \equiv T^{ij}$

The metric parametrized by PN potentials  $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}[V, V_i, W_{ij}, R_i, X]$  satisfying wave equations sourced by  $(\sigma, \sigma_i, \sigma_{ij})$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \square V &= -4\pi G \sigma, \\ \square V_i &= -4\pi G \sigma_i, \\ \square \hat{W}_{ij} &= -4\pi G (\sigma_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \sigma_{kk}) - \partial_i V \partial_j V, \\ \square \hat{R}_i &= -4\pi G (V \sigma_i - V_i \sigma) - 2\partial_k V \partial_i V_k - \frac{3}{2} \partial_t V \partial_i V, \\ \square \hat{X} &= -4\pi G \sigma_{kk} + 2V_i \partial_t \partial_i V + V \partial_t^2 V + \frac{3}{2} (\partial_t V)^2 - 2\partial_i V_j \partial_j V_i + \hat{W}_{ij} \partial_{ij}^2 V \end{aligned}$$



# Matter source densities

## OPN tidal effect

[Henry+20]

( $\sigma$  at 2PN ,  $\sigma_i$  at 1PN ,  $\sigma_{ij}$  at 0PN)



In this work, we need :

( $\sigma$  at 2PN ,  $\sigma_i$  at 2PN ,  $\sigma_{ij}$  at 1PN)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_{\text{tidal}} = & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{ab} \left\{ \delta_1 \left( \mu_1^{(2)} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \hat{G}_{1ab} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( -\frac{3}{4} \hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^2 + \frac{3}{2} \hat{G}_{1ai} v_1^b v_1^i + \frac{1}{2} \hat{G}_{1ab} V \right) \right. \right. \right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( -\frac{7}{16} \hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^4 - \frac{1}{8} (\hat{G}_{1ij} v_1^i v_1^j) v_1^a v_1^b + \frac{7}{8} \hat{G}_{1ai} v_1^2 v_1^b v_1^i - \frac{1}{4} \hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^2 V + \frac{1}{2} \hat{G}_{1ai} V v_1^b v_1^i - \frac{1}{4} \hat{G}_{1ab} V^2 \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left. + 2 \hat{G}_{1ab} (v_1^i V_i) - 2 \hat{G}_{1ai} v_1^i V_b - 2 \hat{G}_{1ai} v_1^b V_i + \hat{G}_{1bi} \hat{W}_{ai} + \hat{G}_{1ai} \hat{W}_{bi} \right) \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \sigma_1^{(2)} \left( -\frac{4 \varepsilon_{aij} \hat{H}_{1bj} v_1^i}{3c^2} + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( -\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{aij} \hat{H}_{1bj} v_1^2 v_1^i + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{ajk} \hat{H}_{1ik} v_1^b v_1^i v_1^j + \frac{4}{3} \varepsilon_{aij} \hat{H}_{1bj} V v_1^i + \frac{8}{3} \varepsilon_{aij} \hat{H}_{1bj} V_i \right) \right) \right\} \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \left( \partial_t \partial_a \left\{ \mu_1^{(2)} \delta_1 \left[ \frac{\hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^b}{c^2} + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\hat{G}_{1ij} v_1^i v_1^j) v_1^a - \hat{G}_{1ab} V v_1^b \right) \right] \right\} \right. \\
 & \left. + \partial_t \left\{ \frac{\mu_1^{(2)} \delta_1}{c^4} \left( (\hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^a \partial_b V) + 2(\hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_b V_a) \right) \right\} \right) \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_a \left\{ \delta_1 \left( \mu_1^{(2)} \left[ -\frac{\hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_b V}{c^2} + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( \hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^b \partial_t V + \frac{7}{2} (\hat{G}_{1ij} v_1^i \partial_j V) v_1^a + \frac{7}{2} \hat{G}_{1ab} (v_1^i \partial_i V) v_1^b \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left. - 2(\hat{G}_{1ij} v_1^i v_1^j) \partial_a V - \frac{7}{2} \hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^2 \partial_b V - 4 \hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_t V_b + 5 \hat{G}_{1ab} V \partial_b V + 4(\hat{G}_{1ij} \partial_j V_i) v_1^a + 2 \hat{G}_{1bi} v_1^b \partial_a V_i \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left. - 8 \hat{G}_{1ai} v_1^b \partial_b V_i - 2 \hat{G}_{1bi} v_1^b \partial_i V_a + 4 \hat{G}_{1ai} v_1^b \partial_i V_b + \hat{G}_{1bi} \partial_a \hat{W}_{bi} - 2 \hat{G}_{1bi} \partial_i \hat{W}_{ab} \right) \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\sigma_1^{(2)}}{c^4} \left( \frac{8}{3} \varepsilon_{bij} \hat{H}_{1aj} v_1^b \partial_i V - \frac{8}{3} \varepsilon_{abj} \hat{H}_{1ij} v_1^b \partial_i V - \frac{8}{3} \varepsilon_{bij} \hat{H}_{1aj} \partial_i V_b - \frac{8}{3} \varepsilon_{aij} \hat{H}_{1bj} \partial_i V_b \right) \right\} \\
 & + \delta_1 \left( \mu_1^{(2)} \left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \left( -(\hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_{ab} V) + \frac{3}{4} (\hat{G}_{1ab} \hat{G}_{1ab}) \right) + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( 2(\hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^a \partial_t \partial_b V) + (\hat{G}_{1ai} v_1^a v_1^b \partial_{ib} V) \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left. - \frac{3}{2} (\hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_{ab} V) v_1^2 - 4(\hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_t \partial_b V_a) + 6(\hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_a V \partial_b V) + 7(\hat{G}_{1ab} \partial_{ab} V) V - 4(\hat{G}_{1bi} v_1^a \partial_{ia} V_b) \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left. + 4(\hat{G}_{1bi} v_1^a \partial_{ib} V_a) + \frac{9}{8} (\hat{G}_{1ab} \hat{G}_{1ab}) v_1^2 - \frac{3}{4} (\hat{G}_{1ab} \hat{G}_{1ab}) V \right) \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\sigma_1^{(2)}}{c^4} \left( -\frac{8}{3} (\varepsilon_{aij} \hat{H}_{1bi} v_1^a \partial_{jb} V) - \frac{16}{3} (\varepsilon_{bij} \hat{H}_{1ab} \partial_{ja} V_i) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{H}_{1ab} \hat{H}_{1ab}) \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_t^2 \left\{ \frac{\mu_1^{(2)} \delta_1 (\hat{G}_{1ab} v_1^a v_1^b)}{2c^4} \right\} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{abi} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \mu_1^{(3)} \delta_1 \hat{G}_{1abi} \right\} + 1 \leftrightarrow 2, \right. \tag{B1a}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Source moments $I_L$ and $J_L$

---

From the PN-MPM formalism :

→ The outer field is **PM-expanded** as  $h^{\mu\nu} = Gh_1^{\mu\nu} + G^2h_2^{\mu\nu} + \dots$

→ Assuming the **harmonic coordinate condition**, the linear field satisfies :

$$\begin{aligned}\square h_1^{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\ \partial_\mu h_1^{\alpha\mu} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

→ The solution of this system can be written as a multipolar expansion of **2 STF sources moments** ( $I_L, J_L$ ) and **some gauge moments** ( $W_L, X_L, Y_L, Z_L$ )

$$h_1^{\mu\nu} \sim \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \partial_L \left( \frac{K^{\mu\nu} [I_L, J_L; W_L, X_L, Y_L, Z_L]}{r} \right) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \partial_L \left( \frac{K^{\mu\nu} [M_L, S_L]}{r} \right) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \partial_L \left( \frac{K^{\mu\nu} [I_L, J_L]}{r} \right)$$

Canonical moments
↑
Only in this work

→ The explicit formula for  $I_L$  and  $J_L$  is obtained by matching to the inner field that is PN-expanded

# Source moments $I_L$ and $J_L$

From the **PN-MPM formalism**, the STF source multipole moments  $I_L$  (**mass-type**) and  $J_L$  (**current-type**) given at any PN order by ( $l \geq 2$ ) :

$$I_L(u) = \text{FP}_{B=0} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \tilde{r}^B \int_{-1}^1 dz \left[ \delta_\ell(z) \hat{x}_L \Sigma - \frac{4(2\ell+1)}{c^2(\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \delta_{\ell+1}(z) \hat{x}_{iL} \Sigma_i^{(1)} + \frac{2(2\ell+1)}{c^4(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(2\ell+5)} \delta_{\ell+2}(z) \hat{x}_{ijL} \Sigma_{ij}^{(2)} \right] (\mathbf{x}, u + zr/c),$$

$$J_L(u) = \text{FP}_{B=0} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \tilde{r}^B \int_{-1}^1 dz \varepsilon_{ab\langle i\ell} \left[ \delta_\ell(z) \hat{x}_{L-1\rangle a} \Sigma_b - \frac{2\ell+1}{c^2(\ell+2)(2\ell+3)} \delta_{\ell+1}(z) \hat{x}_{L-1\rangle ac} \Sigma_{bc}^{(1)} \right] (\mathbf{x}, u + zr/c)$$

→ The source terms  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_{ij}$  contain the **matter source densities** ( $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}$ ) as well the **PN potentials** ( $V$ ,  $V_i$ ,  $W_{ij}$ ,  $R_i$ ,  $X$ )

→ The integrations over  $z$  are transformed into infinite PN series:

$$\int_{-1}^1 dz \delta_\ell(z) \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, t + zr/c) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(2\ell+1)!!}{(2k)!!(2\ell+2k+1)!!} \left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^{2k} \Sigma^{(2k)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

→ The **finite part (FP) regularization** is there to cure the IR divergences at spatial infinity



# Source moments $I_L$ and $J_L$

Source mass-type quadrupole at 2.5PN

→ Function of  $(y_1^i, y_2^i, v_1^i, v_2^i)$

→ Reduce to the COM frame and to quasi circular orbits with  $\gamma = \frac{GM}{rc^2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{ij} = Mr^2 & \left[ n^{(i} n^{j)} \left\{ \nu \left[ 1 + \left( -\frac{1}{42} - \frac{13}{14}\nu \right) \gamma + \left( -\frac{461}{1512} - \frac{18395}{1512}\nu - \frac{241}{1512}\nu^2 \right) \gamma^2 \right] \right. \right. \\
 & + \left( 3\tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} + 3\delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \right) \gamma^5 + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( -\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{7}\nu - \frac{222}{7}\nu^2 \right) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( -\frac{3}{2} - \frac{67}{7}\nu \right) + \frac{160}{3}\nu\tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \right] \gamma^6 \\
 & + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{871}{56} - \frac{1613}{168}\nu - \frac{17237}{168}\nu^2 + \frac{929}{42}\nu^3 \right) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( \frac{871}{56} + \frac{1493}{24}\nu - \frac{7201}{168}\nu^2 \right) + \tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{388}{9}\nu - \frac{2504}{7}\nu^2 \right) \right. \\
 & + \left. \left. \frac{1732}{63}\delta\nu\tilde{\sigma}_-^{(2)} \right] \gamma^7 \right\} + \lambda^{(i} \lambda^{j)} \left\{ \nu \left[ \left( \frac{11}{21} - \frac{11}{7}\nu \right) \gamma + \left( \frac{1013}{378} + \frac{299}{378}\nu - \frac{365}{378}\nu^2 \right) \gamma^2 \right] + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( 3 + \frac{104}{7}\nu - \frac{198}{7}\nu^2 \right) \right. \right. \\
 & + \left. \left. \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( 3 - \frac{38}{7}\nu \right) + \frac{128}{3}\nu\tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \right] \gamma^6 + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( -\frac{19}{2} + \frac{617}{42}\nu + \frac{5039}{42}\nu^2 + \frac{260}{21}\nu^3 \right) \right. \right. \\
 & + \left. \left. \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( -\frac{19}{2} + \frac{1291}{42}\nu - \frac{1649}{42}\nu^2 \right) + \tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \left( -\frac{64}{9}\nu - \frac{1696}{7}\nu^2 \right) + \frac{2048}{63}\delta\nu\tilde{\sigma}_-^{(2)} \right] \gamma^7 \right\} \\
 & + n^{(i} \lambda^{j)} \left\{ \frac{48}{7}\nu^2\gamma^{5/2} + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( -\frac{64}{5} + \frac{2336}{35}\nu + \frac{1296}{7}\nu^2 \right) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( -\frac{64}{5} + \frac{288}{7}\nu \right) \right] \gamma^{15/2} \right\} \left. \right], \tag{4.18a}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.5PN p.p + tidal effect



# Radiative moments $U_L$ and $V_L$

The MPM algorithm relates the radiative moments ( $U_L, V_L$ ) to the canonical moments ( $M_L, S_L$ )

In this work,  $(M_L, S_L) \rightarrow (I_L, J_L)$

Taking the exemple of the mass quadrupole at 2.5PN:

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{ij} = & \overset{(2)}{M}_{ij} + \frac{2GM}{c^3} \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln\left(\frac{\tau}{2b_0}\right) + \frac{11}{12} \right] M_L^{(4)}(t-\tau) && \text{Tails effects} \\
 & - \frac{2G}{7c^5} \int_0^\infty d\tau M_{a\langle i}^{(3)}(t-\tau) M_{j\rangle a}^{(3)}(t-\tau) && \text{Non-linear memory effects} \\
 & + \frac{G}{7c^5} \left[ M_{a\langle i}^{(5)} M_{j\rangle a} - 5M_{a\langle i}^{(4)} M_{j\rangle a}^{(1)} - 2M_{a\langle i}^{(3)} M_{j\rangle a}^{(2)} + \frac{7}{3} \epsilon_{ab\langle i} M_{j\rangle a}^{(4)} S_b \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^6}, \frac{\epsilon_{tidal}}{c^6}\right) && \text{Instantaneous effects}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Tail effects: GW are backscattered on the spacetime curvature generated by the mass monopole I
- Memory effects: GW radiated by the GW themselves

→ The non-linear propagation effects are only **quadratic**:  $M \times M_{ij}$  (tails) and  $M_{ij} \times M_{ij}$  (memory effects)

# Radiative moments $U_L$ and $V_L$

---

The relations required to derive the full waveform amplitude to 2.5PN are:

$$U_{ij} = I_{ij}^{(2)} + U_{ij}^{tail} + U_{ij}^{inst} + U_{ij}^{mem}$$

$$U_{ijk} = I_{ijk}^{(3)} + U_{ijk}^{tail}$$

$$U_{ijkl} = I_{ijkl}^{(4)} + U_{ijkl}^{tail} + U_{ijkl}^{inst} + U_{ijkl}^{mem}$$

$$V_{ij} = J_{ij}^{(2)} + V_{ij}^{tail}$$

$$V_{ijk} = J_{ijk}^{(3)} + V_{ijk}^{tail} + V_{ijk}^{inst}$$

For the rest of radiative moments, we just have :

$$U_L = I_L^{(l)} , \quad V_L = J_L^{(l)}$$

→ These relations already well-know

→ We included the tidal contributions consistently with the precision required for each radiative moment

# Amplitude modes: PN expanded form

$$h_{\ell m} = \frac{8GM\nu x}{Rc^2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \left( \hat{H}_{\ell m}^{\text{pp}} + x^5 \hat{H}_{\ell m}^{\text{tidal}} \right) e^{-im\psi} \quad \text{with} \quad \psi \equiv \phi - \frac{2GM\omega}{c^3} \ln \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right)$$

orbital phase
orbital frequency

We computed the  $\hat{H}^{lm}$  for  $l \leq 7$  and  $|m| \leq l$  up to the **relative 2.5PN order**.

The **dominant mode** is the **(2,2) mode**:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{22}^{\text{tidal}} = & \frac{1}{\nu} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{OPN tidal effect} \\ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)}(3 + 12\nu) + 3\delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{9}{2} - 20\nu + \frac{45}{7}\nu^2 \right) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( \frac{9}{2} + \frac{125}{7}\nu \right) + \frac{224}{3}\nu\tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \right] x \\ \text{1PN tidal effect} \end{array} \right. \\ & + 6\pi \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)}(1 + 4\nu) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \right] x^{3/2} + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{1403}{56} - \frac{9227}{168}\nu - \frac{19367}{168}\nu^2 - \frac{274}{21}\nu^3 \right) \right. \\ & + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( \frac{1403}{56} + \frac{887}{56}\nu + \frac{103}{24}\nu^2 \right) + \tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{11132}{63}\nu - \frac{6536}{63}\nu^2 \right) + \frac{8084}{63}\delta\nu\tilde{\sigma}_-^{(2)} + 80\nu\tilde{\mu}_+^{(3)} \left. \right] x^2 \\ & + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{i}{5}(64 - 108\nu - 8640\nu^2) + \frac{\pi}{7}(63 - 301\nu + 132\nu^2) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( \frac{i}{5}(64 + 20\nu) + \frac{\pi}{7}(63 + 229\nu) \right) + \frac{448}{3}\pi\nu\tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \right] x^{5/2} \left. \right\}, \\ & \text{2PN tidal effect} \\ & \text{2.5PN tidal effect} \end{aligned}$$

# Amplitude modes: EOB-factorized form

In EOB waveform models, there is a freedom on the choice of resumming the waveform modes

→ historical choice to **lower the mismatch** with Numerical Relativity

Modes factorized in **5 blocks**:

$h_{lm}^F = h_{lm}^N \hat{S}_{\text{eff}} T_{lm} f_{lm} e^{i\delta_{lm}}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <math>h_{lm}^N</math> : the leading order PN contribution</li> <li>▪ <math>\hat{S}_{\text{eff}}</math> : the effective source term</li> <li>▪ <math>T_{lm}</math></li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;">Related to the ADM mass</p> $\hat{S}_{\text{eff}} = \begin{cases} \frac{H_{\text{eff}}(x)}{M\nu c^2} & \text{for } \ell + m \text{ even} \\ J(x) & \text{for } \ell + m \text{ odd} \end{cases}$
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <math>f_{lm}</math> : the remaining amplitude</li> <li>▪ <math>\delta_{lm}</math> : the residual phase</li> </ul>	$T_{lm} = \frac{\Gamma(\ell + 1 - 2i\hat{k})}{\Gamma(\ell + 1)} e^{\pi\hat{k}} e^{2i\hat{k} \ln(2m\omega b_0)}$

→  $h_{lm}^F$  coincides with the PN-expanded modes

$$\hat{k} \equiv m \frac{GM\omega}{c^3}$$



# Amplitude modes: EOB-factorized form

The dominant (2,2) mode has a **remaining amplitude** :

$$f_{lm} = f_{lm}^{\text{pp}} + x^5 f_{lm}^{\text{tidal}}$$


$$f_{22}^{\text{tidal}} = \frac{1}{\nu} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{OPN tidal effect} \\ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)}(3 + 12\nu) + 3\delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( 6 - 23\nu + \frac{45}{7}\nu^2 \right) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( 6 + \frac{125}{7}\nu \right) + \frac{224}{3}\nu\tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \right] x \\ \text{1PN tidal effect} \\ + \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{377}{14} - \frac{13985}{168}\nu - \frac{17615}{168}\nu^2 - \frac{274}{21}\nu^3 \right) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( \frac{377}{14} + \frac{589}{56}\nu + \frac{103}{24}\nu^2 \right) + \tilde{\sigma}_+^{(2)} \left( \frac{7940}{63}\nu - \frac{6536}{63}\nu^2 \right) \right. \\ \text{2PN tidal effect} \\ \left. + \frac{8084}{63}\delta\nu\tilde{\sigma}_-^{(2)} + 80\nu\tilde{\mu}_+^{(3)} \right] x^2 \end{array} \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{\text{tidal}}}{c^6}\right), \quad (5.8)$$

And residual phase :

$$\delta_{22} = \frac{7}{3}x^{3/2} - \frac{151}{6}\nu x^{5/2} + \frac{64}{5\nu} \left[ \tilde{\mu}_+^{(2)} \left( 1 + \frac{63}{16}\nu - \frac{7095}{64}\nu^2 \right) + \delta\tilde{\mu}_-^{(2)} \left( 1 + \frac{95}{16}\nu \right) \right] x^{15/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^6}, \frac{\epsilon_{\text{tidal}}}{c^6}\right)$$

1.5PN p.p 2.5PN p.p + tidal effect

# Detectability of tidal effects



ET Science case 2019

# Conclusion

---

- We computed the full waveform amplitude including tidal effects up to 2.5PN consistently with the precision of the orbital phase

→ Results will soon available on arXiv !

- Outlook

- Improve the modeling of **physical effects** : mixed tidal-EM effects in GR ...

- Study the effects of **dynamic tides** on the dynamics and the waveform