Carte Blanche

James Binney

Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics

University of Oxford

What have I learnt?

- So much about low-mass scalar fields!
	- (Pearl, Jens, Raquel,Marco)
	- A good route to a better understanding of wave-particle duality
- Fuzzy dark matter probably doesn't cut it
	- Over-heats disc galaxies (Jens)
	- Doesn't always provide enough power at high k
- GC γ–ray excess probably from stars (Silvia Manconi)
- A dash of strong lensing strengthens weak lensing (Natalie Hogg)
	- and a geometrical measure of HO is nigh

JWST over-turning ΛCDM?

- JWST sees stars & gas illuminated by stars (ALMA)
- The physics behind IGM \rightarrow stellar pop is
	- in principle understood
	- uncomputable
- Λ CDM makes few reliable predictions
- It's exciting so look back to ~400 Myr after BB,
	- just enjoy the movie

Biggest takeaway?

- QCD axions properly motivated (Wilczek 1978)
	- They *should* exist (positrons, neutrinos, Higgs)
	- They *will be* found! (Fabrice Hubaut)
- The search reminds me of LIGO in 1980s
	- Senior (& v. smart) member of Princeton community said "NSF is wasting our money..'
- Dozens of colleagues laboured over decades rewarded only by small decreases in the noise
- Their heroism is inspiring
- axion detection will be an ever more heroic challenge
	- G-wave detectors knew precisely where they had to get
	- A-wave detectors don't know where the mountain top lies!

Just a thought

- Rodrigo Vicente reminded us that GW detectors measure A not $|A|^2$
- So Volume \sim sensitivity³ not sensitivity^{3/2}
- Surely it's possible to do wit emag what they do with gravity?

$$
\psi = |\psi|e^{i\phi} \quad ; \quad \nabla \phi = \mathbf{p}/\hbar
$$

$$
\Delta \phi = \int d\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \phi = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int d\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}
$$

Emag wave polarised along x moving along z

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = e\mathbf{E} = -e\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{A} = (A, 0, 0)\cos(kz - \omega t)
$$

$$
\Delta p_x = -eA\cos(\omega t)
$$

Interferometer at $z = 0$ with arms along x and y axes

$$
\Delta \phi = -eA \bigg(\int_0^L dx \cos(\omega t) + \int_L^0 dx \cos(\omega t) \bigg)
$$

=
$$
-eAv \bigg(\int_0^{L/v} dt \cos(\omega t) - \int_{L/v}^{2L/v} dt \cos(\omega t) \bigg)
$$

=
$$
\frac{eAv}{\omega} \bigg(\sin(\omega T) - \left[\sin(2\Omega T) - \sin(\omega t) \right] \bigg)
$$

e.g.,
$$
\omega T = \pi/2
$$
 then

$$
\Delta \phi = \frac{2eAv}{\omega}
$$

Finally

- Thank you Julien!
- Thank you SOC!
- Thank you LOC!
- I really enjoyed being here and we go away with good memories.

$$
\psi = |\psi|e^{i\phi} \quad ; \quad \nabla \phi = \mathbf{p}/\hbar
$$

$$
\Delta \phi = \int d\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \phi = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int d\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}
$$

Emag wave polarised along x moving along z

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = e\mathbf{E} = -e\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{A} = (A, 0, 0)\cos(kz - \omega t)
$$

$$
\Delta p_x = -eA\cos(\omega t)
$$

Interferometer at $z = 0$ with arms along x and y axes

$$
\Delta \phi = -eA \left(\int_0^L dx \cos(\omega t) + \int_L^0 dx \cos(\omega t) \right)
$$

= $-eAv \left(\int_0^{L/v} dt \cos(\omega t) - \int_{L/v}^{2L/v} dt \cos(\omega t) \right)$
= $\frac{eAv}{\omega} \left(\sin(\omega T) - \left[\sin(2\Omega T) - \sin(\omega t) \right] \right)$

e.g.,
$$
\omega T = \pi/2
$$
 then

$$
\Delta \phi = \frac{2eAv}{\omega}
$$