SDHCAL evolution : Recent developments and algorithmic improvements for the APRIL Particle Flow

Tanguy Pasquier (speaker), Gérald Grenier, Imad Laktineh, Bo Li, Rémi Été

IP2I/Univ Lyon 1

ECFA ECR Workshop October 8, 2024

Contents

Introduction : Particle flow algorithms, the APRIL Particle Flow and the SDHCAL

2 Recent developments : algorithmic improvements, timing inclusion...



Particle flow calorimetry

Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA)

- PFA is the approach chosen for future colliders
- Use optimal sub-detector for jet energy estimation :

tracker (~ 60%), ECAL (~ 30%), HCAL (~ 10%).

• Separate energy depositions from close-by particles : high granularity is key point



Extensive studies have been done with ILD detector option 1 (AHCAL, ILD baseline) and PandoraPFA algorithm. At higher jet energy ($E\gtrsim 100$ GeV), dominant contribution to resolution is confusion.

See Steven Green. Cambridge University Thesis 2017



Semi-Digital HCAL

SDHCAL energy reconstruction

 $E_{reco} = \alpha_1 N_1 + \alpha_2 N_2 + \alpha_3 N_3$



20 40



Options for E_{reco}

Linear α_i constant (Pandora default).

Density $\alpha_i = \text{above} \times f(N \text{ neighbour hits})$



80 100 120

Z (cm)

The APRIL algorithm

• Based on the Arbor concept and implemented in PandoraSDK

APRIL : Algorithm for Particle Reconstruction at ILC from Lyon.

- Based on graph theory : Reconstruct the showers as spatial trees
- ${ullet}$ \Rightarrow APRIL usable as long as you have the positions of the hits



Contents





2 Recent developments : algorithmic improvements, timing inclusion...



Angular corrections

- Recent work on ILD Option 2 (SDHCAL and Videau Geometry) to improve performances
- $\bullet~$ Linear reconstruction of single KLong samples \rightarrow HCAL calibration procedure
- E_{reco} too low \rightarrow Angular corrections needed (φ, θ)
- Low energy range still needed improvements after correction



New reconstruction method

- Quadratic method : works well but implies a lot of parameters + difficult to constrain them
- We define : $\widetilde{N}_{hit} = N_3 + \beta \times (\alpha \times N_1 + N_2)$
- Look at correlations between N_1, N_2, N_3 to extract parameters
- E =quadratic function of \widetilde{N}_{hit}
- 5 parameters in total



Results

- Achieve good linearity with the different methods for $E \ge 20 \text{ GeV}$
- New method gives the best linearity on average



Results

• MSE =
$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{E_{\text{reco}}}}{E_{\text{reco}}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_{\text{reco}} - E_{\text{mc}}}{E_{\text{mc}}}\right)^2$$

 $\bullet~$ Best resolution and MSE with NTilde method for $E \leq 10~{\rm GeV}$

• Quadratic still better from 10 GeV to 40 GeV

• All methods tend to converge for higher energies



PFA with timing

- SDHCAL should allow timing segmentation < 100 ps thanks to MRPC
- Possibility to follow the particles in "real time"
- Previous studies : timing improves separation
- Goal : Add timing to APRIL



Different applications

- Delete non-causal connectors between hits $(\beta > 1)$
- Late neutrons tagging to treat them separately
- Identify the seeds of the showers and count them
- Hit ordering by time instead of radius
- Each of the above can be added with a PandoraSDK algorithm



I:J {eventNumber==14&&time>6.7&&time<6.8}



0.9

0.7

0.4

0.2

Contents



Introduction : Particle flow algorithms, the APRIL Particle Flow and the SDHCAL

Recent developments : algorithmic improvements, timing inclusion...



Summary and outlook

Summary

- Angular corrections of the SDHCAL have been added
- A new way of computing the SDHCAL energy has been found and gives encouraging results on samples of single KLong
- Timing inclusion in APRIL has started

Other activities

- Official inclusion of a "Marlin" processor able to run APRIL PFA and the SDHCAL plugins is underway
- Implementation of split cluster procedure (AMSTER) has started

Outlook

- Reconstruct jet events to compare the different methods
- Fully include timing in APRIL

Thank you for your attention !

Backup

- Areas of interest for future colliders:
 - Monolithic CMOS sensors (Tracking system)
 - Full simulation software development (Geometry, reconstruction)
 - Crystal ECAL detector

Particle flow calorimetry

Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA)

- $\bullet~$ ILC/CEPC physics program requires W/Z $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ mass separation.
- \Rightarrow jets resolution [50, 500] GeV better than $\sim 3-4$ % $\sim 30\%/\sqrt{E}$.
- Use optimal sub-detector for jet energy estimation :
 - tracker (~ 60%), ECAL (~ 30%), HCAL (~ 10%).
- Separate energy depositions from close-by particles : high granularity is key point





Extensive studies have been done with ILD detector option 1 and PandoraPFA algorithm.

At higher jet energy (E $\gtrsim\!\!100$ GeV), dominant contribution to resolution is confusion.

See Steven Green, Cambridge University Thesis 2017



The APRIL algorithm

APRIL : Algorithm for Particle Reconstruction at ILC from Lyon.

The clustering strategy

 $\bullet\,$ start from tracks (track driven clustering) extrapolate tracks in calorimeters $\to\,$ cluster hits close to the tracks.



- Perform Arbor Clustering with all hits.
- \bullet \rightarrow Clusters containing track cluster define charged clusters.
- Arbor parameters set to avoid making big clusters.
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow \mbox{ Some hits remain unclustered}.$
- Nearby hits merging : remaining unclustered hits are clustered with mlpack DBSCAN (efficient Nearest Neighbour clustering)
- If $E_{track} > E_{cluster}$, merge nearby cluster.

Arbor clustering

Graph theory : a shower is an oriented tree.

Orientation

- Rearrange hits in virtual nested cylinders (= pseudo layers)
- Count them from the inside.
- Forward direction = increase pseudo layer number.

Arbor

- Connect all neighbouring hits (use mlpack NeighborSearch).
- Clean connectors = keep max one backward connection per hit.





Connector cleaning



Reference direction

$$\mathbf{V}_r = w_b \times \sum_i \mathbf{v}_i^b + w_f \times \sum_j \mathbf{v}_j^f$$

- Can use different depth (max distance in pseudolayer) and weight in forward-backward direction.
- Define angle θ with respect to
 V_r and distance d between hits (in λ_I or X₀ unit).
- Keep connector with smallest

$$\kappa = \theta^{p_{\theta}} \times d^{p_d}$$

(if
$$\theta = 0$$
, smallest d)



6/13

- Goal : implement angle corrections
- Purely geometric corrections
 - $N_{\rm hit}^{\rm new} = N_{\rm hit} \times {\rm Effect}$
 - Effect $\frac{1}{\cos\theta}$ for endcap
 - Effect $\frac{1}{\sin \theta}$ for barrel
 - Effect $\frac{1}{\cos \varphi}$ for barrel only
 - Videau geometry taken in consideration
- Created SDHCALContent for all SDHCAL related plugins Git repo
- Separating detector (SDHCAL, ILD option 2) from PFA (APRIL).





Procedure

- Simulate and reconstruct samples of KLong going straight into the barrel $(\theta = \varphi = \frac{\pi}{2})$
- For each energy, we look at the pfoEnergyTotal distribution
- Distributions fitted with Gaussian function in a RMS90 range (smallest interval with 2 90% of the events)
- Mean value and standard deviation of the Gaussian function treated as the reconstructed energy and its resolution



Distribution of pfoEnergyTotal at 40 GeV

α_i parameters computation

- Different methods tried
 - Classical method : χ^2 minimization
 - Solving system of equations with GSL
 - New reconstruction method
- For quadratic : $\alpha_i = a_i N_{hit}^2 + b_i N_{hit} + c_i$ with $N_{hit} = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$

Classical method : χ^2 minimization

•
$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(E_{\rm mc,i} - E_{\rm rec,i}\right)^2}{E_{\rm mc,i}}$$
, N : Number of events used

• Minimization with TMinuit and MIGRAD (ROOT)

Solving system of equations with GSL

- 9 equations with 9 unknowns and $E_{\rm reco}$ known
- Compute the N means for each of the 9 energies : $< N_1 >, < N_{hit}N_1 >, \ldots$
- Solving with GSL (GNU Scientific Library)
- Cons :
 - A lot of parameters to adjust (9 for the quadratic formula)
 - Difficult to constrain the parameters

Tanguy Pasquier (IP2I Lyon)

APRIL recent developments

New reconstruction method

- Initial observation : N_2 behaves approximately as a fraction of N_1 , and N_3 behaves approximately as a fraction of the combination of N_1 and N_2
- $N_2 = 0.273174 \times N_1$
- $N_3 = 0.197756 \times (0.273174 \times N_1 + N_2)$



N3:(N2+0.273174*N1) (Necal<10&&Nout<2&&abs(CosTheta)<0.99&&N2/N1<1&&N3/(N2)<1)

Checking the coefficients

- Averages around zero when we look at the difference between the different terms as a function of energy
- Relations remain correct no matter what the energy is



N3-0.1977561(N2+0.2731741N1):EventEmergy (Necal<10&&Nout<2&&abs(CosTheta)<0.99&&N2(N1<1&&N3(N2)<1)



New formula

- We define : $\tilde{N_{hit}} = N_3 + 0.197756 \times (0.273174 \times N_1 + N_2)$
- We plot $E = f(\langle \tilde{N_{hit}} \rangle)$
- Best results for $f(<\tilde{N_{hit}}>)$ as quadratic



Reclustering / Cluster cutting

- Reclustering : Break the cluster and restart clustering with different parameters (Pandora strategy)
- Cluster cutting : cut the cluster and remove some parts

AMSTER algorithm

- Based on graph theory with Minimum Spanning Tree (MST)
- Cut the connections with bigger weights
- Implementation started and tested on simple cases



Figure: Event of a π^- (red) and a K^0 (green) in two clusters (blue and yellow)