

Study of exclusive $c \rightarrow sev_e$ transitions

Salvador Rosauro-Alcaraz

INFN, Sezione di Bologna

In collaboration with D. Becirevic, F. Jaffredo & O. Sumensari, in preparation

GDR-InF Annual Workshop 2024, Cabourg



Introduction



GDR-InF 2024

Salvador Rosauro-Alcaraz

Introduction



Low energies: **non-perturbative QCD** is one of the main **bottlenecks** for **theoretical predictions** $\int_{\text{Example in } B \rightarrow D^* d}$

For example in $B \to D^* \ell \nu$

Introduction



Low energies: **non-perturbative QCD** is one of the main **bottlenecks** for **theoretical predictions**

For example in $B\to D^*\ell\nu$

 $c \rightarrow s \ell \nu$ represents a good testing ground: BESIII + best environment for lattice QCD



Global fits:

UTFit Collaboration, arXiv:2212.03894

 $|V_{cs}|^{\text{UTFit}} = 0.97345(20)$

 $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$

CKMfitter Group, arXiv:2405.08046

$$|V_{cs}|^{\text{CKMfitter}} = 0.97351(6)$$

Global fits:

UTFit Collaboration, arXiv:2212.03894

$$|V_{cs}|^{\text{UTFit}} = 0.97345(20)$$

$$V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$$

CKMfitter Group, arXiv:2405.08046

$$|V_{cs}|^{\text{CKMfitter}} = 0.97351(6)$$



Leptonic modes:

$$\mathscr{B}\left(D_s \to \mu(\tau)\nu\right) \propto f_{D_s}^2 |V_{cs}|^2$$

 $\langle 0|\bar{s}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 c|D_s\rangle = ik_\mu f_{D_s}$
Only input from lattice QCD

Global fits:

UTFit Collaboration, arXiv:2212.03894

 $|V_{cs}|^{\text{UTFit}} = 0.97345(20)$

$$V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$$

CKMfitter Group, arXiv:2405.08046

$$|V_{cs}|^{\text{CKMfitter}} = 0.97351(6)$$

Leptonic modes:

$$\mathscr{B}\left(D_s \to \mu(\tau)\nu\right) \propto f_{D_s}^2 |V_{cs}|^2$$

FLAG Collaboration, arXiv:2111.09849

$$f_{D_s} = 249.9(0.5) \text{ MeV}$$

$$\mathscr{B}\left(D_{s} \to \mu\nu\right) = \left(0.55 \pm 0.03\right)\%$$
$$\mathscr{B}\left(D_{s} \to \tau\nu\right) = \left(5.60 \pm 0.25\right)\%$$

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2407.11727

 $|V_{cs}| = 0.997 \pm 0.018$

Only input from lattice QCD

Cannot match the precision from global fits

Global fits:

UTFit Collaboration, arXiv:2212.03894

 $V_{cs}|^{\text{UTFit}} = 0.97345(20)$

$$V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$$

CKMfitter Group, arXiv:2405.08046

$$|V_{cs}|^{\text{CKMfitter}} = 0.97351(6)$$

Leptonic modes:

$$\mathscr{B}\left(D_s \to \mu(\tau)\nu\right) \propto f_{D_s}^2 |V_{cs}|^2$$

FLAG Collaboration, arXiv:2111.09849

$$f_{D_s} = 249.9(0.5) \text{ MeV}$$

$$\mathscr{B}\left(D_s \to \mu\nu\right) = \left(0.55 \pm 0.03\right)\%$$
$$\mathscr{B}\left(D_s \to \tau\nu\right) = \left(5.60 \pm 0.25\right)\%$$

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2407.11727

 $(0 \ \overline{c} \ \overline{c} \ \overline{c} \ \overline{c} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0) \ \overline{c}$

 $|V_{cs}| = 0.997 \pm 0.018$

Only input from lattice QCD

Cannot match the precision from global fits



 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cs} \left[\left(1 + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \left(\bar{c}_L \gamma_{\mu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \gamma_{\mu} s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \nu_L \right) \right. \\ \left. + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_L s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L \right) \right] + \text{h.c.},$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cs} \Big[\left(1 + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \left(\bar{c}_L \gamma_{\mu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \gamma_{\mu} s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \nu_L \right) \\ &+ \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_L s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L \right) \Big] + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned}$$

Basis of **operators** with well defined **parity** transformations **under QCD**

$$\mathcal{O}_{V(\mathbf{A})} = \left(\bar{c}\gamma_{\mu}(\gamma_{5})s\right)\left(\bar{\ell}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}\nu_{L}\right)$$

$$g^{\ell}_{S(P)} = g^{\ell}_{S_R} \pm g^{\ell}_{S_L} \quad g^{\ell}_{V(A)} = g^{\ell}_{V_R} \pm g^{\ell}_{V_L} \quad g^{\ell}_T = g^{\ell}_T$$

New Physics in $C \rightarrow SeV_{\rho}$ Low-energy data $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cs} \left[\left(1 + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \left(\bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \gamma_\mu s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) \right]$ $+ g_{S_L}^{\ell} \left(\bar{c}_R s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + g_{S_R}^{\ell} \left(\bar{c}_L s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + g_T^{\ell} \left(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L \right) \right| + \text{h.c.},$ Meson decays See also C. Bolognani et al., arXiv:2407.06145 $D_{\rm c} \rightarrow e\nu$ Baryon decays $\mathcal{B}(D_s \to e\nu_e) < 8.3 \times 10^{-5}$ Belle Collaboration, arXiv:1307.6240 $D_{\rm s} \to \phi e \nu$ $\Lambda_c \to (\Lambda \to p\pi) e\nu$ $\mathcal{B}(D_s \to \phi e \nu_e) = (2.39 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$ BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2207.14149 $D \rightarrow Ke\nu$ PDG Collaboration, 2020 $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to K^- e \nu_e) = (3.509 \pm 0.016) \times 10^{-2}$ Derived angular distribution from $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to K^0 e \nu_e) = (8.86 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-2}$ "experimental" FF Info on binned distribution BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2408.09087



(Semi-) leptonic meson decays

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{-eptonic:} \\ \mathcal{B}\left(D_s \to \ell \nu_\ell\right) = \tau_{D_s} \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cs}|^2 f_{D_s}^2}{8\pi} M_{D_s} m_\ell^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{M_{D_s}^2}\right)^2 \left|1 - g_A^\ell + g_P^\ell \frac{M_{D_s}^2}{m_\ell \left(m_c + m_s\right)}\right|^2 \end{array}$$

Semileptonic: **Pseudoscalar** in final state $D \rightarrow Ke\nu_e$

In the SM
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{B}}{\mathrm{d}q^2} = \tau_D G_F^2 |V_{cs}|^2 \frac{\lambda^{3/2} (m_D^2, m_K^2, q^2)}{192\pi^3 m_D^3} f_+^2 \qquad \langle K | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu s | D \rangle \propto f_+, f_0$$





(Semi-) leptonic meson decays

Leptonic:

$$\mathcal{B}\left(D_s \to \ell \nu_\ell\right) = \tau_{D_s} \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cs}|^2 f_{D_s}^2}{8\pi} M_{D_s} m_\ell^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{M_{D_s}^2}\right)^2 \left|1 - g_A^\ell + g_P^\ell \frac{M_{D_s}^2}{m_\ell \left(m_c + m_s\right)}\right|^2$$

Semileptonic: Vector in final state $D_s \rightarrow \phi e \nu_e$

Already in the **SM**, many **more FF** are necessary

 $\langle \phi | V_{\mu} | D_s
angle \propto V$

Relevant for NP $\langle \phi | T_{\mu\nu} | D_s \rangle \propto T_1, T_2, T_3$





Semileptonic baryon decays



 $\langle \Lambda | V_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto f_\perp \,, f_+ \,, f_0$

 $\langle \Lambda | A_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto g_\perp \,, g_+ \,, g_0$

 $\langle \Lambda | T_{\mu
u} | \Lambda_c
angle \propto h_{\perp} \,, h_+ \,, h_0 \,, ilde{h}_{\perp} \,, ilde{h}_+$

S. Meinel, arXiv:1611.09696

Only lattice QCD determination

Semileptonic 3-body decays

 $\Lambda_c \to \Lambda e \nu_e$



 $\langle \Lambda | A_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto g_\perp \,, g_+ \,, g_0$

 $\langle \Lambda | T_{\mu
u} | \Lambda_c
angle \propto h_\perp \,, h_+ \,, h_0 \,, ilde{h}_\perp \,, ilde{h}_+$

S. Meinel, arXiv:1611.09696

Only lattice QCD determination

Any 3-body decay can be written as



Semileptonic 3-body decays

 $\Lambda_c \to \Lambda e \nu_e$

 $\langle \Lambda | A_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto g_\perp \,, g_+ \,, g_0$

 $\langle \Lambda | T_{\mu
u} | \Lambda_c
angle \propto h_\perp \,, h_+ \,, h_0 \,, ilde{h}_\perp \,, ilde{h}_+$

S. Meinel, arXiv:1611.09696

Only lattice QCD determination

 $\langle \Lambda | V_{\mu} | \Lambda_c
angle \propto f_{\perp} \,, f_{+} \,, f_0$

Any 3-body decay can be written as

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathcal{B}^{\lambda_{\ell}}}{\mathrm{d}q^{2}\mathrm{d}\cos\theta} = a^{\lambda_{\ell}}(q^{2}) + b^{\lambda_{\ell}}(q^{2})\cos\theta + c^{\lambda_{\ell}}(q^{2})\cos^{2}\theta$

One could measure up to 3 observables to fully describe the decay distribution

For
$$D_{(s)}$$
 decays we only have measured $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{d}q^2$

Instead, the **full decay rate** distribution for the Λ_c has been **measured**

 $\Lambda_c \to (\Lambda \to p\pi) e\nu_e$

4-body decay rate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4\Gamma^{\lambda_\ell}}{\mathrm{d}q^2\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\phi} = & A_1^{\lambda_\ell} + A_2^{\lambda_\ell}\cos\theta_{\Lambda} + \left(B_1^{\lambda_\ell} + B_2^{\lambda_\ell}\cos\theta_{\Lambda}\right)\cos\theta + \left(C_1^{\lambda_\ell} + C_2^{\lambda_\ell}\cos\theta_{\Lambda}\right)\cos^2\theta \\ & + \left(D_3^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\cos\phi + D_4^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\sin\phi\right)\sin\theta + \frac{1}{2}\left(E_3^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\cos\phi + E_4^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\sin\phi\right)\sin2\theta \end{aligned}$$

BESIII measured full decay rate

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2207.14149 BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2306.02624

The experimental collaboration provides only the **fitted FF assuming SM**

 $\Lambda_c \to (\Lambda \to p\pi) e\nu_e$

4-body decay rate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4\Gamma^{\lambda_\ell}}{\mathrm{d}q^2\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\phi} = & A_1^{\lambda_\ell} + A_2^{\lambda_\ell}\cos\theta_{\Lambda} + \left(B_1^{\lambda_\ell} + B_2^{\lambda_\ell}\cos\theta_{\Lambda}\right)\cos\theta + \left(C_1^{\lambda_\ell} + C_2^{\lambda_\ell}\cos\theta_{\Lambda}\right)\cos^2\theta \\ & + \left(D_3^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\cos\phi + D_4^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\sin\phi\right)\sin\theta + \frac{1}{2}\left(E_3^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\cos\phi + E_4^{\lambda_\ell}\sin\theta_{\Lambda}\sin\phi\right)\sin2\theta \end{aligned}$$

BESIII measured full decay rate

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2207.14149 BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2306.02624

The experimental collaboration provides only the **fitted FF assuming SM**

$$D_4$$
 and $E_4 \rightarrow 0$

Crucial to provide the full distribution of events and their correlations

 $\Lambda_c \to (\Lambda \to p\pi) e\nu_e$

Extracted form factors

 $\langle \Lambda | V_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto f_\perp \,, f_+ \,, f_0$

 $\langle \Lambda | A_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto g_\perp \,, g_+ \,, g_0$



 $\Lambda_c \to (\Lambda \to p\pi) e\nu_e$

Extracted form factors

 $\langle \Lambda | V_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto f_\perp \,, f_+ \,, f_0$

 $\langle \Lambda | A_\mu | \Lambda_c
angle \propto g_\perp\,, g_+\,, g_0$



 $\Lambda_c \to \Lambda e \nu_e$

Comparison of different observables

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathcal{B}^{\lambda_\ell}}{\mathrm{d}q^2 \mathrm{d}\cos\theta} = a^{\lambda_\ell}(q^2) + b^{\lambda_\ell}(q^2)\cos\theta + c^{\lambda_\ell}(q^2)\cos^2\theta$$

S. Meinel, arXiv:1611.09696 BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2207.14149



 $\Lambda_c \to (\Lambda \to p\pi) e\nu_{\rho}$

Comparison of different observables





Integrated quantities are consistent with the SM

Low-energy data

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cs} \Big[\left(1 + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \left(\bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \gamma_\mu s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) \\ &+ \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_L s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_T^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L \right) \Big] + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned}$

Include vector couplings







High- p_T tails @ LHC

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cs} \left[\left(1 + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \left(\bar{c}_L \gamma_{\mu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \gamma_{\mu} s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \nu_L \right) \right. \\ \left. + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_L s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L \right) \right] + \text{h.c.},$

SMEFT operators

$$g_{V_L}^{\ell} = \frac{v^2}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2} \mathcal{C}_{lq}^{(3)} \,, \quad g_{S_L}^{\ell} = \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2 V_{cs}} \mathcal{C}_{lequ}^{(1)} \,, \quad g_{S_R}^{\ell} = \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2} \mathcal{C}_{ledq} \,, \quad g_T^{\ell} = \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2 V_{cs}} \mathcal{C}_{lequ}^{(3)} \,,$$

Drell-Yan processes at colliders

HighPT

J. Fuentes-Martín *et al.*, arXiv:2003.12421 L. Allwicher *et al.*, arXiv:2207.10714 L. Allwicher *et al.*, arXiv:2207.10756

$$\sigma\left(pp\to\ell\nu\right)\propto\int f_{\bar{s}}f_c\hat{\sigma}\left(\bar{s}c\to\ell\nu\right)+\left(s\leftrightarrow c\right)$$

High- p_T **tails @ LHC**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cs} \Big[\left(1 + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \left(\bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \gamma_\mu s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) \\ &+ \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_L s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_T^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L \right) \Big] + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned}$$

Drell-Yan processes at colliders



High- p_T **tails @ LHC**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cs} \Big[\left(1 + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \left(\bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{V}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \gamma_\mu s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right) \\ &+ \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_L}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{S}_R}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_L s_R \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \nu_L \right) + \boldsymbol{g}_T^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} s_L \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L \right) \Big] + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned}$$

Drell-Yan processes at colliders



Conclusions

- Indirect tests of NP need very high precision from both experiment and theory
- Lattice QCD results for relevant semileptonic decays is not completely satisfactory
- The full q²-distributions of angular observables would be very useful

Conclusions

- Indirect tests of NP need very high precision from both experiment and theory
- Lattice QCD results for relevant semileptonic decays is not completely satisfactory
- The full q²-distributions of angular observables would be very useful
- High- p_T tails of Drell-Yan processes highly constrain any NP in semileptonic $c \rightarrow s$ transitions

Conclusions

- Indirect tests of NP need very high precision from both experiment and theory
- Lattice QCD results for relevant semileptonic decays is not completely satisfactory
- The full q²-distributions of angular observables would be very useful
- High- p_T tails of Drell-Yan processes highly constrain any NP in semileptonic $c \rightarrow s$ transitions
- If there is **no NP** in $c \to s \ell \nu$, we can then use all this data to test lattice QCD

Important to understand theory inputs in channels more sensitive to NP, like $B\to D^*\ell\nu$

Back up slides

Back up slides BESIII

They study a plethora of decays

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(c\bar{c}) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^{*+}\bar{D}_s^{*-}, \Lambda_c^+\bar{\Lambda}_c^-$

 $D_{\rm s} \to \ell \nu$

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2407.11727

 $D \to K \ell \nu$

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2408.09087

 $D_s \to \phi \ell \nu$

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2307.03024

 $\Lambda_c \to \Lambda \ell \nu$

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2207.14149

BESIII Collaboration, arXiv:2306.02624

Measured the q^2 -dependence of the branching fractions

Back up slides

Comparison of branching fractions

