Search in the dilepton final state in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{\text{s=13 TeV}}$ with the ATLAS detector

Laboratoire d'Annecy de physique des particules Supervisor : Tetiana Hryn'ova CSI : Fawzi Boudjema from LAPTH & Corinne Goy from LPSC





Introduction

★ Standard Model is only the piece of a more complete model

Standard Model of Elementary Particles



Introduction

★ Standard Model is only the piece of a more complete model





At LHC : Direct searches



Introduction

★ Standard Model is only the piece of a more complete model



Standard Model of Elementary Particles

At LHC : Precision measurement + EFT interpretation



$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{d>4} \sum_{i} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{d,i}}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \mathcal{O}_{d,i}$$

- Search for new Z' resonances in the final state with two leptons + X
- High mass Drell-Yan double differential cross-section measurement in an exclusive final state with b-jets.

Direct searches

• Search for new Z' resonances in the final state with two leptons + X

I contributed to 2 channels :

- Z'+MET*
- Z'+VBF* jets



MET* = Missing Transverse Energy VBF* = Vector Boson Fusion



My main task was the development of statistical tools

Results for the Z' + MET channel were publicly released in August 2023 (ATLAS-CONF-2023-045)!

- ★ We set new limits on the **Z' cross-section** (1 order of magnitude better) and **lepton coupling**!
- \star I presented the results at JRJC and wrote a proceeding on it.

• High mass Drell-Yan double differential cross-section measurement in an exclusive final state with b-jets. (HMDY+b)

• High mass Drell-Yan (DY) process at Next to-Leading Order (NLO)



- The large cross-section of the Drell-Yan process facilitates precision measurements, while the high-mass region is sensitive to new physics.
- Looking at final state with additional selection on number of b-jet
 - b-jet add sensitivity to new phenomena
 - Direct connection to b-anomalies (i.e. bsll vertex)

Analysis on-going!



- Goals :
 - ★ Double-differential cross-section measurement as function of dilepton (ee and mumu) mass and b-jet multiplicity

```
dilepton mass range : 130 GeV - 5 teV
```

```
b-jet multiplicity : 0 \mid 1 \mid \ge 2
```

★ Lepton Flavor Universality test

Electroweak coupling of the gauge bosons to leptons is independent of the lepton flavour

- \rightarrow Deviation to 1 of $R \frac{\sigma(DY \rightarrow ee)}{\sigma(DY \rightarrow \mu\mu)}$ could help probing new physics
 - ★ Constraint of new physics effects with Effective Field Theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{d>4} \sum_{i} \frac{c_{d,i}}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \mathcal{O}_{d,i}$$



• Unfolding

Unfolding is used to remove detector resolution effects from observed distribution in order to extract the underlying truth distribution.



• Fiducial phase space to unfold to





• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_II,#b-jet)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_ll,#b-jet)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_ll,#b-jet)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_II,#b-jet)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

CSI



• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_II,#b-jet)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_II,#b-jet)

Reconstructed level (particle level + detector effect)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_II,#b-jet)

Reconstructed level (particle level + detector effect)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

• Observable to unfold : 2D Observable : (m_II,#b-jet)

Reconstructed level (particle level + detector effect)



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

• Binning optimization

Starting point : Muon channel

- Estimation of rel. stat. uncertainties with the formula : $\sqrt{(DY+ttbar)/DY}$ for the muon channel
- Started with optimized 0 b-jet region binning and merge bins from **right to left** in order to achieve an acceptable rel. stat. uncertainties



• Binning optimization

Starting point : Muon channel

- Estimation of rel. stat. uncertainties with the formula : $\sqrt{(DY+ttbar)/DY}$ for the muon channel
- Started with optimized 0 b-jet region binning and merge bins from **right to left** in order to achieve an acceptable rel. stat. uncertainties



• Selection

Would a cut on missing transverse energy (MET) could improve signal purity in b-jet region dominated by top background ?

In ATLAS, MET primarily arises from neutrinos. In this channel, neutrinos are mainly produced by the decay of W bosons, which themselves originate from the decay of top quarks.

$$t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+ bW^- \bar{b} \rightarrow (\ell^+ \nu b)(\ell^- \bar{\nu} \bar{b}) \quad (\ell = e, \mu)$$

No neutrino should be produced at LO by DY process.

- Selection
- Study different cutting values : ≥ 10 ≥15 ≥20 ≥25 ≥30 ≥40 ≥50 ≥55 ≥60 GeV
- Stat only S=DY & B=ttbar
- Look at significance & efficiency

1 bjet 1 bjet - Bin 1 1 bjet - Bin 2 1 bjet - Bin 3 40.0 37.5 -35.0 \$ 32.5 5 30.0 -27.5 ATLAS Simulation work in Progress ATLAS Simulation work in Progress ATLAS Simulation work in Progress Js = 13 TeV, 140 fb^-1 25.0 -/s = 13 TeV. 140 fb^-1 _/s = 13 TeV. 140 fb^-1 Muon channel 22.5 -Muon channel Muon channel 30 20 30 40 20 30 40 MET Cut (GeV) 1 bjet - Bin 4 MET Cut (GeV 1 bjet - Bin MET Cut (GeV) 1 bjet - Bin 6 4.0 15 14 3.5 Ē 13 gu 3.0 -2.5 ATLAS Simulation work in Progress ATLAS Simulation work in Progress ATLAS Simulation work in Progress /s = 13 TeV, 140 fb^-1 /s = 13 TeV, 140 fb^-1 √s = 13 TeV, 140 fb^-1 2.0 Muon channel Muon channel Muon channel 20 MET Cut (G MET Cut (Ge



→ 30 GeV cut achieves best performances with better sensitivity across all bins compare to the configuration without any cut on MET



CSI



- ttbar estimation
- → (partially) Data-driven method using emu region
 - Overall agreement is fine within large modelling uncertainties
 - The goal is to constraint the ttbar background in the 1 & 2b-jet region where it is dominant
 - Use transfer factor method to address this

$$N_{ee/\mu\mu}^{t\bar{t}} = N_{e\mu}^{t\bar{t}} \times R_{e\mu \to ee/\mu\mu} = N_{e\mu}^{t\bar{t}} \times \frac{N_{ee/\mu\mu}^{t\bar{t},MC}}{N_{e\mu}^{t\bar{t},MC}}$$



- Uncertainties
 - Huge reduction in experimental and modelling uncertainties in the transfer factors R
 - Data statistical uncertainty from the emu CR yields
 - MC stat uncertainty from both SR and emu regions



• Overall agreement is fine within large modelling uncertainties

Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

CSI

- - FMC

- ttbar estimation
 - (partially) Data-driven method results :



- The estimation in the 1 & 2 b-jet regions is much more precise.
 - Significant uncertainties reduction (factor ~10)



- Profile likelihood Unfolding (PLU)
 - Allows to transform the unfolding problem into a standard problem of fitting normalisation of distributions. →Likelihood

$$L(n|\theta,\mu) = \prod_{i} P(n_{i}|S_{i}(\theta,\mu),B_{i}(\theta)) \times \prod_{j} G(\theta_{j})$$

- n = Data (Asimov data = perfect prediction of S and B events)
- B = Background (fixed)

S

13/09/2024

- S = Signal (free floating)
- μ = Parameter of interest(s) (POI)
- θ = Nuisance parameter(s) (NP) Systematics uncertainties that affect both S & B estimation

The μ is estimated by maximizing the Likelihood function (or minimizing the -log(L))

- Profile likelihood Unfolding (PLU)
 - Allows to transform the unfolding problem into a standard problem of fitting normalisation of distributions. →Likelihood

$$L(n|\theta,\mu) = \prod_{i} P(n_i|S_i(\theta,\mu),B_i(\theta)) \times \prod_{j} G(\theta_j)$$

n = Data (Asimov data = perfect prediction of B and S events)

- B = Background (fixed)
- S = Signal (free floating)
- μ = Parameter of interest(s) (POI)

 θ = Nuisance parameter(s) (NP) Systematics uncertainties that affect both S & B estimation

The μ is estimated by maximizing the Likelihood function (or minimizing the -log(L))





- Profile likelihood Unfolding (PLU)
 - Allows to transform the unfolding problem into a standard problem of fitting normalisation of distributions. →Likelihood

$$L(n|\theta,\mu) = \prod_{i} P(n_i|S_i(\theta,\mu),B_i(\theta)) \times \prod_{j} G(\theta_j)$$

- n = Data (Asimov data = perfect prediction of B and S events)
- B = Background (fixed)
- S = Signal (free floating)
- μ = Parameter of interest(s) (POI)

 θ = Nuisance parameter(s) (NP) Systematics uncertainties that affect both S & B estimation

The μ is estimated by maximizing the Likelihood function (or minimizing the -log(L))



- Profile likelihood Unfolding (PLU)
 - Allows to transform the unfolding problem into a standard problem of fitting normalisation of distributions. →Likelihood

$$L(n|\theta,\mu) = \prod_{i} P(n_{i}|S_{i}(\theta,\mu),B_{i}(\theta)) \times \prod_{j} G(\theta_{j})$$

- n = Data (Asimov data = perfect prediction of B and S events)
- B = Background (fixed)
- S = Signal (free floating)
- μ = Parameter of interest(s) (POI)
- θ = Nuisance parameter(s) (NP) Systematics uncertainties that affect both S & B estimation

The μ is estimated by maximizing the Likelihood function (or minimizing the -log(L))

Systematics uncertainties:

- •Electron, Muon, JET (Identification, Energy resolution...)
- •Flavor tagging (b-tagging..)
- •MET (soft tracks..)
- •emu CR (from ttbar estimation)
- theory (PDF..)



- Profile likelihood Unfolding (PLU)
 - Allows to transform the unfolding problem into a standard problem of fitting normalisation of distributions. →Likelihood

$$L(n|\theta,\mu) = \prod_{i} P(n_{i}|S_{i}(\theta,\mu),B_{i}(\theta)) \times \prod_{j} G(\theta_{j})$$

- n = Data (Asimov data = perfect prediction of B and S events)
- B = Background (fixed)
- S = Signal (free floating)
- μ = Parameter of interest(s) (POI)

 θ = Nuisance parameter(s) (NP) Systematics uncertainties that affect both S & B estimation

The μ is estimated by maximizing the Likelihood function (or minimizing the -log(L))



13/09/2024

CSI

• Folding of signal inputs



Response matrix



-Built from the acceptance (α), efficiency (ϵ) and the migration matrix (M)

$$R = \frac{1}{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot M \cdot \vec{\epsilon}$$

•acceptance (α) : correcting for events that are outside the fiducial region but still selected as part of the detector-level events.

•efficiency (ε) : correcting for events that are inside the fiducial region but that are not reconstructed.

•Migration Matrix (M) : Account for events from a truth bin_i reconstructed in a bin_j.

• Folding of signal inputs

Particle level



Reconstructed level



• NI SUD-reco DY distributions are built from the multiplication of each truth bin by each row of the response matrix.



Folding of signal inputs

Truth level

BinWidth [10² 10⁵ Events / 10⁴ μ_1 ATLAS Simulation work in Progress √s = 13 TeV, 140 fb⁻ BinWidth [GeV] 10⁸ 10¹ 10² 10² ATLAS Simulation work in progress \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, 140 fb¹ DY particle level 1 0.9 0.0 Hesbouse 10 ruth 10-1 10-2 0 10 12 14 16 18 2 0.7 (m_{µµ},#bjet) st 10⁴ 0.6 μ 0.5 10² -0.4 510° 9 10⁸ 0.3 ATLAS Simulation work in progress Vs = 13 TeV, 140 fb⁻¹ DY reco level Width 0.2 10 0.1 m 10 2 8 10 12 14 16 18 5 10 15 μ_{19} (m_{uu},#bjet) Reco 10 10 10-10 0 8 10 12 14 16 18 2 6 4 (m_{µµ},#bjet) 34 Tom Cavaliere - LAPP CSI 13/09/2024

Reconstructed level

DY reco level

ATLAS Simulation work in progress

∑ 10⁸ 9 10⁸

• Likelihood components



35

• Likelihood components



• Unfolding of DY process



• Unfolded results



• The MC DY (particle level) process is fully retrieved via the PLU method.

• Effects of the statistical and systematics uncertainties can be studied from this results.

• Systematics study



Impact of each Nuisance
Parameter (NP) on each 19
POI μi

- In 0 b-jet region, Muon object systematics are the most impactful

- In 1 bjet region, b-tagging systematics show the highest impact across the first 5 bins, and Muon and MC stat from background in the last bin

- The POI in the 2 b-jet region define by a single bin is most impacted by Jet object, ttbar modeling and MET systematics

• Systematics study



•Check if the uncertainties of each POI are statistically or systematically dominated.





- Status of the analysis
 - Status report was given early July.
 - A second one is planned next week.
 - Internal note has been written.
 - ATLAS internal review on-going



CSI



★ Theoretical motivation :

- New Z' gauge boson in BSM theories.
 - Additional SU(2) or U(1) gauge symmetry.
 - TeV scale.







Exclusive Z'+MET search



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

Exclusive Z'+MET public results





Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

CSI

Exclusive Z'+VBF jets search

★ VBF topology





forward jets

Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

Exclusive Z'+VBF jets preliminary results

- ★ Preliminary stats-only expected limits produced for both channels.
 - Increase the sensitivity compared to the inclusive search



Lepton flavour universality

- · Tensions with SM have been observed in LHCb/Belle
- Also in CMS HMDY measurement
- Important to also look with ATLAS



Back-up

• EFT sensitivity

Study done by Ariane Arnaud during her internship this summer



Tom Cavaliere - LAPP

CSI

50

Back-up

• Acceptance & Efficiency



Electron Reconstruction

Inner Detector (ID):

- **Pixel Detector & SCT**: Tracks the electron's trajectory and measures momentum.
- **TRT**: Distinguishes electrons from other particles using transition radiation.

Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL):

• **LAr Calorimeter**: Measures electron energy via electromagnetic showers.

Hadronic Calorimeter (HCAL):

• Confirms minimal energy deposit, verifying the electron ID.





Muon Reconstruction

Inner Detector (ID):

• Tracks the muon's trajectory and measures momentum.

Hadronic Calorimeter (HCAL):

• Muons pass through with minimal energy loss.

Muon Spectrometer (MS):

• Measures the muon's momentum with high precision