Optimal Collision Energy for Higgs Precision Measurements at the ILC250

MARIA ANDREA SIDDHARTA

SUPERVISED BY: TIAN JUNPING, SUEHARA TAIKAN

ICEPP/ILANCE, 2024

Motivation

The aim of this research is to define the optimal energies for the International Linear Collider to work at, so as to have the best accuracy on:

Cross-Section (to be studied in a **model independent** approach) of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ (mostly Higgsstrahlung) σ_{ZH} e^+

Anomalous Couplings



What is ILC?

- ► International Linear Collider
- Works at a **luminosity** of 2000 fb^{-1}
- **Lepton** collider exploiting e^+e^- collisions in 2 different beam configurations

Polarization:
$$P = \frac{N_R - N_L}{N_R + N_L} \Rightarrow N_L = \frac{1 - P}{2}, N_R = \frac{1 + P}{2}$$

Luminosity:
$$L = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{dN}{dt}$$
; $N = \int \sigma \cdot L dt$





$$(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (0.8, -0.3)$$



Radiative Energy Loss in linear colliders

As the beams approach the collision point, they undergo some physical phenomena that decrease their energy at the moment of the collision. They're typically emitted preferentially in the longitudinal direction.



- Bremsstrahlung: arising from the interaction of the beams with the detector nuclei
- Beamstrahlung: arising from the interaction of one of the beams with the other beam





Anomalous Couplings: Measurement

To study BSM physics, we introduce new Lorentz structure in the ZZH vertex lagrangian. Anomalous couplings depend strongly on momenta and their effect is best seen on differential cross-section, due to the introduction of new Lorentz structures



Energy dependency of Cross-Section



Analysis Framework

The simulation and reconstruction tools are the ones provided from ILCSoft

Signal:

- The Monte Carlo samples of the different process have been generated through WHIZARD
- > The model for the parton shower and hadronization is taken from **PYTHIA**
- Following the generation, the events are passed through an ILD (International Large Detector) simulation based on GEANT4
- > The event reconstruction is performed using the **Marlin** framework
- The PandoraPFA algorithm is implemented for calorimeter clustering and the analysis of tracks through a particle flow approach
- ▶ The energy we've studied is 250GeV (we plan to analyse 240, 250 GeV as well)
- We have assumed a luminosity of $2000 fb^{-1}$
- > The beam configuration taken into account is $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (-0.8, 0.3)$

The recoil mass technique

Signal: $e_L^- + e_R^+ \rightarrow \mu^- + \mu^+ + H$

Without assuming any specific decays for the Higgs, the recoil mass technique allows us to find with great accuracy the Higgs mass



Lepton selection								
μ ID	e ID							
$p_{\rm track} > 5 ~{ m GeV}$	$p_{\rm track} > 5 ~{ m GeV}$							
$E_{\rm CAL,tot}/p_{\rm track} < 0.3$	$0.5 < E_{\rm CAL,tot}/p_{\rm track} < 1.3$							
$E_{\rm yoke} < 1.2 {\rm GeV}$	$E_{\rm ECAL}/E_{\rm CAL,tot}>0.9$							
$ d_0/\delta d_0 < 5$	$ d_0/\delta d_0 < 50$							
$ z_0/\delta z_0 < 5$	$ z_0/\delta z_0 < 5$							

8/13

 After several pairs are selected, an MVA-driven algorithm is used to make sure these leptons do not come from the Higgs decay. The principle of this algorithm is making sure that the invariant mass of the di-lepton system is as close as possible to the Z boson's mass.

Cross-Section Measurement

Signal: $e_L^- + e_R^+ \to \mu^- + \mu^+ + H$

After finding the best lepton pair, the background needs to be suppressed by imposing some cuts to the measured quantities. Our general rule of thumb for finding a good cut is to boost the induced significance

S

Due to the assumption of Poissonian distribution:

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{nbin} \mathcal{S}_i^2}$$

$$\sigma_{ZH} = \frac{N_s}{BR(Z \longrightarrow l^+ l^-)\varepsilon_s L}$$

ignficance:
$$S = \frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}} \sim \frac{\overline{\sigma}}{\Delta \sigma}$$

 $e^{-}_{e^{+}}$
 e^{-}_{e

 e^{-}

 μ^{-}

Aftermaths of energy loss: Radiative Return

Depending on how much energy each particle loses, the probability of a process taking place gets higher or lower. Such a phenomenon is called radiative return. Let's look at an example





Process Signal 2f I 2f h 4f_l 4f_sl 4fh 200 0110 150 15 Recoil Mass (GeV) 115 120 125 130 135 140 145 155 5

Cut	Signal	Signal Efficiency	Signal Significance	2f_l	2f_h	4f_l	4f_sl	4f_h	Total Bkg
No cut	20616	1	9.42	$2.6 \cdot 10^{7}$	$1.55 \cdot 10^8$	$2.08 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3.83 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3.36 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2.73 \cdot 10^{8}$
Precuts	19429	94.24%	9.17	$1.46 \cdot 10^{6}$	5338	$2.18 \cdot 10^{6}$	824257	271	$4.47 \cdot 10^{6}$
$l^+l^-=\mu^+\mu^-$	19419	94.2%	13.85	$1.41 \cdot 10^{6}$	43.21	325287	209695	2.15	$1.95 \cdot 10^{6}$
$m_Z \in (84, 100)$	17425	84.52%	15.45	$1.02 \cdot 10^{6}$	8.25	76712	157181	0.72	$1.25 \cdot 10^{6}$
$E_{vis} > 10$	17418	84.49%	16.72	841930	8.25	68265	157181	0.72	$1.07 \cdot 10^{6}$
$ \cos(\vartheta_{mis}) < 0.98$	15909	77.17%	22.74	309434	5.75	37513	126356	0.48	473309
$m_{recoil} \in (110,155)$	15813	76.7%	65.54	10520	1.45	10931	20956	0.48	42408

Recoil Mass for different processes

To do list

- Carry the same analysis at 240, 260 GeV
- Study the anomalous couplings at these values of energy

12/13

Thank you for the attention!