Detecting dark matter oscillations with gravitational waveforms

arXiv: 2402.04819

- P. Valageas
- IPhT CEA Saclay
- Collaboration with Ph. Brax, C. Burrage, J. Cembranos

Marseille - October 15, 2024 GdR GW



I- Ultra-light Dark Matter as a Dark Matter candidate

A) Known properties of DM

- 27% of the energy density of the universe
- Cold (non-relativistic)
- Dark: small electromagnetic interactions



Energy content of the Universe

B) Many DM candidates



<u>C) Ultra-light dark matter</u>

Renewed interest in recent years (Hui, Ostriker, Tremaine, Witten 2017), especially since WIMPs have not been detected yet and ULDM might alleviate some small-scale tensions of LCDM.

Pawlowski/Bullock/Boylan-Kolchin

Missing satellite problem

Predicted ACDM substructure

Known Milky Way satellites

Simulation by V. Robles and T. Kelley and collaborators.

James S. Bullock, M. Boylan-Kolchin, M. Pawlowski

These problems may be solved by a proper account of baryonic physics (feedback from Supernovae and AGN), but ULDM remains an interesting candidate on its own.

$10^{-22} \,\mathrm{eV} < m < 1 \,\mathrm{eV}$



Core/cusp problem

Density profiles observations and simulations

Antonino Popolo, Morgan Le Delliou (2017)

D) Fuzzy dark matter $m \sim 10^{-22} \text{eV}$

De Broglie wavelength: $\lambda_{\rm dB} = 2\pi/(n)$



The DM density field behaves like CDM on large scales but structures are suppressed below $~\lambda_{
m dB}$

In particular, hydrostatic flat cores (« solitons ») can form at the center of DM halos.

For Fuzzy Dark Matter: m

However, this model already seems ruled out by Lyman-alpha forest power spectra (because of this suppression of small-scale power).



A slice of density field of ψ DM simulation on various scales at z=0.1 Schive, Chiueh, and Broadhurst (2014)

$$(v) \simeq \left(\frac{m}{10^{-22} \,\mathrm{eV}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{100 \,\mathrm{km/s}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$$

$$\lambda_{\rm dB} \sim 10^{-22} {\rm eV}$$
 $\lambda_{\rm dB} \sim 1 \, {\rm kpc}$



Radial density profiles of haloes formed in the ψ DM model

E) Self-interactions

Instead of relying on the quantum pressure (large λ_{dB}), we can also suppress small-scale structures through self-interactions.

This also generates an effective pressure, which is now due to the self-interactions.

II- Fast oscillations in Ultra-light Dark Matter density

A) Background at leading order



Klein-Gordon . eq.:

the scalar field oscillates with frequency *m*, and a slow decay of the amplitude: $\phi = \phi_0 (a/a_0)^{-3/2} \cos(mt)$ $ho \propto a^{-3}$

For a mostly quadratic potent



Also, k-essence models: S_d

tial with small self-interact

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\phi^{2} + V_{I}(\phi)$$

$$V_{I} \ll \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\phi^{2}$$

$$\phi = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\Lambda^{4}K(X) - \frac{m^{2}}{2}\phi^{2} \right]$$

$$X = -\frac{1}{2\Lambda^{4}}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi$$

$$K(X) = X + K_{I}(X)$$

$$\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}_{0}a^{-3/2}$$

$$\bar{S}(t) = \bar{S}_{0} - \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dtm\Phi_{I}\left(\frac{m^{2}\bar{\phi}_{0}^{2}}{2a^{3}}\right)$$

$$\bar{\phi}(t) = \bar{\varphi}(t)\cos(mt - \bar{S}(t))$$

$$S_{\phi} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - V(\phi) \right]$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{e.g., no self-interactions:} \quad V = \frac{1}{2}$$

behaves like dark matter:

$$V \propto \phi^n \quad \blacksquare \quad w = \frac{\langle p_{\phi} \rangle}{\langle \rho_{\phi} \rangle}$$





B) Non-relativistic regime

On the scale of the galactic halo we are in the nonrelativistic regime: the frequencies and wave numbers of interest are much smaller than m and the metric fluctuations are small.

<u>1) From Klein-Gordon eq. to Schrödinger eq.:</u>

Decompose the real scalar field ϕ in terms of the complex scalar field ψ

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} (e^{-imt} \psi + e^{imt} \psi^{\star}) \qquad \text{factorize}$$

Instead of the Klein-Gordon eq., it obeys a (non-linear) Schrödinger eq.:

$$i\left(\dot{\psi} + \frac{3}{2}H\psi\right) = -\frac{\nabla^2\psi}{2ma^2} + m\Phi_N\psi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{V}_I}{\partial\psi^*}$$

gravitational potential

es (removes) the fast oscillations of frequency m

 $\psi \ll m\psi, \quad \nabla\psi \ll m\psi$

 $\psi(x,t)$ evolves slowly, on astrophysical or cosmological scales.

self-interactions

$$V_{\mathrm{I}}(\phi) = \Lambda^{4} \sum_{p \ge 3} \frac{\lambda_{p}}{p} \left(\frac{\phi}{\Lambda}\right)^{p}$$

$$\bigvee$$

$$V_{\mathrm{I}}(\psi, \psi^{\star}) = \Lambda^{4} \sum_{p \ge 2} \frac{\lambda_{2p}}{2p} \frac{(2p)!}{(p!)^{2}} \left(\frac{\psi\psi^{\star}}{2m\Lambda}\right)^{p}$$

(keep only even terms)





2) From Schrödinger eq. to hydrodynamics (Madelung transformation)

One can map the Schrödinger eq. to hydrodynamic

The real and imaginary parts of the Schrödinger eq. lead to the continuity and Euler eqs.:



Madelung 1927, Chavanis 2012,

cal eqs.:
$$\psi = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{m}}e^{is}$$
 $\vec{v} = \frac{\nabla s}{m}$

$$\Phi_{\rm I} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_a}$$

$$P_{
m eff} \propto
ho^2$$



 $\gamma = 2$



3) Soliton (ground state): hydrostatic equilibrium



 $m \gg 10^{-18} \text{eV}:$

between the quantum pressure and self-gravity.

Numerical simulations of FDM indeed find that solitons form, from gravitational collapse, within an extended NFW-like out-of-equilibrium halo.



galactic soliton governed by the balance between the repulsive self-interaction and self-gravity.

 $m \sim 10^{-21} \text{eV}$: Fuzzy Dark Matter (de Broglie wavelength of galactic size): galactic soliton governed by the balance

III- Impact of the oscillatory DM gravitational potential on GW

A) DM oscillations

Khmelnitsky & Rubakov. 2013 (shift of PTA time delays)

Brax et al. 2402.04819

Blas et al. 2410.07330

The densi

ity field has a subleading oscillatory component:
$$\rho_{DM} = \rho_0 + \rho_{osc}$$

 $T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} ((\partial \phi)^2 - m)$
 $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2}m^2 A^2$
 $\rho_{osc} \sim (\nabla \phi)^2 \sim k^2 \phi^2 \sim \frac{k^2}{m^2} \rho_0 < v^2 \rho_0$
 $\lambda_{dB} = \frac{2\pi}{mv}, \quad k < \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_{dB}}$
tational potential also has a subleading oscillatory component:
 $\Psi_N(\vec{x}, t) = \Psi_0(\vec{x}) + \Psi_{osc}(\vec{x}) \cos[\omega t + 2\alpha(\vec{x})]$
 $\omega = 2m$

The gravit

$$\nabla^2 \Psi_0 = 4\pi \mathcal{G} \rho_0 \qquad \qquad \Psi_{\rm osc} = \pi \frac{\mathcal{G} \rho}{m^2}$$

fast oscillations
$$\phi(\vec{x},t) = A(\vec{x},t) \cos[mt + \alpha(\vec{x},t)]$$
 slow variations on astrophysical scales





B) Frequency shift

In the optical approximation, as for the Sachs-Wolfe effect for CMB photons, the gravitational potential along the line of sight leads to a frequency shift of the GW signal:



This effect is due to the propagation of the GW from the source to the observer, not to new physics modifying the production of the GW.

C) GW phase shift

GW signal: $h(t) = A(t) \cos[\Phi(t)]$ Phase and

Going to Fourier space:

$$\tilde{h}(f) = \int dt \, e^{i2\pi ft} h(t) = A(f)e^{it}$$

Saddle-point approximation:

 $A(f) \propto f^{-7/6}, \qquad \psi(f) = 2\pi$

$$f \gtrsim \omega$$
 whence $m_{\phi} < \left(\frac{f_{\min}}{1 \text{ Hz}}\right) 3 \times 10^{-10}$
reception (negligible)

The integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect is neglected $\lambda = \frac{c}{f} \ll \frac{2\pi}{k}$ (many oscillations along the l.o.s.):

d time related to the frequency drift:
$$\Phi = 2\pi \int df \frac{f}{\dot{f}}, \quad t = \int dt$$

 $\psi(f)$

$$\pi ft_{\star} - \Phi(t_{\star}) - \pi/4, \qquad f(t_{\star}) = f.$$







At leading order, the frequency drift is due to the emission of GV

The Sachs-Wolfe effect due to the DM gravitational potential gives a co (due to the correction to the observed frequency):

The contribution from the constant part is degenerative with the leading GW contribution:

The contribution from the oscillatory part reads:

Low scalar mass, degeneracy with leading GW term

$$m_{\phi} \ll m_{\star}: \Delta \psi_{\rm osc}(f) = \frac{\Psi_{\rm osc}}{16} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM}f}{c^3}\right)^{-5/3} \cos(\omega t_c - \theta)$$



Probe scalar masses

$$N: \qquad \bar{\psi}(f) = 2\pi f t_c - \Phi_c - \frac{\pi}{4} + \psi_{\rm GW}(f),$$

$$\psi_{\rm GW}(f) = \frac{3}{128} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM}f}{c^3}\right)^{-5/3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{3715}{756} + \frac{55\nu}{9}\right) \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM}f}{c^3}\right)^{2/3}\right]$$

$$M = m_1 + m_2, \quad \nu = m_1 m_2 / M^2, \quad \mathcal{M} = \mu_1 m_2 / M^2,$$

pontribution
$$\Delta \psi(f) = 2\pi \int_{\bar{t}_{\star}}^{t_c} dt \bar{f} \Psi.$$

$$\Delta \psi_0(f) = \frac{\Psi_0}{16} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM}f}{c^3} \right)^{-5/3}$$
incomplete
funct

$$\Delta \psi_{\rm osc}(f) = \Psi_{\rm osc} 2\pi \left(\frac{5}{256\pi} \right)^{3/8} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM}\omega}{c^3} \right)^{-5/8} \operatorname{Re}[e^{i(5\pi/16+\theta-\omega t_c)}\gamma(5/8, -\psi)]$$

$$y = \omega(t_c - \bar{t}_{\star}) = \frac{m_{\phi}}{m_{\star}}, \qquad m_{\star} = f \frac{128\pi}{5} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM}}{c^3} \right)^{-5/8}$$

Large scalar mass, degeneracy with constant factor Φ_c

$$m_{\phi} \gg m_{\star} \colon \Delta \psi_{\rm osc}(f) = \Psi_{\rm osc} \Gamma(5/8) 2\pi \left(\frac{5}{256\pi}\right)^{3/8} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM}\omega}{c^3}\right)^{-5/8} \cos(\omega t_c - \theta)$$

 $m \sim m_{\star}, \quad m_{\star} \ll f \text{ for } (\mathcal{GM}f/c^3) \ll 1, \quad R_{\mathrm{Sch}} \ll \lambda$









D) Comparison with dynamical friction

In many cases (CDM, supersonic motion in fluids or SFDM), the drag force on a BH moving within a medium takes the form of the Chandrasekhar result:

$$m_i \dot{\vec{v}}_i = -\frac{4\pi \mathcal{G}^2 m_i^2 \rho}{v_i^3} \Lambda \vec{v}_i,$$

This gives a correction to the frequency drift and to the GW pl which is independent of the scalar mass:

E) Fisher matrix analysis

	$m_1 (M_{\odot})$	$m_2 (M_{\odot})$	SNR	$d_L \ ({ m Mpc})$	detection
MBBH	10^{6}	5×10^5	3×10^4	10^{3}	0.4 - 60
IBBH	10^{4}	5×10^3	708	10^{3}	0.4 - 60
IMRI	10^{4}	10	64	10^{3}	8 - 80
EMRI	10^{5}	10	22	10^{3}	20 - 400
WD	0.4	0.3	7	5×10^{-3}	10^{4}

hase,
$$\Delta \psi_{df} = -\frac{75}{38912} \frac{\pi \mathcal{G}^3 \mathcal{M} \rho}{c^6} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{G} \mathcal{M} f}{c^3}\right)^{-16/3} \frac{\Lambda (m_1^3 + m_2^3)}{\nu^{1/5} \mathcal{M}^3}$$

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{(\text{SNR})^2}{\int_{f_{\min}}^{f_{\max}} \frac{df}{S_n(f)} f^{-7/3}} \int_{f_{\min}}^{f_{\max}} \frac{df}{S_n(f)} f^{-7/3} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_j}$$

 $\{\theta_i\} = \{t_c, \Phi_c, \ln(m_1), \ln(m_2), \Psi_{\text{osc}}\}$





DM gravitational potential



$$\Delta \psi_{\rm osc}(f) \sim \Psi_{\rm osc} 2\pi \left(\frac{5}{256\pi}\right)^{3/8} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{GM} 2m_{\phi}}{c^3}\right)^{-5/8} \left| \gamma \left(\frac{5}{8}, -i\frac{m_{\phi}}{m_{\star}(f)}\right) \right|$$

WD have smaller mass, which improves the detection threshold.

For $m_{\phi} \gtrsim 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$ dynamical friction is more important than the oscillations of the DM potential. $\rho = \frac{M_{\text{cloud}}}{R^3} < \frac{M_{\text{cloud}}}{\lambda_c^3} = \frac{M_{\text{cloud}}}{1M_{\odot}} \left(\frac{m_q}{1 \text{ e}^3}\right)$ Non-relativistic DM cloud:

Detection thresholds for 1 event (comparison of various binary systems)



dynamical friction

$$\Psi_{\rm osc} = \pi \frac{\mathcal{G}\rho}{m_{\phi}^2} \qquad \sigma_{\rho} \propto m^2 \sigma_{\Psi_{\rm osc}}$$

The density threshold increases with the scalar mass.

$$\left(\frac{h_{\phi}}{eV}\right)^{3} 10^{45} \text{ g/cm}^{3}$$

$$\lambda_{C} = \frac{2\pi}{m_{\phi}} = \left(\frac{m_{\phi}}{1 \text{ eV}}\right)^{-1} 4 \times 10^{-23} \text{ pc.} \quad \text{Compton wavelength}$$

G) DECIGO





The detection thresholds are of the same order as for LISA, but somewhat better.

IV- Conclusion

This probe is unlikely to be competitive with other more direct observations of DM substructures.

For $m_{\phi} < 10^{-23} \text{ eV}$ the clouds that could be detected would have a Compton wavelength greater than 1 pc.

For $m_{\phi} \sim 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$ the clouds that could be detected by LISA would have a density that is greater than in the solar neighbourhood by a factor of 10^5 , a mass above $10^5 \, M_\odot$ and a radius above $0.4\,{
m pc}$



Except for a small region of the DM parameter space, standard analysis where such an effect is neglected are justified.

For $m_{\phi} > 10^{-21}$ eV standard effects such as dynamical friction (accretion, gravitational pull) are expected to dominate.

non-standard formation mechanism at $z \sim 10^4$

Gravitational Waves emitted by a BH binary inside a SFDM soliton

Collaboration with A. Boudon, Ph. Brax

Boudon et al. 2305.18540

I- Additional forces on the BHs due to the dark matter environment

Gravity of the dark matter cloud:

$$m_{\rm BH}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm BH}|_{\rm halo} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}\mathcal{G}m_{\rm BH}\rho_0(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)$$

Accretion drag:

 $\dot{m}_{\rm BH}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm BH}|_{\rm acc} = -\dot{m}_{\rm BH}\mathbf{v}_{\rm BH}$

Dynamical friction:

$$m_{\rm BH} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm BH}|_{\rm df} = -\frac{8\pi \mathcal{G}^2 m_{\rm BH}^2 \rho_0}{3v_{\rm BH}^3} \ln\left(\frac{r_{\rm IR}}{r_{\rm UV}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\rm H}$$





II- Decay of the orbital radius

$$\langle \dot{a} \rangle = \langle \dot{a} \rangle_{\rm acc} + \langle \dot{a} \rangle_{\rm df} + \langle \dot{a} \rangle_{\rm gw}$$

$$\langle \dot{a} \rangle_{\rm gw} = -\frac{64\nu \mathcal{G}^3 m^3}{5c^5 a^3} \left(1 - \frac{4\pi\rho_0 a}{3m}\right)$$

$$\langle \dot{a} \rangle_{\rm acc} = -aA_{\rm acc} - a\left(\frac{a}{\mathcal{G}m}\right)^{3/2}$$

$$\langle \dot{a} \rangle_{\rm df} = -a \left(\frac{a}{\mathcal{G}m}\right)^{3/2} \left[B_{\rm df} + C_{\rm df} \ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{G}m}{a}} \frac{1}{c_s}\right) \right]$$



Correction due to the halo bulk gravity

 $B_{\rm acc}$

Accretion drag

Dynamical friction

III- Phase of the GW waveform



$$1 + \frac{2\pi\rho_0 a^3}{3m}$$

$$\frac{\dot{m}}{2m} - \frac{3\dot{a}}{2a} + \mathcal{G}\rho_0 \left(\frac{a^3}{\mathcal{G}m}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$
Time: $t = \int d\mathfrak{f} (1/\mathfrak{f})$

$$\tilde{h}(f) = \mathcal{A}(f)e^{i\Psi(f)}$$

$$\Phi_c - \frac{\pi}{4} + \Psi_{gw} + \Psi_{halo} + \Psi_{acc} + \Psi_{df}$$
DM corrections
$$\frac{nf}{3} \Big)^{2/3} = 0 + 1 \text{ PN}$$

 $\Psi_{
m df}$ -5.5 PN

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\rm halo} &= \frac{25\pi}{924} \frac{\rho_0 \mathcal{G}^3 \mathcal{M}^2}{c^6} (\pi \mathcal{G} \mathcal{M} f/c^3)^{-11/3} \\ \Psi_{\rm acc} &= -\frac{25\pi \mathcal{G}^3 \mathcal{M}^2 \rho_0}{38912c^6} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{G} \mathcal{M} f}{c^3}\right)^{-16/3} \sum_{i=1}^2 \Theta(f > f_{\rm acc,i}) \frac{m_i^3}{\mu^2 m} \left(3 + 2\frac{m_i^2}{m\mu}\right) \\ &- \frac{75\pi F_\star \nu^{2/5} \mathcal{G}^3 \mathcal{M}^2 \rho_a}{26624c^6} \left(\frac{\pi \mathcal{G} \mathcal{M} f}{c^3}\right)^{-13/3} \sum_{i=1}^2 \Theta(f < f_{\rm acc,i}) \left(3 + 2\frac{m_i^2}{m\mu}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm acc,i}}\right)^{13/3} + \frac{13}{19} \left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm acc,i}}\right)^{16/3}\right] \end{split}$$

$$\Psi_{\rm df} = \frac{875\pi\mathcal{G}^3\mathcal{M}^2\rho_0}{11829248c^6} \left(\frac{\pi\mathcal{G}\mathcal{M}f}{c^3}\right)^{-16/3} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{m_i^3}{\mu^2 m} \Theta(f_{\rm df,i}^- < f_{\rm df,i}^+) \left\{\Theta(f_{\rm df,i}^- < f < f_{\rm df,i}^+) \left[1 + \frac{304}{105}\ln\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^+} - \frac{361}{105}\left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^+}\right)^{16/3} + \frac{256}{105}\left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^+}\right)^{19/3}\right] + \Theta(f < f_{\rm df,i}^-) \left[-\frac{361}{105}\left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^+}\right)^{16/3} + \frac{361}{105}\left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^-}\right)^{16/3} + \frac{5776}{315}\left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^-}\right)^{16/3} \ln\frac{f_{\rm df,i}^-}{f_{\rm df,i}^+} + \frac{256}{105}\left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^+}\right)^{19/3} - \frac{4864}{315}\left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm df,i}^-}\right)^{19/3} \ln\frac{f_{\rm df,i}^-}{f_{\rm df,i}^+}\right]\right\}$$

IV- Fisher matrix analysis

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{(\text{SNR})^2}{\int_{f_{\min}}^{f_{\max}} \frac{df}{S_n(f)} f^{-7/3}} \int_{f_{\min}}^{f_{\max}} \frac{df}{S_n(f)} f^{-7/3} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \Psi} \frac{\partial$$

 $\{\theta_i\} = \{t_c, \Phi_c, \ln(m_1), \ln(m_2), \rho_0, \rho_a\}$ Parameters:

 $rac{\partial \Psi}{\partial heta_j}$

 ho_0 halo bulk density



V- Region in the parameter space that can be detected



 ho_0 halo bulk density

$$\rho_a = \frac{4m^4}{3\lambda_4}$$

$$\frac{\rho_a}{\rho_0} = \frac{c^2}{c_s^2} \ge 1$$

$\ m_1 (M_{\odot})\ $	$m_2 (\mathrm{M}_{\odot})$	X1	,
10 ⁶	5×10^5	0.9	(
10^{4}	5×10^3	0.3	(
10^{4}	10	0.8	(
10 ⁵	10	0.8	(
	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

$$1 M_{\odot}/\mathrm{pc}^3 = 6.7 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{g/c}$$



$$\mathrm{cm}^3$$



Detector Event	LISA	B-DECIGO	
MBBH	$\rho_0 > 8 \times 10^{-13} \text{ g/cm}^3$	X	
	$\rho_a > 5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ g/cm}^3$	\times	
IBBH	$\rho_0 > 5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ g/cm}^3$	\times	
	$\rho_a > 3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ g/cm}^3$	\times	
IMRI	$\rho_0 > 3 \times 10^{-20} \text{ g/cm}^3$	×	
	$\rho_a > 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ g/cm}^3$	\times	
EMRI	$\rho_0 > 10^{-22} \text{ g/cm}^3$	X	
	$\rho_a > 10^{-8} \text{ g/cm}^3$	X	
GW150914	X	$\rho_0 > 8 \times 10^{-14} \text{ g/cm}^3$	
	X	$\rho_a > 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ g/cm}^3$	
GW170608	X	$\rho_0 > 10^{-15} \text{ g/cm}^3$	
	X	$\rho_a > 2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ g/cm}^3$	

halo bulk density ho_0

$$\rho_a = \frac{4m^4}{3\lambda_4}$$

Critical density: $\rho_c \sim 10^{-29} \text{g/cm}^3 \sim 10^{-7} M_{\odot}/\text{pc}^3$

Solar neighborhood:

 $\rho_{\rm DM} \sim 1 \ M_{\odot}/{\rm pc}^3 \sim 7 \times 10^{-23} \ {\rm g/cm}^3$

Baryonic density in thick disks:

 $\rho_{\rm b} \lesssim 10^{-7} {\rm g/cm}^3$









$(m_{ m DM},\lambda_4)$ Plane







 $(m_{
m DM},R_{
m sol})$



$$R_{\rm sol} = \pi \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda_4}{2}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{m^2}$$
$$R_{\rm sol} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4\mathcal{G}\rho_a}}$$

Radius of the scalar cloud (soliton)

