Current status of the cosmological analysis pipelines in LVK

B. Revenu, Subatech (CNRS, Nantes)



1. GW and cosmology

GW from mergers give access to d_L :

- signal amplitude: $< h > \propto$
- time to coalescence: $au \propto M$

so that: $r \propto \langle h \rangle^{-1}$

measuring $\langle h \rangle$, τ (in seconds) and f gives the distance r to the CBC:

$$r = 780 \text{ Mpc} \frac{1}{10^{23}} < h > \tau \left(\frac{100 \text{ Hz}}{f}\right)^2$$

in an expanding universe, r is d_{L} and masses are multiplied by (1+z)

$$M_c^{5/3} f^{2/3} r^{-1}$$

$$l_c^{-5/3} f^{-8/3}$$

$$au^{-1} f^{-2}$$
 (independent of time)

In a <u>flat LCDM model</u>, d_{L} is related to cosmology:

$$d_L = \frac{(1+z)c}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{\sqrt{\Omega_m (1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} \stackrel{z \ll 1}{\approx} \frac{c z}{H_0}$$
(need large-z events to infer Ω_m and Ω_Λ)

$$m_{\rm det} = (1+z) \, m_{\rm s} \stackrel{\text{if } \underline{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathrm{s}} \text{ is known!}}{\longrightarrow} z = \frac{m_{\rm det}}{m_{\rm s}} - 1 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{if } \underline{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathrm{s}} \text{ is known!}} H_0 = \frac{c}{d_L} \frac{m_{\rm det} - m_{\rm s}}{m_{\rm s}}$$

redshift-mass degeneracy:

any feature in the mass spectrum helps breaking the degeneracy: this is the **spectral analysis** (GW data only)





We add another source of information under the assumption: CBCs are hosted in galaxies

the measured GW signal depends on the sky position: we compute a sky map of the possible source locations (3D: ra, dec, d_L), with correlations



We add another source of information under the assumption: CBCs are hosted in galaxies

the measured GW signal depends on the sky position: we compute a sky map of the possible source locations (3D: ra, dec, d_{L}), with correlations



GLADE+: Dalya et al, MNRAS 514, 1403-1411 (2022)

2. The model

Goal of the analysis:

describe the rates and distributions of mass, distance, spins

For a single event:

$$\theta = (m_{1d}, m_{2d}, d_L,$$

For a set of events:

 $p(m_{1d}, m_{2d}, d_L, \chi, ...)$ $p(m_{1s}, m_{2s}, z, \chi, ...)$ $p(m_{1s})p(m_{2s}|m_{1s})p_{CBC}(z)p(z)p(\chi)\dots$ simplification: separing variables

- $\iota, ra, dec, \chi...)$
- - detector frame
 - source frame (astrophysics)



 $p(m_{1s})p(m_{2s}|m_{1s})p_{CBC}(z)p(z)p(\chi)...$ $R_0 f(z) = R_0 \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{(1+z_p)^{\gamma+\kappa}}\right)(1+z)^{\gamma}}{1 + \left(\frac{1+z_p}{1+z_p}\right)^{\gamma+\kappa}} \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$

Model for redshift distribution of CBCs: the binary black holes distribution follows the star formation rate

Madau-Dickinson



$p(m_{1s})p(m_{2s}|m_{1s})p_{CBC}(z)p(z)p(\chi)...$

$R_0 f(z) = R_0 \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{(1+z_p)^{\gamma+\kappa}}\right)(1+z)^{\gamma}}{1 + \left(\frac{1+z}{1+z_p}\right)^{\gamma+\kappa}} \operatorname{Gpc}^{-3} \operatorname{y}^{-1}$

Model for redshift distribution of CBCs: the binary black holes distribution follows the star formation rate

either uninformative prior: uniform in comoving volume (spectral analysis) $\mathrm{d}N \propto \mathrm{d}V_c$: $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}\gamma} \propto \frac{\mathrm{d}V_c}{\mathrm{d}\gamma}$

> or use a galaxy catalogue as an informative prior for the host galaxies:

$$p_{ ext{cat}}(z|\{\hat{z}_g\}) = rac{1}{N_{ ext{gal}}}\sum_i^{N_{ ext{gal}}}\delta(z-\hat{z}_g^i),$$





 $p(m_{1s})p(m_{2s}|m_{1s})p_{CBC}(z)p(z)p(\chi)...$



Cosmological Analysis Pipelines in LVK

$\Lambda = (H_0, \alpha, \beta, \mu_q, \sigma_q, \lambda_q, M_{\min}, M_{\max}, z_p, \gamma, \kappa...)$

Two (public) independent codes are available for cosmology:

- 1) icarogw: S.Mastrogiovanni et al (Astron.Astrophys. 682 (2024) A16, Phys. Rev. D, 104, 062009 (2021), Phys. Rev. D, 108, 042002 (2023)
- 2) **QWCOSMO:** R. Gray et al (JCAP 12 (2023) 023, MNRAS 512 (2022) 1127, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 122001)

<u>Historically:</u>

- icarogw = spectral analysis
- gwcosmo = galaxy catalogue analysis
- since ~ 1 year, both codes can infer jointly all hyper-parameters with/without a galaxy catalogue

3. Bayesian analysis

Mandel et al. 1809.02063, Thrane and Talbot 1809.02293 Vitale et al. 2007.05579

Statistical framework

single event **GW** likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(x|\Lambda,N) = e^{-N_{\exp}(\Lambda)} N_{\exp}^{N_{\mathrm{obs}}}$$
gives the events rate selection biase.g. SN

 θ : individual event parameters $\theta =$ $\pi(\theta|\Lambda)$: proba for a CBC to have individual parameters θ given Λ

single event likelihood: $\mathcal{L}(x_i|\theta,\Lambda) = \mathcal{L}(x_i|\theta,\Lambda)$



$$(m_{1s}, m_{2s}, d_L, \iota, ra, dec, \chi...)$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(\theta|x_i,\Lambda)p(x_i|\Lambda)}{p_{\rm PE}(\theta|\Lambda)} \propto \frac{\mathcal{L}(\theta|x_i,\Lambda)}{p_{\rm PE}(\theta|\Lambda)}$$

4. Results



posterior distribution of the 12 hyper-parameters $\Lambda = (H_0, \alpha, \beta, \mu_g, \sigma_g, \lambda_g, M_{\min}, M_{\max}, z_p, \gamma, \kappa...)$

Gray et al JCAP12(2023)023

LVK, *Astrophys.J.* 949 (2023) 2, 76 but results in 2021



LVK, *Astrophys.J.* 949 (2023) 2, 76 but results in 2021



LVK, *Astrophys.J.* 949 (2023) 2, 76 but results in 2021



23

LVK, *Astrophys.J.* 949 (2023) 2, 76 but results in 2021



LVK, *Astrophys.J.* 949 (2023) 2, 76 but results in 2021



GWTC3 - BBHs, NSBHs, BNS - H₀



previous result: (LVK, Astrophys.J. 949 (2023) 2, 76) $H_0 = 68^{+8}_{-6} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$

Conclusion

- cosmology results with the O4a events are currently being produced
- other mass models are available + use a single mass model for all CBCs (done in icarogw)
- probably the most important: use a **deeper galaxy catalogue**

 - currently GLADE+ (Dalya et al, MNRAS 514, 1403–1411 (2022)), 22 million of galaxies • upcoming: UpGLADE, 2 billion of galaxies, much more complete
- allow mass models evolving with redshift
- Mock Data Challenge: study of systematics, for instance using a Mock catalogue with mass-z dependence