or *The "QCD axion sum rule"*

 The Axion Quest 2024 conference - 20th Rencontres du Vietnam Aug 4 – 10, 2024

Pablo Quílez Lasanta - *[pquilez@ucsd.edu](mailto:pablo.quilez@desy.de)* University of California San Diego (UCSD) Based on "*The QCD axion sum rule"* **JHEP 04 (2024) 056** 2305.15465 in collaboration with B. Gavela and M. Ramos

The QCD axion

- ➔ Solves the Strong CP problem
- **→** Excellent Dark Matter candidate

[Peccei+Quinn 77] [Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78] [Abbot+Sikivie, 83] [Dine and W. Fischler, 83] [Preskil et al, 91]

The QCD axion

- **→** Solves the Strong CP problem
- **→** Excellent Dark Matter candidate

[Peccei+Quinn 77] [Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78] [Abbot+Sikivie, 83] [Dine and W. Fischler, 83] [Preskil et al, 91]

The QCD axion

 10^{-9}

 10^{-10}

 10^{-11}

 10^{-12}

 10^{-13}

 10^{-17}

 10^{-18}

 10^{-19}

 10^{-2}

 $\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-14}}$ $\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{-15}}$

- \rightarrow Solves the Strong CP problem
- **→** Excellent Dark Matter candidate

[Peccei+Quinn 77]

The axion solution **[Peccei+Quinn 77]**

 \rightarrow Strong CP problem $\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{\theta}_{\text{QCD}} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G}$

Neutron EDM (Electric Dipole Moment)

Why is it so small?

$$
\bar{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}
$$

The axion solution **[Peccei+Quinn 77]**

 \rightarrow Strong CP problem $\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{\theta}_{\text{QCD}} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G}$

Neutron EDM (Electric Dipole Moment)

Why is it so small?

$$
\bar{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}
$$

 \rightarrow If θ were a scalar field, its vev would be zero **[Vafa+Witten, 84]**

$$
\bar{\theta} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \left(\bar{\theta} - \frac{a}{f_a} \right) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G}
$$

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez

The pGoldstone boson of the PQ sym.

- \rightarrow Introduce a $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry (classically exact): **[Peccei+Quinn 77]**
	- Spontaneously broken \rightarrow pGoldstone Boson: AXION **[Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78]**
	- Anomalous: explicitly broken by QCD instantons \rightarrow massive

The pGoldstone boson of the PQ sym.

- \rightarrow Introduce a $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry (classically exact): **[Peccei+Quinn 77]**
	- Spontaneously broken \rightarrow pGoldstone Boson: AXION **[Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78]**
	- Anomalous: explicitly broken by QCD instantons \rightarrow massive

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{a}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G} \longrightarrow m_a^2 f_a^2 \simeq m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2}
$$

The pGoldstone boson of the PQ sym.

- \rightarrow Introduce a $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry (classically exact): **[Peccei+Quinn 77]**
	- Spontaneously broken \rightarrow pGoldstone Boson: AXION **[Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78]**
	- Anomalous: explicitly broken by QCD instantons \rightarrow massive

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{a}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G} \longrightarrow m_a^2 f_a^2 \simeq m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{ Couplings:} \qquad \mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma\gamma} a F \tilde{F}
$$

\n
$$
g_{a\gamma\gamma} \propto \frac{1}{f_a} \implies g_{a\gamma\gamma} \propto m_a \qquad g_{a\gamma\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2\pi f_a} \alpha_{em} \left(\frac{E}{N} - 1.92(4)\right)
$$

Invisible axion parameter space

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez

Beyond the canonical band

Summary slide from Patras 2021 Review talk: "True axions beyond the canonical band" P. Quilez

 $g_{a\gamma}$

- A) Photophilic/photophobic axions
- 1. Single scalar: Playing with fermionic representations

"Preferred axion window" "Axion from monopoles"

[Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 16] [Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 18]

[Sokolov, Ringwald, 21]

2. Multiple scalars: Alignment in field space

"Clockwork axion" "KNP alignment" "Multi-higgs models"

[Farina et al, 17] [Coy, Frigerio, 17] [Kim et al, 04] [Choi et al, 14 and 16] [Kaplan et al 16] [Giudice et al 16]

[Agrawal et al 17] [Kim et al, 04] + Refs in FIPs report [2102.12143]

[Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 17] [Di Luzio, Giannotti, Nardi, Visinelli, 16] [Darmé, Di Luzio, Giannotti, Nardi, 20]

B) Heavy/even lighter axions

1. Heavy axions: extra instantons

[Rubakov, 97] [Berezhiani et al ,01] [Fukuda et al, 01] [Hsu et al, 04] [Gianotti, 05] [Hook et al, 14] [Chiang et al, 16] [Khobadize et al,]

[Dimopoulos et al, 16] [Gherghetta et al, 16] [Agrawal et al, 17] [Gaillard, Gavela, Houtz, Rey PQ, 18] [Fuentes-Martin et al, 19] [Csaki et al, 19] [Gherghetta et al, 20]

2. Even lighter QCD axion

[Hook, 18] [Luzio, Gavela, PQ, Ringwald, 21] [Luzio, Gavela, PQ, Ringwald, 21]

More PQ breaking

 $\partial_{\mu}j_{\rm PO}^{\mu} = GG + \dots$

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 11

The single QCD axion line

The single QCD axion line

Adapted from AxionLimits [Ciaran O'hare, 20]

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez 13 13

Can the QCD axion deviate from the standard m_a - f_a relation being QCD the only source of PQ breaking?

Or is it written in stone?

How many QCD axions can there be?

If there are other scalar singlets in **Nature, other ALPs,**

What are the consequences of a general mixing with the QCD axion?

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G\widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \,,
$$

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G\widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N),
$$

 \exists true $U(1)_{\text{PQ}} \Longleftrightarrow$ classically exact and only broken by QCD

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\chi_{\text{QCD}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k}\right)^2 - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N),
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}\hat{a}_k \hat{M}_{kl}^2 \hat{a}_l \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{M}^2 = \hat{M}_A^2 + \hat{M}_B^2,
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}m_i^2 a_i^2
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}m_i^2 a_i^2
$$

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\chi_{\text{QCD}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_{k}}{\hat{f}_{k}}\right)^{2} - V_{B}(\hat{a}_{1}, \hat{a}_{2}, \dots, \hat{a}_{N}),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}\hat{a}_{k}\hat{M}_{kl}^{2}\hat{a}_{l} \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{M}^{2} = \hat{M}_{A}^{2} + \hat{M}_{B}^{2},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}m_{i}^{2}a_{i}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \text{Compute coupling to gluons of the N mass eigenstates}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} \frac{a_{i}}{f_{i}}G\tilde{G}
$$

Deviation from the QCD line: g_i-factor

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 24

Deviation from the QCD line: g_i-factor

Toy example: N=2

\n
$$
\boxed{\text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \boxed{\text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \boxed{\text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \boxed{\text{my.} \quad \text{my.} \quad \text{
$$

Toy example: N=2

\n
$$
\boxed{\text{my.2: } N = \frac{m_i^2 f_i^2 \equiv f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i}{\mathcal{L}_{N=2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta}\right) G\tilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2. \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}}\right)^2 + r \hat{a}_2^2 \right]}
$$

Note that there are 2 relevant axion linear combinations: \rightarrow

• The one that couples to
$$
G\tilde{G}
$$

$$
\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \right)
$$

The one implementing the PQ symmetry:

$$
a_{\rm PQ}=\hat a_1\,,
$$

PQ:
$$
\hat{a}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{a}_1 - \bar{\theta} \hat{f}
$$
 allows to fully reabsorb $\bar{\theta}$

Toy example: N=2

\n
$$
\boxed{\underset{n=1}{m_i^2 f_i^2 \equiv f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i}}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}_{N=2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G\tilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2. \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \hat{a}_2^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
a_{\text{PQ}} = \hat{a}_1, \quad \hat{a}_{\text{G}\tilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2)
$$

Limit $\mathbf{r} \to \infty$: The mass eigenstates read,

$$
a_1 \simeq \hat{a}_1
$$
, with
\n $a_2 \simeq \hat{a}_2$, with
\n $g_1 \to 1 \qquad \Longrightarrow a_1 = a_{\text{QCD-like}}$
\n $g_2 \to \infty \qquad \Longrightarrow a_2 = a_{\text{decoupled}}$

Limit $\mathbf{r} \to \infty$: The mass eigenstates read,

$$
a_1 \simeq \hat{a}_1 \text{ , with } a_2 \simeq \hat{a}_2 \text{ , with }
$$

 $g_1 \to 1 \qquad \Longrightarrow a_1 = a_{\text{QCD-like}}$ $g_2 \to \infty \qquad \Longrightarrow a_2 = a_{\text{decoupled}}$

Limit $r \rightarrow 0$ **:** The mass eigenstates read,

$$
a_1 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_2), \text{with}
$$

$$
a_2 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2), \text{with}
$$

$$
g_1 \to \infty \qquad \implies a_1 = a_{\text{decoupled}}
$$

$$
g_2 \to 1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \; a_2 = a_{\rm QCD-like}
$$

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez

$$
m_i^2 f_i^2 \equiv f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i
$$

QCD-axionness $\frac{1}{g_i} = f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \frac{1}{m_i^2 f_i^2} = \frac{m_a^2 f_a^2}{m_s^2 f_i^2}$ single QCD axion

- $\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$
- Inverse of the distance to QCD line
- Fraction of its mass stemming from QCD

QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ

- $\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$
- Inverse of the distance to QCD line
- Fraction of its mass stemming from QCD

$$
\boxed{\exists \ U(1)_{PQ} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1,}
$$

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez
QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ

$$
\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{m_i^2 f_i^2} \qquad \qquad \boxed{\exists \ U(1)_{PQ} \implies \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{g_i} = 1},
$$

$$
\frac{\text{Mass Eigenstates}}{g_i} = \frac{\left< a_{\text{PQ}} \left| \left. a_i \right\rangle \right| \!\! \left< a_i \left| \left. a_{G\widetilde{G}} \right\rangle \right. }{\left< a_{\text{PQ}} \left| \left. a_{G\widetilde{G}} \right\rangle \right. } \right.
$$

QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ

QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 39

Experimental consequences

\n
$$
\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{m_i^2 f_i^2} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1
$$
\n**4)**

\n
$$
\max_{\mathbf{M}^2} \left\{ \min_i \{ g_i \} \right\} = N \quad \implies g_i = N, \ \forall i.
$$

QCD Maxions = Maximally deviated QCD axions = Multiple QCD axions

4)

 \overline{N}

 \boldsymbol{N}

 $\sum_{i=1}$ frac{1}{g_i}

 $\cdot = 1$

 $\frac{N}{\sqrt{N}}$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$

 \overline{N}

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$

 \boldsymbol{N} $- = 1$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g_i} =$

MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

Neutrinos Lead to Unexpected Discovery in Basic Math

Biology

PETER B. DENTON, STEPHEN J. PARKE, TERENCE TAO, AND XINING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. If A is an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A)$ and $i, j = 1, ..., n$, then the jth component $v_{i,j}$ of a unit eigenvector v_i associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i(A)$ is related to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(M_i), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}(M_i)$ of the minor M_i of A formed by removing the i^{th} row and column by the formula

$$
|v_{i,j}|^2 \prod_{k=1;k\neq i}^n (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(A)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(M_j)).
$$

We refer to this identity as the *eigenvector-eigenvalue identity* and show how

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 55

QCD Maxion conditions

$$
\textbf{4) } \quad \max_{\mathbf{M}^2} \left\{ \min_i \{ g_i \} \right\} = N \quad \implies g_i = N, \ \forall \, i \, .
$$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{N-k}^{\mathbf{M}^2}=N\,\frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^2}\,\mathcal{B}_{N-k-1}^{\mathbf{M}^2}\,.
$$

$$
p_{\mathbf{M}^{2}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{N-k}}{(n-k)!} \mathcal{B}_{n-k}^{\mathbf{M}^{2}} \lambda^{k}
$$

$$
\text{tr}\,\mathbf{M}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i}^{2} = N \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}}.
$$

$$
m = N(N+1)/2.
$$

m-parameter family of Maxion matrices,

 \boldsymbol{N}

 $i=1$

 g_i

Coupling to photons

$$
\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{N} g_{\hat{a}_k \gamma \gamma}^0 \, \hat{a}_k F \widetilde{F} \equiv \frac{\alpha_{em}}{8\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \underbrace{\overline{E}}_{N} \underbrace{\hat{a}_k}_{\hat{f}_k} F \widetilde{F},
$$

All the results apply to photons if all a_k have the same E/N

$$
\left. \frac{m_i^2}{g_{a_i\gamma\gamma}^2} = \frac{m_a^2}{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2} \right|_{\text{single QCD axion}} \times g_i \,.
$$

$$
\frac{(2\pi)^2 \chi_{\text{QCD}}}{\alpha_{em}^2} \left[\frac{E}{\mathcal{N}} - 1.92 \right]^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{g_{a_i \gamma \gamma}}{m_i^2} = 1.
$$

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 59

Caveats

- \rightarrow For sizable effects, extra masses need to be of the order of the QCD contribution
- \rightarrow Difficult to measure precisely gluon coupling, theoretical uncertainty,

$$
g_{an\gamma} = e \frac{C_{\text{EDM}}}{f_i} = (3.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{1}{f_i}\right) \frac{1}{\text{GeV}}
$$

(But typically very precise in masses/frequencies, detectable multiple signals)

- ➔ Coupling to photons more precise, but has model dependencies.
- **→** Most experiments rely on DM

 $\sqrt{\rho_{\text{DM},local}} \times g_{aXX}$

Conclusions

- \rightarrow Multiple QCD axions can solve the strong CP problem!
- \rightarrow A sum rule from the PQ symmetry links the possible values ${m_i,f_i}.$
- \rightarrow Finding one axion gives us a lot of information on the posible others
- \rightarrow The maximum deviation for N axions is \sqrt{N}
- ➔ Outlook:
	- Modified experimental bounds for multiple axions?
	- DM production for multiple axions?
	- Topological defects, etc.
	- Connection to PQ quality problem
	- Motivated UV scenarios/patterns: string axiverse? Extra dim?

Francesca's talk

Kiwoon Choi's talk Ryosuke's talk

Or is it written in stone? **"**

 $\sum_{i}^{N} \frac{f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{i}^{2} f_{i}^{2}} \frac{m_{u} m_{d}}{(m_{u} + m_{d})^{2}} = 1$

Back up slides

Multiple QCD axions

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G\tilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \to \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{G\tilde{G}}}{F} - \bar{\theta} \right) G\tilde{G} - V_B^R(\hat{a}_{G\tilde{G}}, \dots)
$$

In the rotated basis,

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}^2 \equiv \mathbf{R} \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 \mathbf{R}^T}
$$

 $\mathbf{M}^2 = \mathbf{M}_A^2 + \mathbf{M}_B^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{11} & \mathbf{X}^\dagger \ \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{M}_1^2 \end{array} \right) \, = \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{F^2} \, \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{11} - \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{F^2} & \mathbf{X}^\dagger \ \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{M}_1^2 \end{array} \right) \, ,$

$$
\boxed{\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \lim_{\chi_{\text{QCD}} \to 0} \det \mathbf{M}^2 = 0 \implies \det \mathbf{M}_B^2 = 0} \langle \hat{a}_0 | a_{G\widetilde{G}} \rangle \neq 0
$$

Applying Schur's formula for invertible M₁,

$$
\det \mathbf{M}_{1}^{2}\left(b_{11} - \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}} - \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{-2} \mathbf{X}\right) = 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\det \mathbf{M}_{1}^{2}}{\det \mathbf{M}_{1}^{2}} = (b_{11} - \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{-2} \mathbf{X}) = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}}
$$

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quilez **69** 69

Multiple QCD axions

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta}\right)}_{\hat{a}_G \tilde{G}/F} G \tilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N),
$$

Multiple QCD axions

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left(\sum_{\substack{k=1 \ \hat{f}_k}}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} \right)^2 + V_B \left(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{N-1} \right).
$$

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} \hat{a}_k \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{kl}^2 \hat{a}_l \quad \text{ with } \quad \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 = \hat{\mathbf{M}}_A^2 + \hat{\mathbf{M}}_B^2 \,,
$$

$$
\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \lim_{\chi_{\text{QCD}} \to 0} \det \hat{M}^2 = 0 \implies \det \hat{M}_B = 0.
$$

$$
\mathrm{Rank}\left[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{A}^{2}\right]=1
$$

 $m_a^2 f_a^2 = f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{\left(m_u + m_d\right)^2}$ 95.00

 $\sum_{i}^{N}\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{i}^{2}f_{i}^{2}}\frac{m_{u}m_{d}}{\left(m_{u}+m_{d}\right)^{2}}=1$

Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 77

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 78

Deviation from the QCD line: g_i-factor

Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez 79