or The "QCD axion sum rule"

The Axion Quest 2024 conference - 20th Rencontres du Vietnam Aug 4 - 10, 2024



Pablo Quílez Lasanta - <u>pquilez@ucsd.edu</u>
University of California San Diego (UCSD)
Based on <u>"The QCD axion sum rule"</u> JHEP 04 (2024) 056 2305.154
in collaboration with B. Gavela and M. Ramos

# The QCD axion

- → Solves the Strong CP problem
- → Excellent Dark Matter candidate

[Peccei+Quinn 77] [Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78] [Abbot+Sikivie, 83] [Dine and W. Fischler, 83] [Preskil et al, 91]

# The QCD axion

- → Solves the Strong CP problem
- → Excellent Dark Matter candidate

[Peccei+Quinn 77] [Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78] [Abbot+Sikivie, 83] [Dine and W. Fischler, 83] [Preskil et al, 91]



3

# The QCD axion

CAST

Astrophysics

 $10^{-9}$ 

 $10^{-10}$ 

 $10^{-11}$  $10^{-12}$ 

 $10^{-13}$ 

 $\frac{[10^{-16}]}{[10^{-16}]} \frac{[10^{-16}]}{[10^{-16}]} \frac{[10^{-16}]}{[10^{-16}]}$ 

 $10^{-17}$ 

 $10^{-18}$ 

 $10^{-19}$ 

 $10^{-20}$ 

 $10^{-12}$   $10^{-11}$   $10^{-10}$ 

 $10^{-9}$   $10^{-8}$ 

 $10^{-7}$ 

→ Solves the Strong CP problem

APP

Excellent Dark Matter candidate  $\rightarrow$ 



# The axion solution [Peccei+Quinn 77]

→ Strong CP problem  $\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{\theta}_{\text{QCD}} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G\tilde{G}$ 

Neutron EDM (Electric Dipole Moment)

Why is it so small?

$$\bar{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}$$

# The axion solution [Peccei+Quinn 77]

→ Strong CP problem  $\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{\theta}_{\text{QCD}} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G\tilde{G}$ 

Neutron EDM (Electric Dipole Moment)

Why is it so small?

$$\bar{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}$$

 $\rightarrow$  If  $\theta$  were a scalar field, its vev would be zero [Vafa+Witten, 84]

$$\bar{\theta} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \left( \bar{\theta} - \frac{a}{f_a} \right) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G}$$



# The pGoldstone boson of the PQ sym.

- → Introduce a  $U(1)_{PQ}$  symmetry (classically exact): [Peccei+Quinn 77]
  - ◆ Spontaneously broken → pGoldstone Boson: AXION [Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78]
  - $\blacklozenge$  Anomalous: explicitly broken by QCD instantons ightarrow massive

# The pGoldstone boson of the PQ sym.

- → Introduce a  $U(1)_{PQ}$  symmetry (classically exact): [Peccei+Quinn 77]
  - ♦ Spontaneously broken → pGoldstone Boson: AXION [Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78]
  - Anomalous: explicitly broken by QCD instantons  $\rightarrow$  massive

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{a}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G} \longrightarrow m_a^2 f_a^2 \simeq m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2}$$

# The pGoldstone boson of the PQ sym.

- → Introduce a  $U(1)_{PQ}$  symmetry (classically exact): [Peccei+Quinn 77]
  - ♦ Spontaneously broken → pGoldstone Boson: AXION [Weinberg, 78] [Wilczek, 78]
  - Anomalous: explicitly broken by QCD instantons ightarrow massive

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{a}{f_a} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G \tilde{G} \longrightarrow m_a^2 f_a^2 \simeq m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Couplings:} \qquad \mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma\gamma} a F \tilde{F}$$

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma} \propto \frac{1}{f_a} \implies g_{a\gamma\gamma} \propto m_a \qquad g_{a\gamma\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2\pi f_a} \alpha_{\text{em}} \left(\frac{E}{N} - 1.92(4)\right)$$

## Invisible axion parameter space



# Beyond the canonical band

Summary slide from Patras 2021 Review talk: <u>"True axions beyond</u> the canonical band" P. Quilez



A)

Photophilic/photophobic axions
--------------------------------

1. Single scalar: Playing with fermionic representations

"Preferred axion window" "Axion from monopoles"

[Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 16] [Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 18] [Sokolov, Ringwald, 21]

2. Multiple scalars: Alignment in field space

"Clockwork axion" "KNP alignment" "Multi-higgs models"

[Farina et al, 17] [Coy, Frigerio, 17] [Kim et al, 04] [Choi et al, 14 and 16] [Kaplan et al 16] [Giudice et al 16]

[Agrawal et al 17] [Kim et al, 04] + Refs in FIPs report [2102.12143] [Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 17] [Di Luzio, Giannotti, Nardi, Visinelli, 16] [Darmé, Di Luzio, Giannotti, Nardi, 20]





1. Heavy axions: extra instantons

[Rubakov, 97] [Berezhiani et al ,01] [Fukuda et al, 01] [Hsu et al, 04] [Gianotti, 05] [Hook et al, 14] [Chiang et al, 16] [Khobadize et al,] [Dimopoulos et al, 16] [Gherghetta et al, 16] [Agrawal et al, 17] [Gaillard, Gavela, Houtz, Rey PQ, 18] [Fuentes-Martin et al, 19] [Csaki et al, 19] [Gherghetta et al, 20]

2. Even lighter QCD axion

[Hook, 18] [Luzio, Gavela, PQ, Ringwald, 21] [Luzio, Gavela, PQ, Ringwald, 21]

More PQ breaking

 $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{\mathrm{PO}} = GG + \dots$ 

# The single QCD axion line



# The single QCD axion line



Adapted from AxionLimits [Ciaran O'hare, 20]



### Can the QCD axion deviate from the standard m<sub>a</sub>-f<sub>a</sub> relation being QCD the only source of PQ breaking?



#### Or is it written in stone?





### How many QCD axions can there be?



*If there are other scalar singlets in Nature, other ALPs,* 

What are the consequences of a general mixing with the QCD axion?

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \,,$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \,,$$

 $\exists true U(1)_{PQ} \iff$  classically exact and only broken by QCD



# Deviation from the QCD line: g<sub>i</sub>-factor



# Deviation from the QCD line: g<sub>i</sub>-factor



Toy example: N=2  

$$m_i^2 f_i^2 \equiv f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{N=2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[ \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right]$$

Toy example: N=2  

$$\begin{split} m_i^2 f_i^2 &\equiv f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i \\ \mathcal{L}_{N=2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \quad \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[ \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right] \end{split}$$

→ Note that there are 2 relevant axion linear combinations:

• The one that couples to 
$$G\tilde{G}$$

$$\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \right)$$

• The one implementing the PQ symmetry:

$$a_{\rm PQ} = \hat{a}_1 \,,$$

$$PQ: \hat{a}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{a}_1 - \bar{\theta}\hat{f}$$
 allows to fully reabsorb  $\bar{\theta}$ 

Toy example: N=2  

$$\begin{aligned}
m_i^2 f_i^2 &\equiv f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i \\
\mathcal{L}_{N=2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[ \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right] \\
a_{\text{PQ}} &= \hat{a}_1 , \qquad \hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \right) 
\end{aligned}$$

Limit  $\mathbf{r} \to \infty$ : The mass eigenstates read,

$$\begin{array}{lll} a_1 \simeq \hat{a}_1 \,, \text{with} & g_1 \to 1 & \Longrightarrow & a_1 = a_{\text{QCD-like}} \\ a_2 \simeq \hat{a}_2 \,, \text{with} & g_2 \to \infty & \Longrightarrow & a_2 = a_{\text{decoupled}} \end{array}$$

Toy example: N=2  

$$\begin{aligned}
m_i^2 f_i^2 &\equiv f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i \\
\mathcal{L}_{N=2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[ \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right] \\
a_{\text{PQ}} &= \hat{a}_1 , \quad \hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Limit  $\mathbf{r} \to \infty$ : The mass eigenstates read,

$$a_1 \simeq \hat{a}_1$$
, with  
 $a_2 \simeq \hat{a}_2$ , with

 $\begin{array}{ll} g_1 \to 1 & \implies a_1 = a_{\text{QCD-like}} \\ g_2 \to \infty & \implies a_2 = a_{\text{decoupled}} \end{array}$ 

Limit  $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ : The mass eigenstates read,

$$a_1 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_2)$$
, with  
 $a_2 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2)$ , with

$$g_1 \to \infty \implies a_1 = a_{\text{decoupled}}$$

$$g_2 \to 1 \implies a_2 = a_{\text{QCD-like}}$$





Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez







**QCD-axionness**  $\frac{1}{g_i} = f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \frac{1}{m_i^2 f_i^2} = \frac{m_a^2 f_a^2}{m_i^2 f_i^2} |_{\text{single QCD axion}}$ 

- $\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$
- Inverse of the distance to QCD line
- Fraction of its mass stemming from QCD



# QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ

- $\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$
- Inverse of the distance to QCD line
- Fraction of its mass stemming from QCD



$$\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1,$$



Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez



Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez
### QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ

$$\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{m_i^2 f_i^2} \qquad \qquad \exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{g_i} = 1 \,,$$

$$\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\langle a_{\mathrm{PQ}} \mid a_i \rangle \langle a_i \mid a_{G\widetilde{G}} \rangle}{\langle a_{\mathrm{PQ}} \mid a_{G\widetilde{G}} \rangle}$$

#### QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ



### QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ







Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez



Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez





Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez





Experimental consequences 
$$\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$$
  $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$   
4)  $\max_{\mathbf{M}^2} \left\{ \min_i \{g_i\} \right\} = N \implies g_i = N, \forall i.$ 

- -

#### **QCD Maxions** = Maximally deviated QCD axions = Multiple QCD axions









Based on 2305.15405 - Mabio Quilez

N

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{g_i}$ 

- = 1



Based on 23C

N

 $\sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$ 



Based on 230

N

 $\sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$ 



 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$ 

Based on 230



 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$ 

54



Archive

X Q =

#### MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

#### Neutrinos Lead to Unexpected Discovery in Basic Math

65 Three physicists wanted to calculate how neutrinos change. They ended up discovering an unexpected relationship between some of the most ubiquitous objects in math.

#### PETER B. DENTON, STEPHEN J. PARKE, TERENCE TAO, AND XINING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. If A is an  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A)$ and  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ , then the  $j^{\text{th}}$  component  $v_{i,j}$  of a unit eigenvector  $v_i$  associated to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(A)$  is related to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(M_j), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}(M_j)$ of the minor  $M_j$  of A formed by removing the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row and column by the formula

$$|v_{i,j}|^2 \prod_{k=1; k \neq i}^n (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(A)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(M_j)) .$$

We refer to this identity as the *eigenvector-eigenvalue identity* and show how

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$$

4) 
$$\max_{\mathbf{M}^2} \left\{ \min_i \{g_i\} \right\} = N \implies g_i = N, \ \forall i.$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{N-k}^{\mathbf{M}^2} = N \, \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^2} \, \mathcal{B}_{N-k-1}^{\mathbf{M}_1^2} \, .$$

$$p_{\mathbf{M}^{2}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{N-k}}{(n-k)!} \mathcal{B}_{n-k}^{\mathbf{M}^{2}} \lambda^{k}$$
$$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i}^{2} = N \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}} .$$

$$m = N(N+1)/2.$$

m-parameter family of Maxion matrices, Based on **2305.15465** - Pablo Quílez







### Coupling to photons

Same E/N  
$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{N} g^{0}_{\hat{a}_{k}\gamma\gamma} \, \hat{a}_{k} F \widetilde{F} \equiv \frac{\alpha_{em}}{8\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \underbrace{\frac{E}{\mathcal{N}}}_{\hat{f}_{k}} \frac{\hat{a}_{k}}{\hat{f}_{k}} F \widetilde{F} \,,$$

All the results apply to photons if all  ${\rm a_k}$  have the same E/N

$$\frac{m_i^2}{g_{a_i\gamma\gamma}^2} = \frac{m_a^2}{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2} \bigg|_{\text{single QCD axion}} \times g_i \,.$$

$$\frac{(2\pi)^2 \chi_{\text{QCD}}}{\alpha_{em}^2} \left[ \frac{E}{\mathcal{N}} - 1.92 \right]^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{g_{a_i \gamma \gamma}}{m_i^2} = 1.$$



#### Caveats

- → For sizable effects, extra masses need to be of the order of the QCD contribution
- → Difficult to measure precisely gluon coupling, theoretical uncertainty,

$$g_{an\gamma} = e \frac{C_{\text{EDM}}}{f_i} = (3.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{1}{f_i}\right) \frac{1}{\text{GeV}}$$

(But typically very precise in masses/frequencies, detectable multiple signals)

- → Coupling to photons more precise, but has model dependencies.
- → Most experiments rely on DM

 $\sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{DM},local}} \times g_{aXX},$ 

## Conclusions

- → Multiple QCD axions can solve the strong CP problem!
- → A sum rule from the PQ symmetry links the possible values  $\{m_i, f_i\}$ .
- → Finding one axion gives us a lot of information on the posible others
- -> The maximum deviation for N axions is  $\sqrt{N}$
- → Outlook:
  - Modified experimental bounds for multiple axions?
  - DM production for multiple axions?
  - Topological defects, etc.
  - Connection to PQ quality problem
  - Motivated UV scenarios/patterns: string axiverse? Extra dim?

Francesca's talk

Kiwoon Choi's talk Ryosuke's talk



#### Or is it written in stone?





 $\sum_{i}^{N} \frac{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{m_i^2 f_i^2} \frac{m_u m_d}{\left(m_u + m_d\right)^2} = 1$ 





# Back up slides



### Multiple QCD axions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}}}{F} - \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - V_B^{\mathrm{R}}(\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}}, \dots)$$
In the rotated basis,
$$\mathbf{M}^2 \equiv \mathbf{R} \, \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 \mathbf{R}^T$$

$$\mathbf{M}^2 = \mathbf{M}_A^2 + \mathbf{M}_B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{M}_1^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} - \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^2} & \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{M}_1^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \lim_{\chi_{\rm QCD} \to 0} \det \mathbf{M}^2 = 0 \implies \det \mathbf{M}_B^2 = 0 \ \langle \hat{a}_0 | a_{G\tilde{G}} \rangle \neq 0$$

Applying Schur's formula for invertible  $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ ,

$$\det \mathbf{M}_{1}^{2} \left( b_{11} - \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}} - \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{-2} \mathbf{X} \right) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\det \mathbf{M}^{2}}{\det \mathbf{M}_{1}^{2}} = \left( b_{11} - \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{-2} \mathbf{X} \right) = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}}}$$

### Multiple QCD axions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta}\right) G\widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N)}_{\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}}/F},$$

## Multiple QCD axions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left( \sum_{\substack{k=1\\\hat{a}_{G\tilde{G}}/F}}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_{k}}{\hat{f}_{k}} \right)^{2} + V_{B} \left( \tilde{a}_{1}, \dots, \tilde{a}_{N-1} \right).$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} \hat{a}_k \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{kl}^2 \hat{a}_l \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 = \hat{\mathbf{M}}_A^2 + \hat{\mathbf{M}}_B^2 \,,$$

$$\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \lim_{\chi_{\rm QCD} \to 0} \det \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 = 0 \implies \det \hat{\mathbf{M}}_B = 0.$$

$$\operatorname{Rank}\left[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{A}^{2}\right] = 1$$

 $m_a^2 f_a^2 = f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{\left(m_u + m_d\right)^2}$ -


 $\sum_{i}^{N} \frac{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{m_i^2 f_i^2} \frac{m_u m_d}{\left(m_u + m_d\right)^2} = 1$ 





Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez



Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez



Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez

## Deviation from the QCD line: g<sub>i</sub>-factor



Based on 2305.15465 - Pablo Quílez