



## Axion Resonant InterAction DetectioN Experiment (Recent Progress in ARIADNE)

## Yun Chang Shin

Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research (CAPP), Institute for Basic Science (IBS)

## On behalf of the ARIADNE Collaboration

Northwestern University, Stanford University, Indiana University, Perimeter Institute, PTB, UIUC and CAPP/IBS

The Axion Quest Conference August 7th 2024







- New spin-dependent interactions
- ARIADNE experiment
- SQUID development at CAPP/IBS
- Other activities in ARIADNE collaboration

## Outline





# **Dark Matter and New Interactions**

- About 96% of the Universe is filled with non-baryonic components; dark energy and dark matter
- Dark matter is not associated with Standard Model  $\rightarrow$  New theory
- Theories beyond Standard Model predict weakly-coupled scalar, pseuo-scalar bosons as dark matter candidate
- Some light mass bosons may be an answer for dark matter and other fundamental physics questions: ex) axions
- Could it be associated with another, as of yet, unobserved interactions?



https://physics.aps.org/articles/v11/48







# New spin-dependent interactions?

- Weakly-coupled, long-range interactions are a generic consequence of spontaneously broken continuous symmetries (Goldstone theorem)
  - Such boson with small enough mass have macroscopic Compton wavelength  $\rightarrow$  possible to mediate new interactions in longer range.
- Specific theories (axions, extra dimensions) imply new interactions at sub-mm scales
  - Dark energy density of ~  $(1 \text{meV})^4$  order  $\rightarrow \sim 100 \mu \text{m}$  scale
- Experimental tests for new spin-dependent interactions
  - Laboratory constraints in "mesoscopic" range is less common

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

5

- Originated from  $L_{\phi} = \psi(g_s + i\gamma_5 g_p)\psi\phi$
- Axion mediated interaction between polarized and unpolarized objects
- Violates both P and T symmetry
- Not very well constrained over "mesoscopic" range (  $\mu m \sim mm$  )

![](_page_4_Picture_8.jpeg)

## An example of non-standard spin-dependent interaction with spin 0 boson exchange

### Monopole-dipole interaction mediated by axions

Investigated by searching for frequency shifts correlated with position of unpolarized mass

J. E. Moody and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 30, 130, 1984

![](_page_4_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Axion Resonant InterAction DetectioN Experiment** (ARIADNE) Search for QCD axion from monopole-dipole interaction between Tungsten mass and polarized 3He

- Effective magnetic field from  $U=-ec{\mu}\cdotec{B}_{
  m eff}$

$$\vec{B}_{\rm eff} = -\frac{\hbar g_s g_p}{4\gamma \pi M} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\phi} r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) e^{-r/\lambda_{\phi}} \hat{r}$$

- Independent from fermion's magnetic moment,
- not couple to the angular moment or charge
- No Maxwell equation  $\rightarrow$  can't be screened by magnetic shielding
- $B_{\rm eff}$  drive spin precession in a laser-polarized 3He:  $B_{\rm spin}$
- $B_{\rm eff} \sim 10^{-22} {\rm T} \rightarrow B_{\rm spin} \sim 10^{-18}$
- **Detect NMR signal with SQUID**
- Resonant enhancement of signal with  $Q \sim \omega T_2$
- Source the axion field from local mass: no dark matter axion required
- Potential to probe broad axion mass range:  $0.1 \text{meV} \le m_a \le 10 \text{meV}$

![](_page_5_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_15.jpeg)

J. Jaeckel and A. Ringward, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60, 405, 2010 A. Arvanitaki and A. A. Geraci, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 161801, 2014

![](_page_5_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **ARIADNE Physics Reach**

• The limit of  $g_s g_p$  with the given experimental condition from

$$B_{\rm eff} \leq B_{\rm min}$$
,

• The fundamental noise limit from transverse magnetization of <sup>3</sup>He as

$$\sqrt{M_N^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\gamma\mu_{^3\mathrm{He}}n_sT_2}{2V}}$$

The minimum magnetic field from the noise becomes

$$\begin{split} B_{\min} &\simeq \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar b}{n_s \mu_{^3\text{He}} T_2 V}} \\ &= \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{10^{-19} T} \times \left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{b}{1\text{Hz}}\right) \left(\frac{1\text{mm}^3}{V}\right) \left(\frac{10^{21}\text{cm}^{-3}}{n_s}\right) \left(\frac{1000s}{T_2}\right)} \\ \bullet \text{ SNR in a measurement } \tau \text{ can be} \\ &\qquad \text{SNR} = \frac{B_{\text{eff}} \sqrt{\tau}}{B_{\min}} \\ \bullet \text{ The minimum coupling constant } g_s g_p \text{ becomes} \end{split}$$

SNR in a measurement τ can be

$$\mathrm{SNR} = \frac{B_{\mathrm{eff}}\sqrt{\tau}}{B_{\mathrm{min}}}$$

• The minimum coupling constant  $g_s g_p$  becomes

$$g_s g_p \leq \frac{\mathrm{SNR} \times B_{\min}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \frac{4\pi M \gamma_f}{\hbar n_s} \frac{1}{\int \left(\frac{1}{\lambda r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) e^{-r/\lambda} \hat{r} \cdot \hat{x} dV}$$

![](_page_6_Figure_12.jpeg)

A. Arvanitaki and A. A. Geraci, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 161801, 2014

![](_page_6_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Experimental Design of ARIADNE 3He Sample Chamber** Quartz block (Northwestern/Stanford) with 3He chamber of $\sim 10 \text{mm} \times 10^{10}$ Superconducting magnetic shield (CAPP/Northwestern/Stanford): ~ **Source Mass** Nb/Gold coated Quartz block SQUID Cu block sample pickup coil chamber source mass Cu foil Rotating Stage (Northwestern): 11 segments, 10Hz, $\omega = 10\omega_{rot}$ radiation shie Rotational Source Mass (**UIUC**): Tungsten (high nuclear density) Spin speed pattern **Rotation index**

- **Detector part** 
  - Polarized 3He gas (Indiana): ~  $2 \times 10^{21}$ /cc density
  - $3 \text{mm} \times 150 \mu \text{m}$
  - 10<sup>8</sup>S.F
  - SQUID (CAPP): ~  $4fT/\sqrt{Hz}$
- **Source Mass part**

![](_page_7_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Non-magnetic LHe Dewar

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $\bullet$ 

Will be moved to Indianan University for installation of main components inside

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

- A sets of D coil for generating magnetic holding fields
- Nb layer with shielding factor of  $\sim 10^8$

CAPP

H Fosbinder-Elkins et al 2022 Quantum Sci. Technol. 7 014002 10

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SQUID Development at CAPP/IBS

- The SQUID needs to be placed in the 30mG of holding field
  - Ambient noise 
     → Magnetometer vs Gradiometer
- The SQUID needs to be sensitive enough to detect dipole field
  - Magnetic noise level of SQUID: ~  $4fT/\sqrt{Hz}$ ,
- The SQUID needs to be fully thermalized at 4.2K
  - Thermalization/operation of SQUID without direct contact with LHe

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SQUID under the Magnetic Field

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

100

- Measured Displacement power spectral density with accelerometer on a low vibrational pad (LVP) at CAPP
- There exists a vibration with a level of  $\sim 2nm/\sqrt{Hz}$  at 100Hz
- With a size of d = 3mm in SQUID pickup coil under the 30mG of holding field
  - Magnetic noise level: $\delta B \approx 2 \text{pT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
- Second order planer coaxial planner gradiometer

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

	magne			
z₀(mm)	<b>r</b>	φ (zWb)	r	φ (zWb)
0.5	1.42	56.3	1.48	89.7
1.0	1.55	24.8	1.74	34.0
1.5	1.78	13.6	2.04	17.7
2.0	2.07	8.47	2.43	10.7

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Optimization of SQUID Gradiometer**

• SNR = 
$$\frac{\phi_g}{\sqrt{\delta\phi_n^2 + \delta\phi_v^2 + \delta\phi_q^2}}$$

• Intrinsic noise 
$$\delta \phi_n = 1 \mu \phi_0 / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$$

• Vibrational noise 
$$\delta \phi_v = 1 \mathrm{nm}/\sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$$

• Quantum noise 
$$\delta \phi_q = \frac{\hbar \gamma}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n_{-3}}{V} \frac{n_{-3}}{V}}$$

	Gradiometer				
z₀(mm)	<u> </u>	<b>SNR</b> <sub>max</sub>	φ (zWb)		
0.5	1.45	3.3	89.1		
1.0	1.61	2.7	33.3		
1.5	1.88	1.7	17.2		
2.0	2.17	1.0	10.3		

	3.5	
SNR	3.0	
	2.5	
	2.0	
	1.5	
	1.0	_ _ 
	0.5	

0.0

### SNR of SQUID gradiometer

![](_page_13_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_12.jpeg)

	_	
	_	
-	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
J	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
)	_	

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Magnetic Field Noise Field of SQUID

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Z0 (mm)**

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thin-film SQUID Gradiometer

- Prototype for test purpose
- Fabricated by Star Cryo. LLC based on CAPP design values
- Inner coil 1: d=3.45mm, t=0.05mm
- Inner coil 2 : d=3.57mm, t=0.05mm
- Outer coil : d=5mm, t=0.1mm
- Estimated SQUID intrinsic noise:  $\sim 4 fT / \sqrt{Hz}$ 
  - Spin induced noise:  $\sim 10 fT / \sqrt{Hz}$

![](_page_15_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SQUID Noise spectrum

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Measured in a Magnetic Shielding Room (MSR)
- Cooled SQUID with LHe
- Measured magnetic field noise level:~  $14 fT/\sqrt{Hz}$  at 100Hz

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SQUID in the Quartz Container

- Need to cool down SQUID through contact with Quartz block
- Need to install SQUID in a very specific position
- Need to be able to swap SQUID
- SQUID holder with a material of good thermal conductivity (also nonmagnetic)

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thermal properties of materials

	Т (К)	Copper	Aluminum	Stainless steel 304	Niobium	G10 <sup>A</sup>	Nylon	Pyrex <sup>B</sup>	Teflon	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Young's	300	128	70.0	194	102	38	2.9	62.6	0.38	_
modulus	80	140	76.8	208	_	41	7.6	_	5.4	_
(GPa)	4	143	79.1	204	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stress at	300	350	110	$1.1 \times 10^{3}$	_	$1.2 \times 10^{3}$	59	_	7.5	_
0.2% strain	80	420	150	$1.5 \times 10^{3}$	_	$1.7 \times 10^{3}$	21	_	83	_
(MPa)	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Thermal	300	397	236	15.2	53.7	$0.80^{ m C}$	0.30	1.13	0.25	40
conductivity	80	571	415	8.33	57.9	$0.50^{ m C}$	0.22	0.52	0.22	<b>900</b> <sup>D</sup>
$(Wm^{-1}K^{-1})$	4	11370 <sup>E</sup>	1576	0.252	99.7	0.18 <sup>C</sup>	0.012	0.11	0.04	110 <sup>D</sup>
								· · · ·		

• Quartz (single crystal) :  $\sim 200W/mK$  or higher

• G10 and Pyrex :  $\sim 0.1 W/mK$ 

## Polycrystalline Ceramic (MACOR) : $\sim 1W/mK$ at 4K

**D:** Single-crystal; polycrystalline 80K: 120 Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>; 4K: ~1 Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>

E: High-purity copper (better than 99.9%), lower purity: value down to 300 Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>

F: Warp direction (normal direction: 0.66 % @ 80 K; 0.72 % @ 4 K)

**G:** Value at 100 K

![](_page_18_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **SQUID Thermalization at 4K**

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thermal contact of SQUID ded two stripes of Litz wire

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Front Ceramic Holder

- Realize the effect of cooling without Litz wire
- Design front ceramic plate with narrow opening near SQUID chip
- Direct contact with Si wafer
- Improve thermalization of SQUID without any Litz strip
- Also protect SQUID bonding

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SQUID operation at 4K

### with front ceramic plate

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

	$S_{\phi}^{1/2}$
Pulse Tube Cryocooler	~
Low-vibration Cryostat	~
LHe Dewar (in MSR)	$\sim$

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Fabrication of SQUID holders with polycrystalline Quartz
- Expected thermal conductivity :  $\sim 1W/mK$  at 4K
- Thermalization (and operation) of SQUID with new Quartz holder will be tested soon

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Front Holder** 

**Rear Holder** 

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Second batch of SQUID

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Same pickup coil size
- Low profile design with shorter length

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Rotating Source Mass

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Need to maintain the wobble below  $\sim 10 \mu m \rightarrow Position$  monitoring with two channels interferometer+Reflective index mark

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

- - Stability is monitored with interferometers (with reflective index on the mass)
- Characterization of magnetic field noise
  - 10µm wobble at 10Hz produce magnetic field noise of  $5 \times 10^{-19} \text{T}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$

# Rotating Drive Stage

![](_page_28_Figure_12.jpeg)

N. Aggarwal et. al. Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 013090, (2022)

![](_page_28_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

## MEOP 3He Polarization Setup at Indiana Univ.

- Four 1m long pumping cells with polarization rate up to  $P_{\text{max}} \approx 0.7$  for 1mbar of gas
- Pressurize up to  $\approx 1 \text{ bar}$  in a storage volume with non-magnetic compressor
- Final polarization up to  $P_{\rm storage} \approx 0.55$  at the storage volume
- Upgrade with modern parts

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

D. S. Hussey, et. al, Rev. of Sci Inst, 76(5), 053503, 2005

![](_page_29_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Theories beyond Standard Model predict long-range, spin-dependent interactions that could be medicated by light bosons
- ARIADNE is a new experiment looking for axion mediated interaction with a resonant NMR method.
- ARIADNE could reach some of the interesting regime of parameter space corresponding to dark matter axions.
- ARIADNE plans to conduct first prototype measurement in 2025
- CAPP is actively working on the SQUID R&D for ARIADNE

## Summary

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

### "Thank you!"

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

### *m*<sub>a</sub> (μeV)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Measured shielding factor of quartz tube with 250~750nm thicken of Nb sputtered layers,
- Compared with ideal shielding factor for Nb tube:  $S \cdot F_{tube} = \cosh(1.84 \frac{z_0}{z})$  for a = 25 mm,  $z_0 = \sim$ 150mm
- $10^8$  S.F when  $a \sim 14$  mm

H Fosbinder-Elkins *et al* 2022 *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **7** 014002

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_10.jpeg)