PEPITES

Measurement of secondary electron emission rates from ultrathin gold foil bombarded by protons at intermediate energies for the development of PEPITES, an ultra-thin beam monitor for hadron therapy.

> Tingzhen Xiao Supervisor: Christophe Thiebaux Co-supervisor: Alexandre Esper

Context



- Hadron therapy: Cancer treatment with hadron beams at intermediate energy (about 100 MeV).
- **Goal**: Continuous monitoring, minimal beam disturbance.
- **Innovative Monitor**: Ultra-thin, radiationresistant for mid-energy accelerators.

Principle

- **Signal**: Utilizes Secondary Electron Emission (SEE) for precise beam profiling which proportional to dE/dx of the charged beam particles since it is a **surface phenomenon**.
- **Design**: 50 nm Gold as electrodes, since its high Z(79) produces large number of SEE. Proton beam sensitivity, non-oxidizing.
- **Substrate**: 1.5 µm CP1 (colorless polyimide) membranes. High radiation tolerance.



PEPITES Layout

- **Four Electrodes Design**: Two segmented cathodes, two anodes, 15 mm gap.
- **SEE Collection**: Anodes biased at 100 V.
- **Sensitive areas**: 32 gold strips for cathodes, fully metallized anodes.
- **Mechanically Independent Blocks**: For X and Y beam position and shape measurements..





My Work—Measure SEE Rate

- **Why?** To calibrate the detector and for further development of PEPITES.
- Calculate with the test beam data from 13 and 14 December 2018 at ARRONAX.
- Electron going the same direction of the beam=**Forward**
- Electron going opposite direction of the beam=Backward
- No measurement available for E>20MeV.
- Rate = Nse/Np



Experimental setup for SEE rate measurement



ARRONAX experimental setup

Charge measurement systems

7



Vacuum chamber



Result: Data Taking "History"



9

Result: Current values of SEE in forward direction at 68 MeV for Proton beam



Result: Beam's current measurements for different current

11



Analysis: SEE(I) Rate Forward vs. Backward



A different way measure the rates

- Use of ARRONAX charge (Q) measurements system instead of beam stop
 - Avoid « bad » Beam Stop measurement at low current
- We need to transform the measured intensity I_cathode into a charge Q_cathode
 - Q = I * T



Analysis: SEE(Q) Rate Forward vs. Backward



Analysis: SEE(Q) Rate for different Energies



Analysis: SEE(Q) Rate compared with Sternglass calculation



Alpha Particles

10¹

10⁰

 10^{-1}

Current (nA) 10-5

10-3

 10^{-4}

. ₽



2500

10-5 17 Ó 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 Time (s)

Analysis: SEE(Q) Rate Forward vs. Backward



- Consistency in **R_SEE** Calculations:
 - Both current (I) and charge (Q) based methods provided consistent R_SEE values, Charge-based calculation shows reduce error margins.

• Linearity

- Secondary electron emission rate does not depend on beam intensities.
- PEPITES could still work with very high beam intensities.
- SE Directional Flow Dependence:
 - For both protons and alpha particles, the R_SEE in the forward direction was consistently higher than in the backward direction for all energy levels.
- Energy Dependence:
 - As the energy increased, the R_SEE values for protons decreased.
- Particle Type Differences:
 - Alpha particles exhibited significantly higher R_SEE values compared to protons at the same energy levels.

Thank you

19

Conclusion

BACKUP SLIDES

PEPITES Prototype

Installation: ARRONAX, vacuum chamber with a translation system for beam path engagement.

Advantage: Detector is free of mechanical constraint.

Implementation: Proven success at ARRONAX, paving the way for long-term applications.



Comparing the 2 methods

Rate SEE Q and I vs Q_Arronax



Analysis : SEE(I) rate for different Energies



23