Theoretical predictions for $b \rightarrow s \mu^+ \mu^-$

Nico Gubernari

Based on arXiv: 2011.09813, 2206.03797, 2305.06301, 2312.14146 in collaboration with T. Feldmann, D. van Dyk, J. Virto, and M. Reboud

58th Rencontres de Moriond Electroweak Interactions & Unified Theories La Thuile, 27-March-2024







Introduction

 $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

flavour physics \Rightarrow probe the SM through **indirect searches**

- 1. measure physical observables
- 2. calculate the observables in the SM
- 3. compare measurements and calculations \Rightarrow obtain constraints on NP (or new discovery?)

 $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays excellent to perform indirect searches since they are suppressed in the SM \implies sensitive to NP (loop, GIM and CKM suppressed)



Tensions in $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

compare exp. measurements and theory predictions for branching ratios and angular observables $B \to K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_s \to \phi\mu^+\mu^-$



tension (or anomalies) in $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ observables \implies need to understand this tension (independent from the LFU ratios R_K and R_{K^*})

Theoretical framework

Weak effective theory for FCNC

flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC) are absent at tree level in the SM

integrate out DOF heavier than the \boldsymbol{b}

weak effective field theory

transitions described by the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(b \to s\ell^+\ell^-) = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu) \qquad \mu = r$$

 C_i Wilson coefficients, O_i effective operators



Decay amplitude for $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$

calculate decay amplitudes precisely to probe the SM $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies: NP or underestimated QCD uncertainties?

$$\mathcal{A}(B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-) = \mathcal{N}\left[\left(C_9L_V^{\mu} + C_{10}L_A^{\mu}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\mu} - \frac{L_V^{\mu}}{q^2}\left(C_7\mathcal{F}_{T,\mu} + \mathcal{H}_{\mu}\right)\right]$$

Wilson coefficients, leptonic matrix elements (and constants α , V_{CKM} ...)

perturbative objects, small uncertainties

Decay amplitude for
$$B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$$

calculate decay amplitudes precisely to probe the SM $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies: NP or underestimated QCD uncertainties?

$$\mathcal{A}(B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-) = \mathcal{N}\left[\left(C_9L_V^{\mu} + C_{10}L_A^{\mu}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\mu} - \frac{L_V^{\mu}}{q^2}\left(C_7\mathcal{F}_{T,\mu} + \mathcal{H}_{\mu}\right)\right]$$

local hadronic matrix elements (MEs)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu} = \langle K^{(*)} | O_{7,9,10}^{\text{had}} | B \rangle \qquad O_{7,9,10}^{\text{had}} = (\bar{s} \Gamma b)$$

leading hadronic contributions

non-perturbative QCD objects

moderate uncertainties (3% - 15%)



Decay amplitude for $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$

calculate decay amplitudes precisely to probe the SM $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies: NP or underestimated QCD uncertainties?

$$\mathcal{A}(B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-) = \mathcal{N}\left[\left(C_9L_V^{\mu} + C_{10}L_A^{\mu}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\mu} - \frac{L_V^{\mu}}{q^2}\left(C_7\mathcal{F}_{T,\mu} + \mathcal{H}_{\mu}\right)\right]$$

non-local hadronic MEs

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu} = i \int d^4 x \, e^{iq \cdot x} \langle K^{(*)} | T\{j_{\mu}^{\text{em}}(x), O_{1,2}^c(0)\} | B \rangle$$
$$O_{1,2}^c = (\bar{s} \Gamma b)(\bar{c} \Gamma c)$$

subleading (?) hadronic contributions

non-perturbative QCD objects

large uncertainties



Theoretical calculations

Local matrix elements calculations

MEs are functions of the momentum transfer squared q^2 non-perturbative techniques are needed to compute MEs \mathcal{F} :

1. lattice QCD (LQCD)

 $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi$ at high q^2 [HPQCD 2013/2023] [FNAL/MILC 2015] [Horgan et al. 2015] [HPQCD 2023] small and reducible uncertainties

2. light-cone sum rules (LCSRs)

 $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi$ at low q^2 [Bharucha et al. 2015] [Khodjamirian/Rusov 2017] [NG/Kokulu/van Dyk 2018]

moderate uncertainties

 $B \rightarrow K$ MEs excellent status (need independent calculation at low q^2) more LQCD results needed for vector states (for high precision K^* width cannot be neglected)

how to **combine** different calculations and obtain result **whole** semileptonic region?

Local MEs parametrizations

 $|\alpha_k|^2 < 1$

we propose a new parametrization

$$\mathcal{F}(q^2) \propto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \, p_k(q^2)$$

 p_k are known polynomials

fit α_k coefficients to LQCD (and LCSR) results impose unitarity bounds

first parametrization that consistently implements analyticity and unitarity bounds \Rightarrow control systematic uncertainties

obtain numerical results for $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi$ local MEs in the whole semileptonic region



6

[NG/Reboud/van Dyk/Virto 2023]

Non-local MEs calculations



[Bell/Huber 2014] [Asatrian/Greub/Virto 2019]

В

[Khodjamirian et al. 2010] [NG/van Dyk/Virto 2020]

Non-local MEs calculations

1. compute the \mathcal{H} using a light-cone OPE at low q^2 $\mathcal{H}(q^2) = C(q^2)\mathcal{F}(q^2) + \tilde{C}(q^2)\mathcal{V}(q^2) + \cdots$

2. extract \mathcal{H}_{λ} at $q^2 = m_{J/\psi}^2$ from $B \to K^{(*)}J/\psi$ and $B_s \to \phi J/\psi$ measurements (decay amplitudes independent of the local MEs)

3. new approach: interpolate these two results to obtain theoretical predictions in the low q^2 ($0 < q^2 < 8 \text{ GeV}^2$) region \Rightarrow compare with experimental data



Non-local MEs predictions

similar approach to local MEs \mathcal{F}_{λ}

$$\mathcal{H}(q^2) \propto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_k p_k(q^2) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\beta_k|^2 < 1$$

fit β_k coefficients to OPE and $B \to K^{(*)}J/\psi$ data impose unitarity bounds

first unitarity bounds for non-local MEs \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow control systematic uncertainties

obtain numerical results for $B \to K^{(*)}$ and $B_s \to \phi$ non-local MEs below 8 GeV²



[NG/Reboud/van Dyk/Virto 2022]

SM predictions and confrontation with data

SM predictions vs. data

predict observables using our \mathcal{F}_{λ} and \mathcal{H}_{λ} results: BRs and angular observables for $B \to K^{(*)}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$, and $B_{s} \to \phi\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$

• theory uncertainties mostly due to \mathcal{F}_{λ}

• progress in \mathcal{H}_{λ} calculations urgently needed

• more measurements on the way



9

SM predictions vs. data



[NG/Reboud/van Dyk/Virto 2022]

coherent tensions between SM predictions and data

WET fits

$$C_{9,10} = C_{9,10}^{\rm SM} + C_{9,10}^{\rm NP}$$

fit the Wilson coefficients $C_9^{\rm NP}$ and $C_{10}^{\rm NP}$ to the available experimental measurements

pulls (p value of the SM hypothesis):

- 5.7 σ for $B \to K\mu^+\mu^- + B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$
- 2.7 σ for $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$
- 2.6 σ for $B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$

current predictions for non-local MEs \mathcal{H}_{λ} cannot explain this tension







12

if $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies are due to New Physics \implies same shift expected in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+\mu^$ but systematic effects are different

already measured by LHCb \Rightarrow new and more precise measurements on the way

progress needed in theory calculations (no estimate of charm-loop beyond naïve factorization)

first calculation of "annihilation" contributions in [Feldmann/NG 2024]

Summary and conclusion

Summary and conclusion

13

- 1. improved parametrization for local MEs \mathcal{F}_{λ} with unitarity bounds combine LQCD (and LCSRs) inputs to get new results for \mathcal{F}_{λ} in $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$
- 2. new theoretical predictions for \mathcal{H}_{λ} combining our OPE calculation and $B \to K^{(*)}J/\psi$ data innovative approach — use unitarity bound to control \mathcal{H}_{λ} uncertainties
- 3. new and precise SM predictions for observables in $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ decays coherent deviations between SM and data in $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ decays

4. progress on the theory side needed more than ever

