LEGEND-200: first physics data and analysis

Clay Barton Univ. Roma Tre

Moriond EW 2024 29/03/24

■ROMA

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI





Large Enriched Germanium Experiment for Neutrinoless ββ Decay





Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare SEZIONE DI ROMA TRE

Neutrinoless double-beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$)

• Hypothetical rare decay mode

Available in several isotopes

• Experimentally viable method to probe the Majorana/Dirac nature of the neutrino



LEGEND

The LEGEND (Large Enriched Germanium Experiment for Neutrinoless Double-beta decay) project searches for neutrinoless double-beta decay in ⁷⁶Ge, with goals to establish a discovery sensitivity for a half-life of this nucleus beyond 10²⁸ years.

- Dual-phase program: LEGEND-200 (operational) and LEGEND-1000 (planned)
- For $^{76}Ge,\,T_{1/2}$ > 10^{28} for $0\nu\beta\beta$ corresponds to a neutrino effective mass measurement of m_{eff} \approx 18 meV
- With sufficiently low background, LEGEND will ultimately cover the parameter space for Majorana neutrinos in the inverted hierarchy mass ordering



LEGEND-200

- Initial phase of the project
- Currently operational at LNGS in Italy with ${\sim}140~\text{kg}$ of Ge detectors enriched in ^{76}Ge
 - Will have 200 kg operational upgrade planned for later this year
- Multiple detector systems allow event classification/background rejection



LEGEND-1000

- Next-generation $0\nu\beta\beta$ detection system
- Location TBD
- Expected to have 1000 kg of detectors operational in the final configuration
- Widespread improvements and lessons learned from LEGEND-200 aim to reduce background x20 compared to LEGEND-200
- In a very active R&D phase, overall design is subject to modification



Background rejection

- Cosmogenic muons are rejected by the water Cerenkov veto (and pretty much every other rejection method)
- External gammas (mostly from radioimpurities in construction materials) are rejected based on the event type:
 - Energy deposition in the scintillating Liquid Argon (LAr): rejection by the fiber shroud (LAr cut)
 - Energy deposited in multiple detectors: rejected by the Anti-Coincidence cut (AC cut)
 - Energy scattered throughout a detector: rejected by Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD cut)
- Surface events, like external alphas and betas, are rejected by pulse shape discrimination (PSD cut) as well



Quality cut

 The muon veto, AC, and Data Cleaning (DC) cuts are simpler and are bundled together into the "quality cut" (QC)
Data cleaning = removing pulser, empty, and saturated events



LAr cut

 Improved light collection instrumentation in LEGEND-200 allows for increased photo-electron signal compared to GERDA





PSD cuts

Pulse-shape discrimination classifies events in the germanium detectors based on the characteristics of the generated signal



 $0v\beta\beta$ (or $2v\beta\beta$)-like signal



Optimizing the PSD cut

- Calibrations with ²⁰⁸Tl decays are used to determine efficiencies of the multi-site PSD cut
 - 2614 keV full-energy peak (strongest gamma line) is multi-site
 - 2113 keV "single-escape" peak is multi-site
 - 1592 keV "double-escape" peak is single-site
 - Cut parameters are tuned to accept 90% of events in the 1592 keV peak, and exhibit good rejection of the other multi-site peaks



LEGEND-200 first data set

- 10.1 kg·yr of exposure
 - LEGEND-200 goal is up to 1000 kg·yr of exposure
- All the "usual suspects" identified in the model for the data
- Low exposure means high uncertainties -> will improve greatly over time



LEGEND-200 first background index near $Q_{0\nu\beta\beta}$

- 1 event passing all cuts
- = $4.1_{-2.6}^{+7.3} \times 10^{-4}$ cts/(keV kg yr)
- For LEGEND-200's target background of 2×10^{-4} , dataset is compatible (0.48 expected events, 1 observed)



Conclusion

- LEGEND is currently operational with LEGEND-200, 142/200 kg of detector mass inside
 - Full operation is expected to begin later this year after an upgrade
- Analysis techniques from the previous GERDA and MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR experiments are being modified and applied to LEGEND data, with new analysis techniques in active development
- With a small initial data set, we were able to test our PSD routines and model the background data which has been collected with some moderate uncertainties
- Next major update is expected for release later this year



CIEMAT Comenius Univ. Czech Tech. Univ. Prague and IEAP Daresbury Lab. Duke Univ. and TUNL Gran Sasso Science Inst. Indiana Univ. Bloomington Inst. Nucl. Res. Rus. Acad. Sci. Jagiellonian Univ. Joint Inst. for Nucl. Res. loint Res. Centre Geel Lab. Naz. Gran Sasso Lancaster Univ. Leibniz Inst. for Crystal Growth

Leibniz Inst. for Polymer Research Los Alamos Natl, Lab. Max Planck Inst. for Nucl. Phy. Max Planck Inst. for Physics Natl. Res. Center Kurchatov Inst. Natl, Res. Nucl. Univ. MEPhl North Carolina State Univ. Oak Ridge Natl. Lab. Polytech. Univ. of Milan Princeton Univ. Oueen's Univ. Roma Tre Univ. and INFN Simon Fraser Univ. SNOLAB

Canada

DAKOTA

States

SASKATCHEWAN

South Dakota Mines Tech. Univ. Dresden Tech. Univ. Munich Tennessee Tech, Univ. Univ. of California and LBNL Univ. College London Univ. of L'Aguila and INFN Univ. of Cagliari and INFN Univ. of California San Diego Univ. of Houston Univ. of Liverpool Univ. of Milan and INFN Univ. of Milano Bicocca and INFN Univ. of New Mexico

Labrador Sea

Large Enriched

Germanium Experiment

for Neutrinoless BB Decay

LEGEND

Univ. of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Univ. of Padova and INFN Univ. of Regina Univ. of South Carolina Univ. of South Dakota Univ. of Tennessee Univ. of Texas at Austin Univ. of Tuebingen Univ. of Warwick Univ. of Washington and CENPA Univ. of Zurich Williams College

Belarus