# **Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics from Kinetic Theory**

# Samapan Bhadury.

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Jagiellonian University.

At Hydrodynamics and related observables in HIC, Nantes, France.

October 31, 2024



Based On: 2408.14462

#### Spin Polarization in Heavy Ion Collisions and Problem - I

Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Problem - II:

Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :

Relativistic Kinetic Theory with Spin:

Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics with ERTA :

Relativistic Spin hydrodynamics with NRTA :

Summary and Outlook :

# **Particle Polarization :**



Figure 1: Origin of particle polarization. [W. Florkowski et al, PPNP 108 (2019) 103709]

 $\circ~$  Large angular momentum  $\rightarrow$  Local vorticities  $\rightarrow$  spin alignment.

[Z.-T. Liang and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 102301 (2005); Phys. Lett. B 629, 20 (2005)]

# **Particle Polarization :**



Experimental evidence, [STAR Collaboration, Nature 548, 62 (2017), Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 132301 (2019), Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 162301 (2021)]

Theoretical models assuming equilibration of spin d.o.f. explains the data.

## **Particle Polarization :**



Figure 2: Observation (L) and prediction (R) of longitudinal polarization.

[Left: Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 132301 (2019); Right: Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 012302 (2018)]

- Inclusion of shear-induced polarization (SIP) solves the problem with extra constraints.
   [Fu et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 142301 (2021); Becattini et. al. Phys. Lett. B 820 136519 (2021)]
- Still the resolution remains ambiguous.
   [Florkowski et. al., Phys. Rev. C 100, 054907 (2019); Phys.Rev.C 105, 064901 (2022)]
- o Do dissipative forces play any role and solve the problem?

### **Inclusion of Dissipation :**



Figure 3: Polarization.  $\tau_s = 7.5$  fm for  $\overline{\Lambda}$  ( $\chi_r^2 = 0.6$ ) and,  $\tau_s = 4.9$  fm for  $\Lambda$  ( $\chi_r^2 = 1.5$ ).

τ<sub>s</sub> is in agreement with [Hidaka et. al., arXiv: 2312.08266, Wagnar et. al., arXiv: 2405.00533].
We had to assume, ϖ<sub>0j</sub> → 0. [S. Banerjee et. al., arXiv:2405.05089]

5/40

The first problem we wish to address is :

Study the effects of spin-dependent relaxation time on spin-polrization.
 → Formulate Dissipative Spin-hydrodynamics with extended RTA (ERTA).

Spin Polarization in Heavy Ion Collisions and Problem - I

#### Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Problem - II:

Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :

Relativistic Kinetic Theory with Spin:

Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics with ERTA :

Relativistic Spin hydrodynamics with NRTA :

Summary and Outlook :

# **Relativistic Hydrodynamics :**

• The conserved currents of hydrodynamics are,

 $N^{\mu} = n_0 u^{\mu} + n^{\mu},$   $T^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}_0 u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - (\mathcal{P} + \Pi) \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}$ where,  $u_{\mu} n^{\mu} = 0$ ,  $u_{\mu} \pi^{\mu\nu} = 0$  and,  $\pi^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0$ .

- We have chosen the Landau frame  $u_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E} u^{\nu}$  and Landau matching conditions  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0, n = n_0$ .
- The number of unknown variables are, 4 + 8 + 3 = 15.
- $\circ~$  However, the number of conservation laws are 5.
- So, apart from EoS, 9 more equations needed to close the system of equations.

# **Relativistic Hydrodynamics :**

 $\circ~$  The conservation laws lead to the following dissipative hydro equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{0} + \left(\mathcal{E}_{0} + \mathcal{P} + \Pi\right)\theta - \pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} &= 0\\ \left(\mathcal{E}_{0} + \mathcal{P} + \Pi\right)\dot{u}^{\alpha} - \nabla^{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{P} + \Pi\right) + \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\pi^{\mu\nu} &= 0\\ \dot{n}_{0} + n_{0}\theta + \partial_{\mu}n^{\mu} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \left( \nabla^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \nabla^{\nu}u^{\mu} \right)/2 - \Delta^{\mu\nu}\theta/3$  is the shear stress tensor.

- These equations are exact up to all order in gradients.
- Next we incorporate the order-by-order gradient corrections :

$$N^{\mu} = N^{\mu}_{(0)} + N^{\mu}_{(1)} + N^{\mu}_{(2)} + \cdots$$
$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} + T^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} + T^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} + \cdots$$

 Truncating terms up to first order in spacetime gradients, we get the Navier-Stokes equations within Landau-Lifshitz frame and matching conditions as,

 $\begin{aligned} \pi^{\mu\nu} &= 2 \,\eta \,\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \\ \Pi &= -\zeta \,\theta, \\ n^{\mu} &= \kappa \left( \nabla^{\mu} \xi \right). \end{aligned}$ 

where,  $\xi = \mu/T$ .  $\eta, \zeta$  and  $\kappa$  are the  $\mathcal{O}(\partial)$  transport coefficients.

 $\circ~$  The details of the transport coefficients can only be obtained from a microscopic theory.

# Causality of $\mathcal{O}(\partial)$ Relativistic Hydrodynamics :

 $\circ~$  To study the causality, perturb the hydrodynamic fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &\to \mathcal{E}_{0} + \delta \mathcal{E}, \\ u^{\mu} &\to u^{\mu}_{0} + \delta u^{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

- $\circ~$  We may assume a solution of the form  $\rightarrow A = \widetilde{A} \, e^{-i\omega t + i {\bf k} \cdot {\bf x}}.$
- $\circ~$  This leads to dispersion relation:

$$\omega = \left(\frac{\eta_{\rm o}}{\mathcal{E}_{\rm o} + \mathcal{P}_{\rm o}}\right) k^2.$$

• Propagation speed of the perturbation:

$$v_T^{\max} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{d\omega}{dk} \to \infty$$

[P. Romatschke, IJMPE 19 (2010) 1-53]

Dissipative Relativistic Hydrodynamics up to  $\mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$  :

• Truncating terms up to second order in spacetime gradients, we get the evolution equations of the dissipative currents as,

$$\begin{split} \dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}}{\tau_{\pi}} &= 2\,\beta_{\pi}\,\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \lambda_{1}\pi^{\langle\mu}_{\gamma}\sigma^{\nu\rangle\gamma} + \lambda_{2}\pi^{\mu\nu}\theta + \lambda_{3}\pi^{\langle\mu}_{\gamma}\omega^{\nu\rangle\gamma} + \lambda_{4}\Pi\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \\ \dot{\Pi} + \frac{\Pi}{\tau_{\Pi}} &= \beta_{\Pi}\,\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \delta_{1}\Pi\theta + \delta_{2}\pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \\ \dot{n}^{\mu} + \frac{n^{\mu}}{\tau_{n}} &= \beta_{n}\,\left(\nabla^{\mu}\xi\right) + \psi_{1}n_{\nu}\omega^{\nu\mu} + \psi_{2}n^{\mu}\theta + \psi_{3}n_{\nu}\sigma_{\nu\mu} + \psi_{4}\pi^{\mu\nu}\left(\nabla_{\nu}\xi\right). \end{split}$$

- The dissipative currents can no longer be completely determined from other hydrodynamic variables and have to be promoted to independent variables.
- $\circ$  Higher order evolution equations can also be obtained. However, to completely specify the theory a microscopic theory is required  $\rightarrow$  Kinetic Theory.

# Causality of $\mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$ Relativistic Hydrodynamics :

• For a chargeless, conformal system, the dispersion relation becomes:

$$\omega = \left(\frac{\eta_{\rm o}}{\mathcal{E}_{\rm o} + \mathcal{P}_{\rm o}}\right) \frac{k^2}{(1 - \omega \tau_{\pi})}$$

 $\circ~$  Propagation speed of the perturbation:

$$v_T^{\max} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{d\omega}{dk} \to \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau_{\pi}} \left(\frac{\eta_0}{\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{P}_0}\right)}$$

- For  $\tau_{\pi} > \eta_0 / (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{P}_0)$ , the system is causal  $\implies$  MIS theories can be causal. [P. Romatschke, IJMPE 19 (2010) 1-53]
- More sophisticated methods of causality analysis exist.
   [M. P. Heller, PRL 130 (2023), 261601, L. Gavassino, PRL 132 (2024), 162301]
- $\circ~$  The price to pay is to include non-thermodynamical variables.

• Under BDNK approach, the constitutive relations are:

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{E} &= \varepsilon_1 \left( \dot{T}/T \right) + \varepsilon_2 \,\theta + \varepsilon_3 \,\dot{\xi} + \mathcal{O} \left( \partial^2 \right) \\ \delta \mathcal{P} &= \pi_1 \left( \dot{T}/T \right) + \pi_2 \,\theta + \pi_3 \,\dot{\xi} + \mathcal{O} \left( \partial^2 \right) \\ \delta n &= \nu_1 \left( \dot{T}/T \right) + \nu_2 \,\theta + \nu_3 \,\dot{\xi} + \mathcal{O} \left( \partial^2 \right) \\ h^\mu &= \theta_1 \dot{u}^\mu + \left( \theta_2/T \right) \left( \nabla^\mu T \right) + \theta_3 \left( \nabla^\mu \xi \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \partial^2 \right) \\ n^\mu &= \gamma_1 \dot{u}^\mu + \left( \gamma_2/T \right) \left( \nabla^\mu T \right) + \gamma_3 \left( \nabla^\mu \xi \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \partial^2 \right) \\ \pi^{\mu\nu} &= 2\eta \,\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O} \left( \partial^2 \right). \end{split}$$

[P. Kovtun, JHEP 10 (2019) 034, K. Jensen et. al. PRL 109 (2012), 101601]

- $\circ~$  The replacement  $D \rightarrow \nabla \implies$  Non-hyperbolic equations.
- $\circ~$  BDNK resolves this from the macroscopic point of view.
- $\circ~$  But microscopic theories like <u>*RTA*</u> requires  $D \rightarrow \nabla$  for conservation laws.
- Novel RTA provides the appropriate framework in this regard.
   [G. S. Rocha et.al., PRL 127 (2021) 4, 042301, PRD 106 (2022) 3, 036022]
- $\circ~$  No such theory exists for spin-hydrodynamics.

The second problem we wish to address is :

Construct a kinetic theory that is compatible with BDNK approach.
 → Formulate Dissipative Spin-hydrodynamics with Novel RTA (NRTA).

Spin Polarization in Heavy Ion Collisions and Problem - I

Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Problem - II:

#### Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :

Relativistic Kinetic Theory with Spin:

Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics with ERTA :

Relativistic Spin hydrodynamics with NRTA :

Summary and Outlook :

# **Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :**

- $\circ~$  We first note that spin-polarization originates from the rotation of fluid.
- $\circ~$  Hence, we will have to deal with three conserved currents :

$$\partial_{\mu}N^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \qquad \partial_{\lambda}J^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = 0$$

where, J = L + S. Also,  $L^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = x^{\mu}T^{\lambda\nu} - x^{\nu}T^{\lambda\mu}$ .

$$\circ$$
 For symmetric  $T^{\mu
u}$  we have,  $\partial_{\lambda}S^{\lambda,\mu
u} = 0$ 

$$N^{\mu} = N^{\mu}_{\rm eq} + \delta N^{\mu}, \qquad T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm eq} + \delta T^{\mu\nu}, \qquad S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}_{\rm eq} + \delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$$

# **Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :**

 $\circ$  We first note that spin-polarization originates from the rotation of fluid.

 $\circ~$  Hence, we will have to deal with three conserved currents :

$$\partial_{\mu}N^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \partial_{\lambda}J^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = 0$$
  
where,  $J = L + S$ . Also,  $L^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = x^{\mu}T^{\lambda\nu} - x^{\nu}T^{\lambda\mu}$ .

• For symmetric 
$$T^{\mu
u}$$
 we have,  $\left| \partial_{\lambda}S^{\lambda,\mu
u} = \mathbf{0} \right|$ 

$$N^{\mu} = N^{\mu}_{\rm eq} + \delta N^{\mu}, \qquad T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm eq} + \delta T^{\mu\nu}, \qquad S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}_{\rm eq} + \delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$$

 $\circ~$  The dissipative parts require microscopic description  $\rightarrow$  Kinetic Theory.

Spin Polarization in Heavy Ion Collisions and Problem - I

Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Problem - II:

Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :

#### Relativistic Kinetic Theory with Spin:

Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics with ERTA :

Relativistic Spin hydrodynamics with NRTA :

Summary and Outlook :

### **Kinetic Theory with Spin :**

• To import spin in kinetic theory (KT), we start from the Wigner function  $(\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta})$ , that bridges the gap between QFT and KT.

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta}(x,k) = \int d^4 y e^{-ik \cdot y} \left\langle : \bar{\psi}_{\beta}(x_1)\psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \right\rangle :$$

 $\circ~$  For spin-1/2 particles we set up kinetic equation of  $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta}$  using Dirac equation,

$$\left[\gamma \cdot \left(p + \frac{i}{2}\partial\right) - m\right] \mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{C}\left[\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta}\right]$$

[Xin-Li Sheng, PhD Thesis (2019), N. Weickgenannt et al, PRL 127 (2021) 5, 052301, PRD 100, 056018 (2019).]

• The Wigner function can be decomposed as,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \mathcal{F} + i\gamma^5 \mathcal{P} + \gamma^\mu \mathcal{V}_\mu + \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \mathcal{A}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu} \right)_{\alpha\beta}$$

 $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow ext{scalar component},$  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow ext{pseudoscalar component},$  $\mathcal{V}_{\mu} \rightarrow ext{vector component},$  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \rightarrow ext{axial vector component},$  $\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow ext{tensor component}.$ 

where, the  $\gamma$ -matrices are the 4  $\times$  4 Dirac  $\gamma$ -matrices and,  $\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = i\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}$ .

 $\circ~$  For spin-hydrodynamics it suffices to consider only  ${\cal F}$  and  ${\cal A}_{\mu}$  components.

[Xin-Li Sheng, PhD Thesis (2019)]

	Scalar Component	Axial Component
Kin. Eq.	$k^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{F}(x,k)=\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$	$k^\mu \partial_\mu {\cal A}^ u(x,k) = {\cal C}^ u_{\cal A}$
RTA	$C_{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{(k \cdot u)}{\tau_{\text{eq}}} \Big[ \mathcal{F}_{\text{eq}}(x, k) - \mathcal{F}(x, k) \Big]$	$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\nu} = \frac{(k \cdot u)}{\tau_{\text{eq}}} \Big[ \mathcal{A}_{\text{eq}}^{\nu}(x,k) - \mathcal{A}^{\nu}(x,k) \Big]$
Dist. fn.	$\mathcal{F}^{\pm}(x,k) = 2m \int_{p,s} f^{\pm}(x,p,s) \ \delta^{(4)}(k \mp p)$	$\mathcal{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x,k) = 2m \int_{p,s} s^{\mu} f^{\pm}(x,p,s) \delta^{(4)}(k \mp p)$

[S.B., W. Florkowski, A. Jaiswal, A. Kumar and, R. Ryblewski, PLB 814 (2021) 136096, PRD 103 (2021) 1, 014030]

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Momentum measure} \to & \int_p (\cdots) \to \int \mathrm{d} \mathrm{P}(\cdots), & \int \mathrm{d} \mathrm{P} = d^3 p / (2\pi)^3 \, p^0. \\ \\ \text{Spin measure} \to & \int_s (\cdots) \to \int \mathrm{d} \mathrm{S}(\cdots), & \int \mathrm{d} \mathrm{S} = (m/\pi \mathfrak{s}) \int d^4 s \delta(s \cdot s + \mathfrak{s}^2). \end{array}$$

### **Relativistic Kinetic Equation :**

• We take the equilibrium (extended) phase-space distribution function to be :

$$f_{\rm eq}^{\pm}(x,p,s) \equiv f_{{\rm o},s}^{\pm} = e^{-\beta(u\cdot p)\pm\xi} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}s^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)$$

[F. Becatinni et al., Annals Phys. 338 (2013) 32-49, W. Florkowski et al., PRD 97 (2018) 11, 116017]

• Near local equilibrium f(x, p, s) is expanded using Chapman-Enskog :

$$f^{\pm}(x,p,s) = f_{\text{eq}}^{\pm}(x,p,s) + \delta f^{\pm}(x,p,s).$$

[de Groot, van Leewan, van Weert, 'Relativistic Kinetic Theory - Principle and Applications (1980)'.]

• The conserved currents are expressed in kinetic theory as,

$$N^{\mu} = \int_{p,s} p^{\mu} \left( f^{+} - f^{-} \right); \qquad T^{\mu\nu} = \int_{p,s} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} \left( f^{+} + f^{-} \right); \qquad S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = \int_{p,s} p^{\lambda} s^{\mu\nu} \left( f^{+} + f^{-} \right)$$

• Under RTA, conservation laws require,  $\int_{p,s} (u \cdot p) \, \delta f / \tau_{\mathrm{R}} = 0$ ,  $\int_{p,s} p^{\nu} (u \cdot p) \, \delta f / \tau_{\mathrm{R}} = 0$  and,  $\int_{p,s} (u \cdot p) \, s^{\mu\nu} \delta f / \tau_{\mathrm{R}} = 0$ . • The dissipative quantities are defined as,

$$\begin{split} n^{\mu} &= \Delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} \int dP \int dS \, p^{\alpha} \left( \delta f^{+} - \delta f^{-} \right) \\ \Pi &= -\frac{\Delta_{\alpha\beta}}{3} \int dP \int dS \, p^{\alpha} p^{\beta} \left( \delta f^{+} + \delta f^{-} \right) \\ \pi^{\mu\nu} &= \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} \int dP \int dS \, p^{\alpha} p^{\beta} \left( \delta f^{+} + \delta f^{-} \right) \\ \delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} &= \int dP \int dS \, p^{\lambda} s^{\mu\nu} \left( \delta f^{+} + \delta f^{-} \right) \end{split}$$

where,  $\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} = (1/2)(\Delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\Delta^{\nu}_{\beta} + \Delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\Delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}) - (1/3)\Delta^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$  is a traceless symmetric projection operator.

### **Dissipative Currents in Spin-hydrodynamics with RTA:**

 $\circ~$  The non-equilibrium parts give the transport coefficients:

$$\begin{split} \delta N^{\mu} &= \tau_{\rm eq} \beta_n (\nabla^{\mu} \xi), \\ \delta T^{\mu\nu} &= \tau_{\rm eq} \Big[ -\beta_{\Pi} \ \Delta^{\mu\nu} \ \theta + 2 \ \beta_{\pi} \ \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Big], \\ \delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} &= \tau_{\rm eq} \Big[ B^{\lambda,\mu\nu}_{\Pi} \theta + B^{\phi\lambda,\mu\nu}_n (\nabla_{\phi} \xi) + B^{\alpha\beta\lambda,\mu\nu}_{\pi} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} + B^{\rho\gamma\phi\lambda,\mu\nu}_{\Sigma} (\nabla_{\rho} \omega_{\gamma\phi}) \Big] \end{split}$$

 $\circ~$  By choosing the Landau frame and matching conditions we found the following relations:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\xi} &= \xi_{\theta} \,\theta, \qquad \dot{\beta} = \beta_{\theta} \,\theta, \qquad \beta \dot{u}_{\mu} = -\nabla_{\mu}\beta + \frac{n_{o} \tanh \xi}{(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P})} \left(\nabla_{\mu}\xi\right) \\ \dot{\omega}^{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{D}_{\Pi}^{\mu\nu}\theta + \mathcal{D}_{n}^{\mu\nu\alpha} \left(\nabla_{\alpha}\xi\right) + \mathcal{D}_{\pi}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} \left(\nabla_{\alpha}\omega_{\beta\gamma}\right), \end{split}$$

[S.B., W. Florkowski, A. Jaiswal, A. Kumar and, R. Ryblewski, PLB 814 (2021) 136096, PRD 103, 014030 (2021)]

 $\circ$  But such first-order theory is not causal and we had to assume  $au_{
m R} = au_{
m eq}(x)$ . [A. Daher et. al., PRD 107 (2023) 5, 054043]

• Spacetime Evolution <

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f$$
 +  $F^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^{(p)}f$  =  $C[f]$ 

• Spacetime Evolution <

• Spacetime Evolution ~



• Spacetime Evolution ~



• Spacetime Evolution <

- $\circ \ \ {\rm Gravitational \ Forces}: \qquad F^{\mu}=-\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}p^{\alpha}p^{\beta}.$
- Electromagnetic Forces :  $F^{\mu} = q F^{\mu\nu} p_{\nu}$ .
- $\circ~$  Mean-Field Forces :
- $F^{\mu} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}p^{\alpha}p^{\beta}.$ es:  $F^{\mu} = qF^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu}.$  $F^{\mu} = M(\partial^{\mu}M).$

• Spacetime Evolution <

- $\circ \ \ {\rm Gravitational \ Forces}: \qquad \ \ F^{\mu}=-\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}p^{\alpha}p^{\beta}.$
- $\circ~$  Electromagnetic Forces :
- $\circ~$  Mean-Field Forces :

$$F^{\mu} = -I^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}p^{\mu}p^{\nu}$$
$$F^{\mu} = qF^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu}.$$
$$F^{\mu} = M(\partial^{\mu}M).$$

• Global Equilibrium solution:

$$f_0 = (\exp g + r)^{-1}$$

where,  $r={\rm o},\pm{\rm 1}$  and  $g\equiv\sum_n\alpha_n\phi_n$ 

• Under local equilibrium,  $\alpha_n \to \alpha_n(x^\mu)$ 

 $\circ~$  For 2  $\leftrightarrow$  2 collisions:

$$C[f] = \int dP \, dP' \, dK' \underbrace{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\leftrightarrow\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}}_{\text{Transition Amplitude:}} \times \left(f_{\mathbf{p}}f_{\mathbf{p}'} - f_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}'}\right)$$

# **The Collision Kernel**

• For 2  $\leftrightarrow$  2 collisions:  $C[f] = \int dP \, dP' \, dK' \underbrace{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\leftrightarrow\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}}_{\gamma} \times \left(f_{\mathbf{p}}f_{\mathbf{p}'} - f_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}'}\right)$ Transition Amplitude:

• Chapman-Enskog Expansion:

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathrm{o}\mathbf{k}} + \delta f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathrm{o}\mathbf{k}} \left( 1 + \phi_{\mathbf{k}} \right)$$

∘ For 2 ↔ 2 collisions:  $C[f] = \int dP \, dP' \, dK' \underbrace{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\leftrightarrow\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}}_{\text{Transition Amplitude:}} \times \left(f_{\mathbf{p}}f_{\mathbf{p}'} - f_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}'}\right)$ 

• Chapman-Enskog Expansion:

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathrm{o}\mathbf{k}} + \delta f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathrm{o}\mathbf{k}} \left( \mathbf{1} + \phi_{\mathbf{k}} \right)$$

Linearized Collision Operator :

$$C[f] \rightarrow \hat{L}\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \int dP \, dP' \, dK' \, \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\leftrightarrow\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}'} \times \qquad \left(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} + \phi_{\mathbf{p}'} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}'}\right)$$
  
Collisional Invariants:

[S. R. de Groot et. al., Relativistic Kinetic Theory, C. Cercignani et. al., The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation]

### **The Conservation Laws**

- Collisional invariants remain conserved during collisions.
- $\circ~$  Each collisional invariant correspond to a conservation law.
- For a non-rotating, unpolarizable fluid :
  - $\phi \equiv 1 \longrightarrow$  Number Conservation.
  - $\phi \equiv E_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow$  Energy Conservation.
  - $\phi \equiv \vec{k} \ (\sim k^{\langle \mu \rangle}) \longrightarrow$  Linear Momentum Conservation.
- Thus, a collision kernel should satisfy:

$$\hat{L} \ {\bf 1} = {\bf 0}, \qquad \hat{L} \ E_{\bf k} = {\bf 0}, \qquad \hat{L} \ k^{\langle \mu \rangle} = {\bf 0}.$$

• The linearized collision kernel satisfies the property:

$$\int dK \,\psi_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{L} \phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \int dK \,\phi_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{L} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$
$$\implies \int dK \,\hat{L} \phi_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \qquad \int dK \,k^{\mu} \hat{L} \phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \int dK \left( u^{\mu} E_{\mathbf{k}} + k^{\langle \mu \rangle} \right) \hat{L} \phi_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$$

[S. R. de Groot et. al., Relativistic Kinetic Theory, C. Cercignani et. al., The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation]

# **New Collision Kernels**

- We will work with two types linearized collision kernels.
  - 1. Extended Relaxation Time Approximation (ERTA):

$$\hat{L}_{\text{ERTA}}\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_{\text{R}}} \left(\phi_{\mathbf{k}} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}\right) f_{\text{o}\mathbf{k}}$$

where,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{k}}^{*} &= -\frac{(k\cdot\delta u)}{T} + \frac{(E_{\mathbf{k}}-\mu)\,\delta T}{T^{2}} + \frac{\delta\mu}{T}\\ \delta u_{\mu} &= u_{\mu}^{*} - u_{\mu}, \qquad \delta T = T^{*} - T, \qquad \delta\mu = \mu^{*} - \mu. \end{split}$$

[D. Dash et. al., PLB 831 (2022) 137202]

# **New Collision Kernels**

- We will work with two types linearized collision kernels.
  - 1. Extended Relaxation Time Approximation (ERTA):

$$\hat{L}_{\text{ERTA}}\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_{\text{R}}} \left(\phi_{\mathbf{k}} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}\right) f_{\text{o}\mathbf{k}}$$

where,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{1,\mathbf{k}}^{*} &= -\frac{(k \cdot \delta u)}{T} + \frac{(E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu) \delta T}{T^{2}} + \frac{\delta \mu}{T} \\ \delta u_{\mu} &= u_{\mu}^{*} - u_{\mu}, \quad \delta T = T^{*} - T, \quad \delta \mu = \mu^{*} - \mu. \end{split}$$

- [D. Dash et. al., PLB 831 (2022) 137202]
- 2. Novel Relaxation Time Approximation (NRTA):

$$\hat{L}_{\text{NRTA}} \sim \left( -\mathbb{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{5} \left| \lambda_n \right\rangle \left\langle \lambda_n \right| \right)$$

where,  $|\lambda_n\rangle$  are degenerate, orthogonal eigenvectors of  $\hat{L}_{\rm NRTA}.$  [G. S. Rocha et. al., PRL 127 (2021), 042301]

 $\circ~$  Boltzmann equation is an inhomogeneous partial differential equation.

# **Solving The Boltzmann Equation**

- $\circ~$  Boltzmann equation is an inhomogeneous partial differential equation.
- $\circ~$  Thus, the solution is:

 $\phi = \phi_{\rm h} + \phi_{\rm ih}$ 

# **Solving The Boltzmann Equation**

- $\circ~$  Boltzmann equation is an inhomogeneous partial differential equation.
- $\circ$  Thus, the solution is:

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm h} + \phi_{\rm ih}$$

 $\circ~$  The homogeneous part looks like :

$$\phi_{\mathbf{h}} = a + b_{\mu}k^{\mu} = a \cdot \mathbf{1} + (b \cdot u)E_{\mathbf{k}} + b_{\langle \mu \rangle}k^{\langle \mu \rangle}.$$

- $\circ~$  Boltzmann equation is an inhomogeneous partial differential equation.
- $\circ~$  Thus, the solution is:

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm h} + \phi_{\rm ih}$$

 $\circ~$  The homogeneous part looks like :

$$\phi_{\mathbf{h}} = a + b_{\mu}k^{\mu} = a \cdot \mathbf{1} + (b \cdot u)E_{\mathbf{k}} + b_{\langle \mu \rangle}k^{\langle \mu \rangle}.$$

• Subtracting the homogeneous part of the solution, gives freedom to choose the frame and matching conditions.

[S. R. de Groot et. al., Relativistic Kinetic Theory, C. Cercignani et. al., The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation]

- $\circ~$  Boltzmann equation is an inhomogeneous partial differential equation.
- $\circ$  Thus, the solution is:

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm h} + \phi_{\rm ih}$$

• The homogeneous part looks like :

$$\phi_{\mathbf{h}} = a + b_{\mu}k^{\mu} = a \cdot \mathbf{1} + (b \cdot u)E_{\mathbf{k}} + b_{\langle \mu \rangle}k^{\langle \mu \rangle}.$$

• Subtracting the homogeneous part of the solution, gives freedom to choose the frame and matching conditions.

[S. R. de Groot et. al., Relativistic Kinetic Theory, C. Cercignani et. al., The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation]

• Both ERTA and NRTA have this feature.

ERTA : 
$$a \to (E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu) \left( \delta T/T^2 \right) + \left( \delta \mu/T \right), \quad \text{and}, \quad b_{\mu} \to - \left( \delta u_{\mu}/T \right).$$

**NRTA** :  $a \to \Phi_0$ , and,  $b_\mu \to \Phi_0^{\langle \mu \rangle}$ .

[D. Dash et. al., PLB 831 (2022) 137202, G. S. Rocha et. al., PRL 127 (2021), 042301]

- Two popular approaches are considered :
  - 1. Chapman-Enskog-like iterative solution:

$$\phi_{n,\mathbf{k}} f_{o\mathbf{k}} = \phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^* f_{o\mathbf{k}} - \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathbf{R}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}}\right) (k \cdot \partial) f_{(n-1)\mathbf{k}},$$

We will use this to solve the Extended RTA case. [D. Dash et. al., PLB 831 (2022) 137202]

2. Moment method:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{n,\ell=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n^{\langle \mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell \rangle} k_{\langle \mu_1} \cdots k_{\mu_\ell} P_n^{(\ell)}(\beta E_{\mathbf{k}})$$

We will use this to solve the Novel RTA case. Here  $P_n^{(\ell)}$  are orthogonal polynomials satisfying the property:

$$\frac{\ell!}{(2\ell+1)!!} \left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right) \left(k \cdot \Delta \cdot k\right)^{\ell} P_{n}^{(\ell)} P_{m}^{(\ell)} \right\rangle_{o\mathbf{k}} = A_{n}^{(\ell)} \delta_{nm},$$

$$A_{n}^{(\ell)} = \frac{\ell!}{(2\ell+1)!!} \left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right) \left(k \cdot \Delta \cdot k\right)^{\ell} P_{n}^{(\ell)} P_{n}^{(\ell)} \right\rangle_{o\mathbf{k}}$$

[G. S. Rocha et. al., PRL 127 (2021), 042301]

 $\circ~$  Phase-space is extended to include spin degrees of freedom :

$$f_{\mathbf{k}}(x,k) \longrightarrow f_{s}(x,k,s)$$
  
$$f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow f_{\mathbf{o},s} = f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}} \exp(s:\omega) \approx f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( s:\omega \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\omega^{2}\right)$$

 $\circ~$  Homogeneous part for spin-polarizable particles :

$$\phi_{\rm h} = a + b_\mu k^\mu + c_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu}$$

- $\circ~$  The solutions are modified as :
  - 1. Chapman-Enskog-like iterative solution (ERTA):

$$\phi_{n,s} f_{\mathrm{o},s} = \phi_{n,s}^* f_{\mathrm{o},s} - \frac{\tau_{\mathrm{R}}}{(u \cdot p)} \left( p \cdot \partial \right) f_{(n-1),s},$$

2. Moment method (NRTA):

$$\phi_s = \sum_{n,\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( \Phi_n^{\langle \mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell \rangle} + s_{\mu\nu} \Psi_n^{\mu\nu,\langle \mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell \rangle} \right) k_{\langle \mu_1} \cdots k_{\mu_\ell} P_n^{(\ell)}(\beta E_{\mathbf{k}})$$

[S. Bhadury, 2408.14462]

Spin Polarization in Heavy Ion Collisions and Problem - I

Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Problem - II:

Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :

Relativistic Kinetic Theory with Spin:

#### Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics with ERTA :

Relativistic Spin hydrodynamics with NRTA :

Summary and Outlook :

### **Field Redefinition - ERTA**

• The thermodynamic variables (starred) are determined via field re-definitions :

$$\begin{split} \langle q_1 \, \phi_s \rangle_{\mathbf{o}} + \left\langle \bar{q}_1 \, \bar{\phi}_s \right\rangle_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} &= \mathbf{o}, \\ \langle q_2 \, \phi_s \rangle_{\mathbf{o}} + \left\langle \bar{q}_2 \, \bar{\phi}_s \right\rangle_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} &= \mathbf{o} \\ \langle q_3 \, k^{\langle \mu \rangle} \phi_s \right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}} + \left\langle \bar{q}_3 \, k^{\langle \mu \rangle} \bar{\phi}_s \right\rangle_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} &= \mathbf{o}, \\ \langle q_4 \, s^{\mu\nu} \phi_s \rangle_{\mathbf{o}} + \left\langle \bar{q}_4 \, s^{\mu\nu} \bar{\phi}_s \right\rangle_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} &= \mathbf{o} \end{split}$$

where we use the notations:

$$\langle (\cdots) \rangle_{o} = \int dK \, dS \, (\cdots) \, f_{ok}, \qquad \langle (\cdots) \rangle_{\bar{o}} = \int dK \, dS \, (\cdots) \, \bar{f}_{ok}$$

• The thermodynamic variables are :

$$X^* = X + \delta X$$

where,  $X \equiv T, \mu, u_{\mu}, \omega_{\mu\nu}$ .

• Then we find using the field-redefinitions (up to  $\mathcal{O}(\partial)$ ):

$$\begin{split} \delta u_{\mu} &= \beta \mathcal{C}_{1} \left( \nabla_{\mu} \xi \right), \qquad \delta T = \mathcal{C}_{2} \, \theta, \qquad \delta \mu = \mathcal{C}_{3} \, \theta, \\ \delta \omega^{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{D}_{\Pi}^{\mu\nu} \, \theta + \mathcal{D}_{n}^{\mu\nu\gamma} \left( \nabla_{\gamma} \xi \right) + \mathcal{D}_{\pi}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu\gamma\alpha\beta} \left( \nabla_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \right). \end{split}$$

- $\circ~$  Even with arbitrary frame and matching conditions, we had to use,  $D \rightarrow \nabla$  .
- While the first-order theory is still acausal, we can now have,  $\tau_{\rm R}(x, p, s)$ .

 $\circ~$  Under a general field re-definition the constitutive relations are given by :

$$N^{\mu} = (n_{o} + \delta n) u^{\mu} + n^{\mu},$$
  

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{E}_{o} + \delta \mathcal{E}) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - (\mathcal{P} + \delta \mathcal{P}) \Delta^{\mu\nu} + 2h^{(\mu} u^{\nu)} + \pi^{\mu\nu},$$
  

$$S^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}_{o} + \delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}.$$

 $\circ~$  The dissipative currents are :

$$\begin{split} \delta n &= \nu \, \theta \qquad \delta \mathcal{E} = e \, \theta, \qquad \delta \mathcal{P} = \rho \, \theta, \\ n^{\mu} &= \kappa_n^{\mu \nu} \left( \nabla_{\nu} \xi \right), \qquad h^{\mu} = \kappa_h^{\mu \nu} \left( \nabla_{\nu} \xi \right), \\ \pi^{\mu \nu} &= 2 \eta \sigma^{\mu \nu}, \\ \delta S^{\lambda, \mu \nu} &= B_{\Pi}^{\mu \nu} \, \theta + B_n^{\mu \nu \gamma} \left( \nabla_{\gamma} \xi \right) + B_{\pi}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \, \sigma_{\alpha \beta} + B_{\Sigma}^{\mu \nu \gamma \alpha \beta} \left( \nabla_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha \beta} \right) \end{split}$$

• The expressions of the transport coefficients can be obtained assuming:

$$\tau_{\mathbf{R}}(x, p, s) = \tau_{\mathbf{eq}}(x) \left(\beta \cdot p\right)^{\ell_1} (u \cdot s)^{\ell_2}$$

[S. Bhadury, 2408.14462]

• The entropy production is given by,

$$\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{H}_{\mu} = -\beta\Pi\theta - \mathcal{Q}^{\mu}\left(\nabla_{\mu}\xi\right) + \beta\pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{S}^{\lambda\mu\nu}\left(\nabla_{\lambda}\omega_{\mu\nu}\right)$$

• The frame-invariant transport coefficients are:

$$\begin{split} \Pi &= -\zeta \,\theta = \delta \mathcal{P} - \left(\frac{\chi_b}{\beta}\right) \delta \mathcal{P} + \left(\frac{\chi_a}{\beta}\right) \delta n, \\ \mathcal{Q}^{\mu} &= \kappa^{\mu\nu} \left(\nabla_{\nu}\xi\right) = n^{\mu} - \left(\frac{n_o}{\mathcal{E}_o + \mathcal{P}_o}\right) h^{\mu}, \\ \pi^{\mu\nu} &= 2\eta \,\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \\ \mathcal{S}^{\lambda\mu\nu} &= \beta_{\Sigma}^{\lambda\mu\nu\gamma\alpha\beta} \left(\nabla_{\gamma}\omega_{\alpha\beta}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(u^{\rho} D_{\Sigma}^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu\nu} \delta S_{\rho,\alpha\beta} - \delta S^{\lambda,\mu\nu}\right) \end{split}$$

[S. Bhadury, 2408.14462]

Spin Polarization in Heavy Ion Collisions and Problem - I

Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Problem - II:

Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :

Relativistic Kinetic Theory with Spin:

Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics with ERTA :

Relativistic Spin hydrodynamics with NRTA :

Summary and Outlook :

#### The Linearized collision kernel - NRTA

- $\circ~$  We work with  $\mu=$  0, as NRTA is not built for pair production and annihilation.
- $\circ~$  We have 10 collisional invariants and hence :

$$\hat{L}\phi_{s} \equiv -\mathbb{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{10} |\lambda_{n}\rangle \langle \lambda_{n} |\phi_{s}\rangle$$

 $\circ~$  The orthonormal basis are :

$$\begin{split} |\lambda_{1}\rangle &= \frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\sqrt{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}^{3}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}}, \\ |\lambda_{2-4}\rangle &= \frac{k^{\left\langle \mu \right\rangle}}{\sqrt{\left(1/3\right)\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)k_{\left\langle \alpha \right\rangle}k^{\left\langle \alpha \right\rangle}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}}, \\ |\lambda_{5-7}\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)\left(\widetilde{s}\cdot\widetilde{s}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}} \left[\widetilde{s}^{\,\mu} - \frac{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)\widetilde{s}^{\,\mu}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}^{3}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}} E_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)k^{\left\langle \gamma \right\rangle}\widetilde{s}^{\,\mu}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}{\left\langle \left(1/3\right)\left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)k^{\left\langle \alpha \right\rangle}\lambda_{\left\langle \alpha \right\rangle}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}} \right], \\ |\lambda_{8-10}\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)\left(\widetilde{s}:\widetilde{s}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}} \left[\widetilde{s}^{\,\mu\nu} - \frac{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)\widetilde{s}^{\,\mu\nu}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}^{3}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}} E_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\left\langle \left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)k^{\left\langle \mu \right\rangle}\widetilde{s}^{\,\mu\nu}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}{\left\langle \left(1/3\right)\left(E_{\mathbf{k}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}\right)k^{\left\langle \alpha \right\rangle}\lambda_{\left\langle \alpha \right\rangle}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{o}}}} \right] \end{split}$$

• Then we get,

$$\hat{L}E_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \qquad \hat{L}k^{\langle \mu \rangle} = 0, \qquad \hat{L}s^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

### **Field Redefinition - NRTA**

- $\circ$  We work with  $\mu = 0$ , as NRTA is not built for pair production and annihilation.
- $\circ~$  Now we use a new set of notations :

$$\langle (\cdots) \rangle_{0} = \int dK \, dS \, (\cdots) \, f_{0s}, \qquad \langle (\cdots) \rangle_{0k} = \int dK \, (\cdots) \, \bar{f}_{0k}$$

 $\circ~$  The thermodynamic variables (starred) are determined via field re-definitions :

$$\int dK dS \, q_1 \phi_s \, f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{o}, \qquad \int dK dS \, q_2 k^{\langle \mu \rangle} \phi_s \, f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{o}, \qquad \int dK dS \, q_3 s^{\mu\nu} \phi_s \, f_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{o}$$

 $\circ~$  The homogeneous parts of the solution are :

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{0} &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n} \frac{\left\langle q_{1} P_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\left\langle q_{1} P_{0}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{0\mathbf{k}}}, \qquad \Phi_{0}^{\langle \mu \rangle} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n}^{\langle \mu_{1} \rangle} \frac{\left\langle q_{2} k^{\langle \mu \rangle} k_{\langle \mu_{1} \rangle} P_{n}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\left\langle q_{2} \left( k \cdot \Delta \cdot k \right) P_{0}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{0\mathbf{k}}}, \\ \Psi_{0}^{\mu\nu} &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{n}^{\mu\nu} \left\langle q_{3} P_{n}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{0\mathbf{k}} / \left\langle q_{3} P_{0}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{0\mathbf{k}}. \end{split}$$

Spin Polarization in Heavy Ion Collisions and Problem - I

Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Problem - II:

Relativistic Spin-hydrodynamics :

Relativistic Kinetic Theory with Spin:

Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics with ERTA :

Relativistic Spin hydrodynamics with NRTA :

Summary and Outlook :

# **Summary and Outlook :**

#### • Summary :

- 1. ERTA provides a simple solution to make the relaxation time dependent on p and s.
- 2. ERTA does not lead to first-order causal theory of spin hydrodynamics.
- 3. NRTA gives the option of constructing first-order causal spin-hydrodynamics.
- 4. NRTA cannot describe a system with pair production and annihilation.

- $\circ$  Outlook :
  - 1. For the NRTA, we still need to find :

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Phi_n, \ \Phi_n^{(\mu)}, \ \Psi_n^{\mu\nu} & \mbox{for} & n \ge 1 \\ \\ \Phi_n^{\langle \mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell \rangle} & \mbox{for} & n \ge 0, \ \ell \ge 2 \\ \\ \Psi_n^{\mu\nu, \langle \mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell \rangle} & \mbox{for} & n \ge 0, \ \ell \ge 1. \end{array}$$

2. Second-order Spin hydrodynamics from kinetic theory should be formulated.

# Thank you.

 $\circ~$  The frame-invariant transport coefficients are:

$$\begin{split} f_i &\equiv \pi_i - \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_o}{\partial \mathcal{E}_o}\right)_{n_o} \varepsilon_i + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_o}{\partial n_o}\right)_{\mathcal{E}_o} \nu_i, \\ l_i &\equiv \gamma_i - \left(\frac{n_o}{\mathcal{E}_o + \mathcal{P}_o}\right) \theta_i. \end{split}$$

• Out of the 16 parameters, only three one-derivative transport coefficients  $\zeta(f_1, f_2, f_3), \eta, \kappa(l_1, l_2)$  are independent.