



 $\exists \rightarrow$

CP-violation in complex-singlet extensions of 2HDM (2HDMS)

Jayita Lahiri II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg 3rd ECFA Workshop, Paris, 2024

Work in progress in collaboration with Gudrid Moortgat-Pick

- \bullet Baryon-asymmetry of the universe \rightarrow additional sources of CP-violation beyond SM is 'necessary'.
- It is possible to have additional CPV in models with extended scalar sectors.
- Constraints comes from :
 - EDM experiments
 - Collider experiments
 - O Requirement from observed baryon-asymmetry.

CP-violation in 2HDM

The most general 2HDM scalar potential :

$$\begin{split} V_{2HDM} &= -m_{11}^{2}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1} - m_{22}^{2}\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2} - [m_{12}^{2}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2} + h.c.] + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}) + \lambda_{4}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1}) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}) + \lambda_{6}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1}) + \lambda_{7}(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})\right](\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}) + h.c \end{split}$$

- Exact Z_2 symmetry i.e $m_{12}^2 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0 \rightarrow$ no CP-violation, the phase of λ_5 can be rotated away, by a global U(2) transformation of the potential. No CP-violation.*Ilya F. Ginzburg and Maria Krawczyk (Arxiv:hep-ph/0408011)*
- Softly-broken Z_2 -symmetry i.e $m_{12}^2 \neq 0, \lambda_6, \lambda_7 = 0$ CP-violation is possible. In the alignment limit, CP-violation becomes negligible. Imagainary part of *all* possible U(2)-invariants ≈ 0 . John F. Gunion and Howard E Haber (Arxiv:hep-ph/0506227)

Yukawa-aligned 2HDM

• Hard breaking of Z_2 i.e $m_{12}^2, \lambda_6, \lambda_7 \neq 0$, CP-violation can be significant.

S. Kanemura, M.Kubota and K. Yagyu (Arxiv:2004.03943)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{yukawa}} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left(\bar{Q}_{L} y_{u,k}^{\dagger} \tilde{\Phi}_{k} u_{R} + \bar{Q}_{L} y_{d,k} \Phi_{k} d_{R} + \bar{L}_{L} y_{e,k} \Phi_{k} e_{R} \right)$$

• In the absence of Z_2 symmetry, to avoid tree-level FCNC Yukawa matrices associated with the two doublets are assumed to be proportional to each other. A. Pich and P. Tuzon (Arxiv:0908.1554)

$$y_{f,2} = \zeta_f \ y_{f,1}$$

- ζ can be complex and the source of CP-violation.
- In 2HDM (Yukawa-aligned), in the exact alignment limit, the CP-violation stems from Yukawa sector and not from the CP-mixing in the scalar sector.
- Also the Yukawa interaction of the 125 GeV Higgs is CP-conserving in the alignment limit.

2HDM + complex singlet (2HDMS)

There are two major motivation to go to the complex singlet extension of 2HDM are :

- The scalar sector of 2HDMS resembles that of NMSSM, when the complex scalar is charged under a Z_3 symmetry.
- **2** The model can accommodate a dark matter component when the complex scalar is charged under a \mathcal{Z}'_2 symmetry, as well as an excess such as 95 GeV observed at CMS as well as LEP in $\gamma\gamma$ and $b\bar{b}$ final state.
- We would investigate whether, there are additional (physical) sources of CP-violation in 2HDMS.

2HDMS potential- \mathcal{Z}_2' symmetric case

 $V_{\rm 2HDMS} = V_{\rm 2HDM} + V_S$

$$V_{5} = m_{5}^{2}S^{\dagger}S + \left[\frac{m_{5}^{\prime 2}}{2}S^{2} + h.c.\right] + \left[\frac{\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{24}S^{4} + h.c.\right] + \left[\frac{\lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{6}(S^{2}S^{\dagger}S) + h.c.\right] \\ + \frac{\lambda_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{4}(S^{\dagger}S)^{2} + S^{\dagger}S[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1} + \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}] + \left[S^{2}(\lambda_{4}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1} + \lambda_{5}^{\prime}\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}) + h.c.\right]$$

2HDMS potential- \mathcal{Z}_2' symmetric case

 $V_{\rm 2HDMS} = V_{\rm 2HDM} + V_S$

$$V_{S} = m_{S}^{2}S^{\dagger}S + \left[\frac{m_{S}^{\prime 2}}{2}S^{2} + h.c.\right] + \left[\frac{\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{24}S^{4} + h.c.\right] + \left[\frac{\lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{6}(S^{2}S^{\dagger}S) + h.c.\right] \\ + \frac{\lambda_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{4}(S^{\dagger}S)^{2} + S^{\dagger}S[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1} + \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}] + \left[S^{2}(\lambda_{4}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1} + \lambda_{5}^{\prime}\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}) + h.c.\right] \\ + \left[\lambda_{6}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}S^{\dagger}S + h.c\right] + \left[\lambda_{7}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}S^{2} + h.c\right]$$

2HDMS potential- \mathcal{Z}_2' symmetric case

 $V_{\rm 2HDMS} = V_{\rm 2HDM} + V_S$

$$V_{S} = m_{S}^{2}S^{\dagger}S + \left[\frac{m_{S}^{\prime 2}}{2}S^{2} + h.c.\right] + \left[\frac{\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{24}S^{4} + h.c.\right] + \left[\frac{\lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{6}(S^{2}S^{\dagger}S) + h.c.\right] \\ + \frac{\lambda_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{4}(S^{\dagger}S)^{2} + S^{\dagger}S[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1} + \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}] + \left[S^{2}(\lambda_{4}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1} + \lambda_{5}^{\prime}\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}) + h.c.\right] \\ + \left[\lambda_{6}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}S^{\dagger}S + h.c\right] + \left[\lambda_{7}^{\prime}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}S^{2} + h.c\right]$$

- Altough m²₅, λ["]₁, λ["]₂, λ[']₄, λ[']₅, λ[']₆ and λ[']₇, are all in principle complex, only Im(λ[']₆) and Im(λ[']₇), can introduce mixing between scalar and pseudoscalars, due to the presence of Φ[†]₁Φ₂ term.
- \mathcal{Z}_2 -breaking of the 2HDM potential is essential here as well for CP-violation.

\mathcal{Z}'_2 symmetric case - can we get dark matter and CP-violation simultaneously?

- In order to accommodate a dark matter candidate, we need
 S = v_S + h_S + ia_S and one of the component fields acquire zero vev and two separate Z₂ symmetry should be imposed on the two fields.
- Two separate Z_2 on the two component fields of S can be imposed, only when the coefficients are real.
- \bullet Two separate $\mathcal{Z}_2,$ is sufficient to get dark matter, not necessary.
- The necessary conditions are λ'_4 , λ'_5 , λ'_7 , m'^2_5 are real and $\text{Im}[\lambda''_1] = -2 \times \text{Im}[\lambda''_2]$.
- In that case we will be left with two independent phases, of λ'_6 and λ''_1 .
- In addition, to be in the alignment limit, one needs $\text{Re}[\lambda'_1] = -2 \times \text{Re}[\lambda'_4]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimization of the potential} \\ m_{11}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 v^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1' v_5^2 + \text{Re}[\lambda_4'] v_5^2, \\ m_{12}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_6 v^2 + \lambda_6' v_5^2 + \lambda_7' v_5^2) \\ m_5^2 &= -(\text{Re}[m_5'^2] + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1' v^2 + \text{Re}[\lambda_4'] v^2) + \left(\frac{\text{Re}[\lambda_1'']}{12} + \frac{\text{Re}[\lambda_2'']}{3} + \frac{\text{Re}[\lambda_3'']}{4}\right) v_5^2 \\ \text{Im}[m_5'] &= \left(\frac{\text{Im}[\lambda_1'']}{12} + \frac{\text{Im}[\lambda_2'']}{6}\right) v_5^2 + \text{Im}[\lambda_4'] v^2 \end{aligned}$$

Dark Matter mass $m_{\rm DM}^2 = -2{\rm Re}[m_5'^2] - \frac{1}{3}v_S^2({\rm Re}[\lambda_1''] + {\rm Re}[\lambda_2'']) - 2v^2{\rm Re}[\lambda_4'])$

Mass-matrix and CP-mixing in the scalar sector

In the Higgs-basis

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_{11}}{0} & \frac{0}{0} & \frac{0}{0} \\ 0 & m_{22} & 0 & m_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{33} & m_{34} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{24} & m_{34} & m_{44} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m_{55} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m_{11} = \lambda_{1}v^{2} = m_{h}^{2}; m_{h} = 125 \text{GeV}$$

$$m_{22} = -m_{22}^{2} + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}' + \text{Re}[\lambda_{5}']}{2}\right)v_{5}^{2} + \left(\frac{\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} + \text{Re}[\lambda_{5}]}{2}\right)v^{2}$$

$$m_{24} = vv_{5}\text{Re}[\lambda_{6}']$$

$$m_{33} = -m_{22}^{2} + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}' + \text{Re}[\lambda_{5}']}{2}\right)v_{5}^{2} + \left(\frac{\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} - \text{Re}[\lambda_{5}]}{2}\right)v^{2}$$

$$m_{34} = vv_{5}\text{Im}[\lambda_{6}']$$

$$m_{44} = \frac{1}{6}v_{5}^{2}(\text{Re}[\lambda_{1}''] + 4\text{Re}[\lambda_{2}''] + 3\text{Re}[\lambda_{3}'']$$

$$m_{55} = -2\text{Re}[m_{5}'^{2}] - \left(\frac{\text{Re}[\lambda_{1}''] + \text{Re}[\lambda_{2}'']}{3}\right)v_{5}^{2} - 2\text{Re}[\lambda_{4}']v^{2} = m_{\text{DM}}^{2}$$

$$< \Box v < \Box v <$$

୬ ୯ ୯ 9

Yukawa sector

In terms of fermion mass eigenstates,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{yukawa}} = -\sum_{f=u,d,e} \left\{ \bar{f}_L M_f f_R + \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{f}_L \left(\frac{M_f}{v} \kappa_f^j \right) f_R H_j^0 + h.c. \right\}$$
$$- \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left\{ -\zeta_u \bar{u}_R (M_u^{\dagger} V_{\text{CKM}}) d_L + \zeta_d \bar{u}_L (V_{\text{CKM}} M_d) d_R + \zeta_e \bar{\nu}_L M_e e_R \right\} H^+ + h.c.$$

 $\kappa_f^j = \mathcal{R}_{1j} + \left[\mathcal{R}_{2j} + i(-2I_f)\mathcal{R}_{3j}\right] \left|\zeta_f\right| e^{i(-2I_f)\theta_f}$

- In 2HDM, in the alignment limit $(R_{ij} = \delta_{ij})$, the CP-violation in the Yukawa sector can not come from the CP-mixing in the scalar sector. It must come from the phases of the Yukawa matrices.
- In 2HDMS, there can be additional source of CP-violation from the scalar sector mixing.
- In both cases the Yukawa couplings of the H⁰₁ does not contain any CP-violating phases and therefore SM-like.

Electric Dipole Moments

$$H_{ ext{EDM}} = -d_f rac{ec{S}}{ec{S}ec{}} \cdot ec{E}$$

Under the time reversal transformation:

 $\mathcal{T}(\vec{S}) = -\vec{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}(\vec{E}) = +\vec{E}$ the sign of this term H_{EDM} is flipped. CP symmetry is broken.

In EFT language,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EDM}} = -rac{d_f}{2}ar{f}\sigma^{\mu
u}(i\gamma^5)fF_{\mu
u}$$

The most recent bound on electron EDM comes form ACME collaboration via measurement of thorium-monoxide EDM.

$$|d_e| < 1.1 imes 10^{-29}$$
e.cm

Bar-Zee diagrams



$$d_f = d_f(\text{fermion}) + d_f(\text{Higgs}) + d_f(\text{gauge})$$

Each contribution $d_f(X)$ further constists of

$$d_f(X) = d_f^{\gamma}(X) + d_f^Z(X) + d_f^W(X)$$

- The gauge boson loops contribute negligibly in the alignment limit.
- The fermion and scalar boson loops contribute at equivalent strength.
- One loop contribution is suppressed by at least 4-5 orders of magnitude.

Results



S. Kanemura, M.Kubota and K. Yagyu (Arxiv:2004.03943)



Figure: Orange : m_{h_a} =95 GeV, Maroon : m_{h_a} =200 GeV

I chose the benchmark in Yukawa-aligned 2HDM scenario with $[\theta_u, \theta_7] = \left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], m_{h_2} = 280 \text{GeV}, m_{h_3} = m_{h^{\pm}} = 230 \text{ GeV}.$

Summary

- Hard breaking of Z_2 -symmetry of 2HDM in the alignment limit is necessary for CP-violation. This statement holds even in complex-singlet extension (Z'_2 -symmetric) of 2HDM.
- It is possible to accommodate DM and CP-violation in 2HDMS, with restrictions on complex couplings.
- The fine-tuned cancellations required to satisfy EDM bounds in Yukawa-aligned 2HDM can be alleviated in 2HDMS.

Further things to do

- What happens when the Z_3 symmetric (NMSSM-like) complex singlet sector?
- Imposing existing experimental contraints on the parameter space.
- Constucting CP-odd observables to probe CP-violating effects, *eg.* azimuthal angles, asymmetries, impact of beam polarization in lepton colliders.
- Interrelation with dark matter observables.
- Can the amount of allowed CP-violation in this model, be sufficient for baryogenesis?