## Simulation of Higgs, Electroweak and Top Factories detectors

Alvaro Tolosa-Delgado (CERN)

Third ECFA Workshop on e+e- Higgs, Electroweak and Top Factories, Paris (France) Oct. 10<sup>th</sup>, 2024





• Introduction to detector families

- Software for simulation of detectors at future colliders
- Review of subdetectors

• Outlook

• The 2020 update of the European strategy for particle physics has identified an electron–positron Higgs factory as the highest priority collider after LHC



- The 2020 update of the European strategy for particle physics has identified an electron–positron Higgs factory as the highest priority collider after LHC
- Several accelerators are proposed: ILC, CLIC, CEPC, FCC, IMCC...

- The 2020 update of the European strategy for particle physics has identified an electron–positron Higgs factory as the highest priority collider after LHC
- Several accelerators are proposed: ILC, CLIC, CEPC, FCC, IMCC...
- Different detector concepts are being developed, where simulation is the key to identify the ones that maximize physics performance
  - Simulation involves a chain of steps, including Monte Carlo (MC) particle
    generation, physics processes simulation, event reconstruction, and data analysis

- The 2020 update of the European strategy for particle physics has identified an electron–positron Higgs factory as the highest priority collider after LHC
- Several accelerators are proposed: ILC, CLIC, CEPC, FCC, IMCC...
- Different detector concepts are being developed, where simulation is the key to identify the ones that maximize physics performance
  - Simulation involves a chain of steps, including Monte Carlo (MC) particle
    generation, physics processes simulation, event reconstruction, and data analysis
- The ECFA Report will provide more details in its Simulation section

#### Introduction to the detector families







#### Introduction to the detector families





The arrows show the historical evolution of some detector concepts

#### Simulation of future collider detectors alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch

#### Introduction to the detector families





The arrows show the historical evolution of some detector concepts

#### Simulation of future collider detectors

#### alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch



• **Key4hep** is a collaborative, community-maintained software. See J. Smiesko talk [link]



- **Key4hep** is a collaborative, community-maintained software. See J. Smiesko talk [link]
- There are two types of simulation based on the level of detail in the physics modeling:
  - Parametrized simulation (mainly Delphes-based)
    - Detector responses are summarized in "Delphes cards," available for FCC, CLIC, ILC, and MuonCollider detectors [link]
    - > Allows for quick estimates of physics reach and helps set detector requirements
      - Seamless generation of samples for many different detector scenarios
    - Recently extended to include more acurate tracking, cluster counting, and time-offlight, useful for example for machine learning-based flavor tagging
  - Full simulation (Geant4-based)



- **Key4hep** is a collaborative, community-maintained software. See J. Smiesko talk [link]
- There are two types of simulation based on the level of detail in the physics modeling:
  - Parametrized simulation (mainly Delphes-based)
    - Detector responses are summarized in "Delphes cards," available for FCC, CLIC, ILC, and MuonCollider detectors [link]
    - > Allows for quick estimates of physics reach and helps set detector requirements
      - · Seamless generation of samples for many different detector scenarios
    - Recently extended to include more acurate tracking, cluster counting, and time-offlight, useful for example for machine learning-based flavor tagging
  - Full simulation (Geant4-based)

#### This talk will focus on Full Simulation (where most of the work ahead lies)



The main software packages used to **perform physics simulations** are:

> Geant4: a Monte Carlo particle transport code



The main software packages used to **perform physics simulations** are:

- > Geant4: a Monte Carlo particle transport code
- EDM4hep: a collection of data structures used for persistency in memory



The main software packages used to **perform physics simulations** are:

- Geant4: a Monte Carlo particle transport code
- EDM4hep: a collection of data structures used for persistency in memory
- DD4hep: A comprehensive framework that manages the detector description, including geometry and other functionalities necessary for simulation and reconstruction.



The main software packages used to **perform physics simulations** are:

- Geant4: a Monte Carlo particle transport code
- EDM4hep: a collection of data structures used for persistency in memory
- DD4hep: A comprehensive framework that manages the detector description, including geometry and other functionalities necessary for simulation and reconstruction.
  - Detector geometry description is the primary input for performing simulations, as Geant4 provides built-in physics, and DD4hep the mechanisms to record and write simulation data



- Each detector concept is described by a text file called **compact file**
- This text file contains:
  - specific configuration of each subsystem, such as its size, number of layers, materials, etc
  - global configuration, including list of materials, fields description, etc

#### **Compact file**

Subsystem 1: driver name configuration

Subsystem 2: driver name configuration

Subsystem 3: driver name configuration

Materials Visual attributes Magnetic field



- Each detector concept is described by a text file called **compact file**
- This text file contains:
  - specific configuration of each subsystem, such as its size, number of layers, materials, etc
  - global configuration, including list of materials, fields description, etc
- Each subsystem configuration links to a **detector driver**, which builds the detector geometry in memory according to the given configuration





- DD4hep allows for easy swapping of subdetectors
- The detector drivers may be shared by different detector concepts, changing only the configuration



- DD4hep allows for easy swapping of subdetectors
- The detector drivers may be shared by different detector concepts, changing only the configuration
- This motivated that **all detector geometries for projects ILC, CLIC, and FCC are centralized in k4geo [link], a repository part of the Key4hep GitHub project**.
  - > In the following, I will review the subdetectors hosted in k4geo
  - > This is not an exhaustive list, as some subdetectors are hosted elsewhere (e.g. CEPCSW)



- DD4hep allows for easy swapping of subdetectors
- The detector drivers may be shared by different detector concepts, changing only the configuration
- This motivated that **all detector geometries for projects ILC, CLIC, and FCC are centralized in k4geo [link], a repository part of the Key4hep GitHub project**.
  - > In the following, I will review the subdetectors hosted in k4geo
  - > This is not an exhaustive list, as some subdetectors are hosted elsewhere (e.g. CEPCSW)
- The subsystems are grouped as:
  - Machine-Detector Interface (MDI)
  - > Tracking Systems: Silicon-based and Silicon/Gas-based designs.
  - Calorimeter Systems: sampling calorimeters and dual-readout calorimeters.
  - > Muon Systems
  - Particle Identification (PID) system

#### Machine-Detector Interface (MDI)

CERN

- MDI design is driven by the nature of the colliding beam
  - > ILD and FCC have a centralized description of their MDI

#### Machine-Detector Interface (MDI)

- MDI design is driven by the nature of the colliding beam
  - > ILD and FCC have a centralized description of their MDI
- Beampipe structure is non sensitive, but detailed description is needed for acurate simulation results
  - baseline model is made up by simple geometrical shapes
  - > detailed CAD design has been newly developed for FCC



Shape-based model (left) and CAD model (right) of the FCC beampipe



#### Machine-Detector Interface (MDI)

- MDI design is driven by the nature of the colliding beam
  - > ILD and FCC have a centralized description of their MDI
- Beampipe structure is non sensitive, but detailed description is needed for acurate simulation results
  - baseline model is made up by simple geometrical shapes
  - > detailed CAD design has been newly developed for FCC
- Lumimeter: a silicon-tungsten (SiW) calorimeter based on the one used by LEP-OPAL. At the moment the same detector driver is used by detectors at ILC, CLIC, and FCC.



Shape-based model (left) and CAD model (right) of the FCC beampipe



Lumimeter geometry

Simulation of future collider detectors

alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch





#### Full silicon-based tracker

- The tracking system consists of a silicon vertex and tracker, covering both the barrel and endcap
- Two families of detector drivers are shared among different detector concepts:
  - SiD (ILC), CLICdet (CLIC), CLD (FCC)
  - ILD (ILC), CRD baseline (CEPC). TDR baseline (CEPC) is an evolution from the previous one.



#### Full silicon-based tracker

- The tracking system consists of a silicon vertex and tracker, covering both the barrel and endcap
- Two families of detector drivers are shared among different detector concepts:
  - SiD (ILC), CLICdet (CLIC), CLD (FCC)
  - > ILD (ILC), CRD baseline (CEPC). TDR baseline (CEPC) is an evolution from the previous one.
- The detector driver builds the geometry as an assembly of radial or planar layers



#### Full silicon-based tracker

- The tracking system consists of a silicon vertex and tracker, covering both the barrel and endcap
- Two families of detector drivers are shared among different detector concepts:
  - SiD (ILC), CLICdet (CLIC), CLD (FCC)
  - > ILD (ILC), CRD baseline (CEPC). TDR baseline (CEPC) is an evolution from the previous one.
- The detector driver builds the geometry as an assembly of radial or planar layers
- Resizing tracking layers is done by just modifying a few lines in the compact file



- The tracking system consists of a silicon vertex and tracker, covering both the barrel and endcap
- Two families of detector drivers are shared among different detector concepts:
  - SiD (ILC), CLICdet (CLIC), CLD (FCC)
  - > ILD (ILC), CRD baseline (CEPC). TDR baseline (CEPC) is an evolution from the previous one.
- The detector driver builds the geometry as an assembly of radial or planar layers
- Resizing tracking layers is done by just modifying a few lines in the compact file
- The maturity of the geometry has paved the way for further developments, such as the ongoing integration of ACTS with the CLD tracking system



#### Silicon + gaseous (Time Proj. Chamber ) tracking

- The tracking system is made up by a silicon vertex and inner tracker, and a TPC as main tracker subsystem
- This combination was developed by ILD (TDR 2013) and adopted by CEPC baseline detector (CDR 2018),
- The geometry of the silicon vertex is more detailed than the one used by full silicon tracking subsystem
- The design of the TPC is an active project supported by the LC-TPC collaboration, and integrated within DRD1 of CERN.
- A new version of the geometry has been developed for FCC. See V. Schwan talk [link]. This version is now under study to evaluate the beam-induced backgrounds. See D. Jeans talk [link].

ILD cross section view of the vertex and TPC





#### Silicon + gaseous (Drift Chamber) tracking



- This combination of silicon vertex and drift chamber is used by IDEA and ALLEGRO (FCC) detectors
- A newly developed vertex detector driver
  - Supports both single-sided flat and bent silicon layers
  - > **Easy reuse** across different detector configurations.
  - > Also used by the Silicon Wrapper subsystem



## Silicon + gaseous (Drift Chamber) tracking



- This combination of silicon vertex and drift chamber is used by IDEA and ALLEGRO (FCC) detectors
- A newly developed vertex detector driver
  - Supports both single-sided flat and bent silicon layers
  - Easy reuse across different detector configurations.
  - > Also used by the Silicon Wrapper subsystem
- The stereo drift chamber (DC)
  - > Geometry is fully implemented
  - Geant4 physics has to be tuned and validated against beam test data for acurate simulations. See talk by W.
     Elmetenawee [link].
  - Preliminary background studies and development of new tracking algorithms are already using this implementation. See D. Garcia talk [link]







Cross-section of the wires (software)

MEG II, a similar design of a DC

Simulation of future collider detectors

alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch

## General sampling calorimeter

- Consist in a layered structure covering the barrel and endcap regions.
- The layer structure is given in the compact file
  - easily reused across different subsystems with minimal modifications
- The same detector driver is used to build the ECAL and HCAL of different detector concepts, including SiD (ILC), CLICdet (CLIC), and CLD (FCC)





CLD outer systems:

- 1. ECAL
- 2. HCAL
- 3. Iron Yoke with RPC for muon ID
- 4. solenoid 2T

## General sampling calorimeter

- Consist in a layered structure covering the barrel and endcap regions.
- The layer structure is given in the compact file
  - easily reused across different subsystems with minimal modifications
- The same detector driver is used to build the ECAL and HCAL of different detector concepts, including SiD (ILC), CLICdet (CLIC), and CLD (FCC)
- ILD has implemented calorimeters so that a single Geant4 run allows to simulate up to five configurations of different detector technologies. Some of these combinations are similar to those being explored in SiD.



CLD outer systems:1. ECAL2. HCAL3. Iron Yoke with RPC for muon ID4. solenoid 2T



#### alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch

## General sampling calorimeter

- Consist in a layered structure covering the barrel and endcap regions.
- The layer structure is given in the compact file
  - easily reused across different subsystems with minimal modifications
- The same detector driver is used to build the ECAL and HCAL of different detector concepts, including SiD (ILC), CLICdet (CLIC), and CLD (FCC)
- ILD has implemented calorimeters so that a single Geant4 run allows to simulate up to five configurations of different detector technologies. Some of these combinations are similar to those being explored in SiD.
- Baseline detector calorimeters for CEPC are built by specialized • detector drivers that follow the aforementioned philosophy

# 2 3 CLD outer systems:

- 1. ECAL
- 2. HCAL
- 3. Iron Yoke with RPC for muon ID
- 4. solenoid 2T



#### Simulation of future collider detectors

#### alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch



#### Noble liquid ECAL + TileCal

- These calorimeters used by ALLEGRO (FCC) detector concept
- Noble liquid ECAL full detector geometry is ready.
  - Crosstalk effects implemented for the barrel region, ongoing work to extend them to the endcap. See F. Sopkova [link] and T. Li [link] talks.
  - First studies using the barrel region in CLD (FCC). See S.
    Sasikumar talk [link]



Noble liquid ECAL endcap



#### Noble liquid ECAL + TileCal

- These calorimeters used by ALLEGRO (FCC) detector concept
- Noble liquid ECAL full detector geometry is ready.
  - Crosstalk effects implemented for the barrel region, ongoing work to extend them to the endcap. See F. Sopkova [link] and T. Li [link] talks.
  - First studies using the barrel region in CLD (FCC). See S.
    Sasikumar talk [link]
- TileCal complete detector geometry is also ready.
  - The segmentation has been updated to be projective in theta, with no significant changes in physics performance.
  - Current developments on optimizing the reconstruction steps.
  - See M. Mlynarikova talk [link]

Simulation of future collider detectors



5:

Noble liquid ECAL endcap



TileCal endcap



#### Dual-readout calorimeter

- This subdetector is so far specific to IDEA detector concept
- Two main approaches based on the absorber matrix:
  - In the monolithic option, the matrix fills the spaces between the fibers.
  - In the capillary option, each fiber is placed within a tube, leaving air gaps between the tubes.



Cross-section of the DRC, capilary option [link]



#### Dual-readout calorimeter

- This subdetector is so far specific to IDEA detector concept
- Two main approaches based on the absorber matrix:
  - In the monolithic option, the matrix fills the spaces between the fibers.
  - In the capillary option, each fiber is placed within a tube, leaving air gaps between the tubes.
- This is a complex detector concept, **requiring dedicated developments** such as:
  - Custom functionality to record optical photon information
  - > A fast simulation for photon transport within the fibers.
- Detector geometry and Geant4 physics models have been thoroughly validated, see talk by R. Turra [link].

Simulation of future collider detectors

Cross-section of the DRC,

capilary option [link]

15





#### Muon systems



• Detector geometry of the instrumented iron yoke with **Resistive Plate Chambers** (RPC) is built by the same **generic calorimeter detector driver** described before.

#### Muon systems



- More detailed uRWELL-based systems added recently to k4geo
  - Polygonal geometries easy to tune (number of sides, sizes of the chamber, overlap area for hermeticity)
  - > Driver used to build the IDEA muon system and pre-shower detector so far
  - More details in talk by R. Farinelli [link]



Detailed muon systems, based on uRWELL chambers

A custom overlap area is achieved by tilting the chambers

Simulation of future collider detectors alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch





- The **ARC** consist on an large array of **RICH cells** placed as in the picture below (only mirrors and sensors are visible for simplicity). The detector geometry, material description (including optical properties) and sensor readout is fully implemented in DD4hep
- **New CLD option** with a smaller tracker compared to baseline incorporates the ARC.
  - > Tracking performance of this option was presented at LCWS 2024 by G. Sadowski [link].
- First ARC performance studies were presented by S. Pezzulo [link]



Simulation of future collider detectors alvaro.to

alvaro.tolosa.delgado@cern.ch



- Many different (sub)detectors are proposed for future colliders (and more to come)
- Delphes simulation in place to easily evaluate performance under different assumptions and set requirements
- Detailed detector geometries are available as part of Key4hep for ILC, CLIC and FCC detectors
- DD4hep-based detector descriptions ensure interoperability thanks to its plug-and-play mechanism, making it easy to create new detector configurations
- Input from detector experts performing beam tests is needed for fine tuning and validation of the physics simulation`