



Fast Prompt Gamma detection system based on Cherenkov radiator

A. André¹, M. Pinson¹, C. Hoarau¹, Y. Boursier², A. Cherni², M. Dupont², M.-L. Gallin Martel¹, A. Garnier², J. Hérault³, J.-P. Hofverberg³, P. Kavargin¹, D. Maneval³, C. Morel², J.-F. Muraz¹ and S. Marcatili¹


1. LPSC and Grenoble-Alpes University, Grenoble, France
2. CPPM and Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France
3. Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, France



Context – Proton therapy

Dose (1Gy = 1J/kg)

- High ballistic precision of the dose deposition (**Bragg peak**)
- Less dose deposition in surrounding healthy tissue



Context – Prompt Gamma for proton range monitoring


Real time control by secondary radiations detection

Prompt Gamma (PG)

$0 < E < 10$ MeV
Emission within < 1 ps
Production rate $\sim 1\%$ /cm /p

Constraints:


Low statistics
Background (neutron)




Correlation between the dose deposition and the PG emission profiles

Reconstruction of the proton range through time-of-flight (TOF) measurement.

Proton plus Prompt Gamma TOF measurement



$$TOF = T_{\text{hadron}}(r_v, v) + \frac{1}{c} \|r_d - r_v\|$$



TIARA = TOF Imaging ARrAy

Final detection system
~ 30 TIARA modules


PGTI **sensitivity** depends on events **statistic** and the system **Coincidence Time Resolution (CTR)**

For a millimetric PGTI sensitivity
a detection system of
235 ps FWHM CTR is required


Detectors development – Plastic scintillator beam monitor

3rd version of the prototype
(18 month R&D)

Article in preparation



- Plastic scintillator (EJ-204) 1x25x25 mm³
- Read-out by 16 Silicon Photomultipliers (Hamamatsu SiPM 3x3 mm²)




4 SiPM strips surrounding the scintillator



Each SiPM strip is amplified and acquired separately

Detectors development – Prompt Gamma module


Final version of the prototype (18 month R&D)



Cherenkov radiator
2 x 1.5 x 1.5cm³ lead
fluoride cristal (PbF₂)



Read-out by 4 Silicon
Photomultipliers (SiPM)




- Fast signal
- High density (detection efficiency)
- Very low sensitivity to background
- No energy measurement

Detectors development

Detectors and electronics are developed at LPSC (SDI, SE)


Optical coupling




Reflective paint covering



Box design




Electronics design




Same reflective paint, optical glue and electronics used for TIARA modules and plastic monitor

Detectors characterization – Plastic scintillator beam monitor



Experimental set-up



Time resolution < 235 ps FWHM in the relevant energy range



TOF between the 2 monitors at 63 MeV





100 % detection efficiency



Read-out: Wavecatcher IJCLAB

Detectors characterization – Plastic scintillator beam monitor


Experimental set-up



Radiation sensitive film (Gafchromic)


Spatial resolution = 1.8 mm σ / incident proton (at 63 MeV)

Beam images for 2 positions (5mm,0) and (0,5mm)




Detectors characterization – Prompt Gamma module

Time resolution characterization set-up



The thin copper target is used as a point-like PG source

Coincidence Time Resolution = 251ps FWHM





Last version of the TIARA module (March 2024)

Gamma detector time resolution = 220 ps FWHM


Detectors optimization

TIARA module response

2021 Energy response (experiment)




Module detection efficiency based on Monte Carlo simulations (MC)





MC simulation on-going but :
Higher and less sensitive to p.e.
threshold detection efficiency
is expected

SiPM Time Resolution

Data from 2020 (obsolete front-end), shown as an example



SiPM Detection Efficiency




HPK 3050 = $3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3$ SiPM
with $50 \mu\text{m}$ microcells

SiPM characterization performed with LASER

The **maximization of the photodetector surface** is chosen (as the number of Cherenkov photons generated is low) to optimize the detection efficiency without degrading the time resolution

TIARA module characterization



Summary of the different versions of the TIARA module

Version	SiPM number	Crystal (mm ³)	Front-end	PG module DTR (ps) FWHM	When
1	1	10 ³	Commercial	275	June 2021
2	1	20*10*10	LPSC, single	202	April 2022
3	4	15 ³	LPSC, hybrid	211	December 2022
4	4	15*15*20	LPSC, parallel	197	June 2023
5 (final version)	4	15*15*20	LPSC, hybrid compact	220	November 2023


Final version of the TIARA module is a compromise to optimize: the **time resolution**, **detection efficiency** and **compactness**

8 TIARA modules developed for the last beam test (**March 2024**)

PGTI measurement

8-channels detection system to measure an anatomical change in a clinical phantom



Head phantom



Clinical proton beam (IBA ProteusOne)




Set-up realized



PGTI measurement




Set-up



High Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR)

TIARA modules insensitive to neutrons background but sensitive to scattered protons


Phantom irradiation and background TOF



PGTI measurement




Set-up



Anatomical change visible on PGTI TOF measurement



TOF comparison between the two set-up after background subtraction




Take-home message



- Proton therapy monitoring based on Prompt Gamma TOF measurement
- Fast detection system with 251 ps FWHM CTR and a very high SNR was developed at LPSC
- PGTI TOF measurement sensitive to anatomical changes



Acknowledgements



A. André, M. Pinson, C. Hoarau, Y. Boursier, A. Cherni, M. Dupont, M.-L. Gallin Martel, A. Garnier, J. Hérault, J.-P. Hofverberg, P. Kavargin, D. Maneval, C. Morel, J.-F. Muraz and S. Marcatili



This work was partially supported by the ANR (project ANR-15-IDEX-02), INSERM Cancer (TIARA project) and by the European Union (ERC project PGTI, grant number 101040381). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

