

Mass Mapping with Conditional GANs

<u>Jessica Whitney</u>, Tok Jason D. McEwen

SciAl

COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

Jessica Whitney, Tobías Liaudat, Matt Price, Matthijs Mars,

Overview

3. How cGANs work. data).





- 1. What is mass mapping and how do we do it? 2. Our proposed method: using conditional GANs.
- 4. Our results (on simulations, and real COSMOS)



Weak Lensing



Source: NASA, ESA, and Goddard Space Flight Center/K. Jackson.





COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

Light from distant galaxies is perturbed by the gravitational fields of intervening matter - this causes them to look distorted to the observer.



Mass Mapping



Abell 370 galaxy cluster. Source: NASA, ESA, and J. Lotz and HFF Team (STScl).





COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

FORWARD EQUATION

$\gamma = A\kappa$

Convergence, **k**: apparent magnification

Shear, y: anisotropic stretching



Mass Mapping



*Real component only of shear shown

SciAl

COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

FORWARD EQUATION

$\gamma = A\kappa + n$

Convergence, k: apparent magnification

Shear, y: anisotropic stretching



What do we propose?

Mass mapping is an open problem. Traditional techniques typically require handcrafted priors, which can limit reconstruction quality. There's a need for novel techniques that are capable of dealing with noise, that use data-driven priors for better reconstruction quality.





What do we propose?

Mass mapping is an open problem. Traditional techniques typically require handcrafted priors, which can limit reconstruction quality. There's a need for novel techniques that are capable of dealing with noise, that use data-driven priors for better reconstruction quality.

Why use GANs*?

<u>SciAl</u>

Machine learning models have led to methods with more efficient, and higherquality reconstructions (e.g. DeepMass** and DeepLensingPosterior***). GANs are **fast**, use **data-driven priors**, and provide **high-fidelity** samples with uncertainty quantification.





Conditional GANs



<u>SciAl</u>

** Bendel et al. (2024)

COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

CONDITIONAL GANS

GANs are models containing 2 networks: a generator and a discriminator which train simultaneously.

Conditional GANs have an additional 'conditioning' label (here the shear)

Challenge:

- Difficult to train
- Mode collapse

Proposed solution:

- Wasserstein GAN* framework
- rcGAN regulariser**





(Source: Conor O'Sullivan, Towards Data Science, March 2023.)

Generator

SciAl

Model based on Bendel et al. (2024) architecture.

COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

GOAL

Learn (& match) the posterior distribution of the data as closely as possible.

ARCHITECTURE

UNET: Encoder & Decoder, 4 layers each. 5 residual blocks.





(Source: UK Mathworks.com)

Discriminator



Model based on Bendel et al. (2024) architecture.

COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

GOAL

A 'critic' to quantify how similar the real and generated data distributions are. This feedback is given to the generator during training.

ARCHITECTURE

Standard CNN. 6 layers + 1 fully connected. Outputs estimated Wasserstein score.



Training Data KTNG* mock weak lensing suite + COSMOS shape catalog** = 10,000 COSMOS-style convergence maps.

• Apply forward model (right) to get mock shear maps



SciAl

*Osato et al. (2021) http://columbialensing.org/#tng; ** Schrabback et al. (2010)

 $\gamma = A\kappa + n$



Reconstructions

- The GAN generates **one** posterior sample every time it is called
- Call the GAN many times to generate many posterior samples
- Average over these posterior samples for a reconstruction
- Standard deviation of these samples is the uncertainty

SciAl



COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

Reconstruction



Result - sims

Trained on: 4 A100 GPUs Time takes: ~ 6 hours

Time to generate sample: <1 second



Absolute Error





Standard Deviation







COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

- 0.20 - 0.18 - 0.15 - 0.12 - 0.10 - 0.08 - 0.05 - 0.03

12

Result - COSMOS



SciAl

DeepPosterior, Remy et al. (2023).

Kaiser-Squires



Result - COSMOS



SciAl

X-ray cluster data from Finuguenov et al. (2007) XMM-Newton.

COSMO21 | 22/5/24 | JESSICA WHITNEY

Relative Uncertainty:

$$\frac{P_1 - P_2}{\sqrt{S_1^2 + S_2^2}}$$



Conclusions



- Developing fast mass mapping techniques with uncertainty quantification is important in preparation for data from Euclid & LSST
- We presented work using conditional generative adversarial networks (cGANs)
- Our method can quickly produce high-quality posterior samples which can be used to make reconstructions and uncertainties
- We validated our results on mock cosmos-style data
- We applied our trained model to the real COSMOS data





Thank You For Listening





Presentation by : **JESSICA WHITNEY**

Regulariser

arg min_{θ} { $\beta_{adv} \mathcal{L}_{adv}(\theta, \phi) + \mathcal{L}_{1,SD,N_{train}}(\theta, \beta_{SD})$ }

$\mathcal{L}_{adv}(\theta,\phi) \triangleq E_{x,z,y}\{D_{\phi}(x,y) - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(z,y),y)\},\$

 $\mathcal{L}_{1,\text{SD},N_{\text{train}}}(\theta,\beta_{\text{SD}}) \triangleq \mathcal{L}_{1,N_{\text{train}}}(\theta) - \beta_{\text{SD}}\mathcal{L}_{\text{SD},N_{\text{train}}}(\theta).$



BACKUP SLIDES



Regulariser

$\mathcal{L}_{1,\mathrm{SD},N_{\mathrm{train}}}(\theta,\beta_{\mathrm{SD}}) \triangleq \mathcal{L}_{1,N_{\mathrm{train}}}(\theta) - \beta_{\mathrm{SD}}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SD},N_{\mathrm{train}}}(\theta).$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,N_{\text{train}}}(\theta) \triangleq E_{x,z_1,\dots,z_N,y}\{||x$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SD},N_{\mathrm{train}}}(\theta) \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2N_{\mathrm{train}}(N_{\mathrm{train}}-1)}} \times \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{train}}} E_{z_1,\dots,z_{i-1}}$$



BACKUP SLIDES

$-\hat{x}_{(N_{\text{train}})}||_{1}$

 $x_{x_N,y}\{||\hat{x}_{(i)} - \hat{x}_{(N_{\text{train}})}||_1\}$



Wasserstein GANs

In standard GANs the discriminator usually tries to differentiate real and fake **samples** in a 'real or fake' manner. WGANs try to make this feedback more informative by instead calculating the distance between the

WGANs try to make this feedback more informative by instead generator's distribution and the true data distribution.

Wasserstein-1 distance (also known as Earth Mover's distance)

$$W_1(p_{x|y}(\cdot, y), p_{\hat{x}|y}(\cdot, y)) = \sup_{D \in L_1} E_{x|y} \{ D(x, y) \} -$$



BACKUP SLIDES

$-E_{\hat{x}|y}\{D(\hat{x},y)\}$



Sims; Variability



SciAl

BACKUP SLIDES





Sims; Changing N





BACKUP SLIDES



B5