Michel electrons Tagging and Reconstruction

Matteo Galli



05 Jun 2024

Michel electrons

A Michel electron is an electron produced when a muon decays at rest

Michel-electron in DUNE for:

- 1. Nu/nubar separation in nu-mu CC through μ charge tagging
 - focus on Michel-e tagging efficiency
- 2. Low-energy calibration and directionality \Rightarrow **Jérémy**
 - \circ focus on Michel-e reconstruction



To validate the simulation and to develop selection and reconstruction algorithms:

• Use **ProtoDUNE data** in comparison with MC

Previous work on ProtoDUNE-SP

Previous studies on Michel-e by Aleena for ProtoDUNE-SP paper arXiv:2211:01166 + TechNote

 \Rightarrow efficiency for tagging Michel electrons is very low!



Muon track selection in ProtoDUNE:

- 1. T0-tagged tracks.
- 2. Tracks starting from point close to or beyond one or more of the detector boundaries.
- 3. Muon tracks end inside Fiducial Volume. (-309 < x < +309, 80 < y < 557, 80 < z < 610)
- 4. Remove tracks that cross the cathode.

Michel-e hits selection:

- 1. Tracks that end around **APA bounds**
- 2. Unbroken tracks
- 3. Cosmic muon track length > 75 cm
- 4. Tracks with hit peak time > 200 ticks (1 tick = 500 ns)
- 5. Tracks with hit peak time < 5800 ticks
- 6. Tracks having **5 < nearby hits < 40** around the end point
- 7. Tracks having closest reconstructed **shower distance < 10 cm**
- 8. Angle between candidate muon and Michel electron < 130°
- 9. Angle between the detector collection plane and the straight line
 - to Michel candidate > 10° & < 170°

Charge tagging in atmospheric neutrinos



Charge Tagging:

- It's not possible to do charge discrimination with LArTPC (no magnetic field)
- One way to discriminate **Muon charge** is to distinguish **capture events** from **decay events** (with Michel-e)
 - μ^+ always decays in e⁺ Ο
 - μ^{-} can decay to e^{-} (~ 28%) or be captured on Argon nuclei (~72%) Ο
- We can identify μ decays by tagging of Michel-e

In the paper they assume 100% efficiency for tagging Michel electrons (as in <u>ICARUS</u> and <u>LArIAT</u>) We need to figure out how to maximize efficiency to approach at least 70% (minimum requirement)

Sanity checks on atmospheric MC samples

True energy distribution of neutrino:

- Two different distribution: v_{μ} cc events (μ) and $\overline{v_{\mu}}$ cc (μ) events
- First: consider only fully contained muons from neutrino interaction
- Second: events where the muons decays to Michel electrons

⇒ Event fractions and energy spectra are consistent with expectations



Sanity checks on atmospheric MC samples

Other checks from the simulation:

- True Energy distribution of Michel-e
- Distribution of End Position of muon tracks





Event displays examples



Event displays examples

Sometimes **Pandora** cannot reconstruct the electron as a track or shower:



also get the **unassociated hits** close to the muon track endpoint \Rightarrow algorithm under development

Preliminary selection



Preliminary studies for Michel-e selection

Distance between:

- → Reco track End Point of muon
- → Reco track Start Point of other tracks



Length of all tracks that are not the longest one (muon)

In principle, the green distribution represents the true electrons reconstructed tracks

⇒ Why do these tracks have a very distant start point and are very long?

[problem in truth association?]

- 1. Optimise efficiency of Michel-e tagging on atmospheric neutrino MC
 - a. Optimise selection for well reconstructed muon tracks
 - b. Use reconstructed PFParticles when available
 - c. Use unassociated Hits
- 2. Validate MC studies by comparing efficiency on data and MC using ProtoDUNE-VD
- 3. Study the possibility to improve Michel-e tagging algorithm using both charge and light.

Michel Electrons Analysis: low energy calibration

DUNE France Analysis Workshop June 5th 2024

Jérémy QUÉLIN LECHEVRANTON



Motivations

Low-energy (tens of MeV) response

- Calibration of low-energy electrons
- Supernova neutrinos
- Electric field topology
 - Space charge effect (SCE)
 - Side effects

DUNE 1

DUNE France Analysis Workshop





Modus Operandi

Michel e⁻ from cosmics in PD-HD/VD

Energy spectrum reconstruction

• High-purity sample

CH

- Influence of position
- Efficacity dependence with energy





DUNE France Analysis Workshop

Event Selection

Candidate muon selection

- Dying inside the detector
- Passing through the anode (for t0-tagging)







Event Selection

Michel electron selection

- Hit number (enough for energy reconstruction)
- Relation to muon
 - Sharp angle between two tracks
 - Bragg peak (muon's dE/dx inflection)
- Convolutional Neural Network (trained on PDSP)





Selection procedure

2100 μ in PDVD: among 1,210,716

















DUNE France Analysis Workshop

June 5th 2024

















June 5th 2024



~40k candidates

~200 candidates





June 5th 2024





6



DUNE France Analysis Workshop

Michel electron energy spectrum (sum of deposits)



Towards Reconstruction

Limitations

DUNE 1

- No access to pdg / mother
 - muons = long tracks
 - michel = after dying muon

Reconstruction objects

- Calorimetry?
- Cluster?
 - what dx for dE/dx?
 - width
 - distance
- Hits?
 - what dx for dE/dx?

DUNE France Analysis Workshop



Backup

Little review of this paper: arXiv:1905.03589

- Study of impact on mass ordering sensitivity in the case where it is possible to distinguish v/v for atmospheric neutrinos.
- Resonance at (3-8) GeV for vertical up-going v_{μ}/v_{μ} (-1 < cos θ_z < -0.8):
 - for **neutrino** in Normal Ordering
 - for antineutrino in Inverted Ordering
- Results:
 - using neutrino/antineutrino discrimination, DUNE could significantly improve the sensitivity to mass ordering with atmospheric neutrinos.



 $P_{v_u \rightarrow v_u}$ [NO]

6 8

E[GeV]

 $P_{V_{\mu} \rightarrow V_{\mu}}$ [IO]

-0.6

 $P_{\tilde{V}_{\mu} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\mu}}$ [NO]

E[GeV] P_{ṽu}→ṽ_u [IO]

1.0

0.8

0.6

-0.3

0.6 0.6

1.0

0.8

-0.2

-0.θsog Usually difficult, but with LArTPC it is possible (even without a magnetic field) via:

- 1. Muon charge tagging via capture vs decay (with Michel-e)
 - Efficiency of μ^- capture on Argon nuclei is $\epsilon^{cap} \approx 72\%$
 - Need to recognize Michel-e (or positrons) from $\mu^{-}(\mu^{+})$ decays
 - Recognizing Michel-e means finding decay events with high efficiency
 - We can distinguish **decay events** from **capture events** (composed only of μ^{-})
- 2. Low energy proton tagging
 - For low-multiplicity events, protons occur preferentially in neutrino interactions
- 3. Inelasticity distribution
 - In antineutrino CC interactions, a high-energy lepton is preferred

The paper (arXiv:1905.03589) focuses on 1. and assumes 100% efficiency for tagging Michel electrons (as in ICARUS and LARIAT)