ProtoDUNE-HD Data Analysis Strategy

Jake Calcutt DUNE France Workshop June 5, 2024

Introduction

Example from ProtoDUNE-SP

ProtoDUNE-HD Strategy

- Characterization
- Calibration Consortium
- Analysis NTuples
- Analysis Opportunities

Example from PDSP – Useful references

PDSP "Performance Paper"

- Details the TPC charge & light readout characterization & calibration
- Technical details (i.e. beam)
 - Some are differences(i.e. readout issues, triggering)

Pandora Reconstruction in PDSP

Michel Reconstruction

CNN Track/Shower Separation

Hadron Analyses (WIP)

500

200

'Data Preparation'

- Pedestal, noise characterization Α.
- Mitigation of readout issues Β.
- C 2D Deconvolution





Space Charge Effect Measurement

- A. Cathode-crosser endpoints
- B. Simulated SCE Distortions
- C. Measured SCE Distortions
 - a. Use to create data-driven distortion, correction maps





Electron Lifetime using CRT tagged tracks

A. 2 lifetime measurements, 10 months apart



Charge Calibration

- A. Detector equalization YZ
- B. Detector equalization X
- C. Calibration results



(b) X correction factors vs drift dimension x

(a) dO/dx vs drift dimension x



Hadron Analyses

- 1. Inclusive Kaon Interactions
- 2. Exclusive Pion Interactions
- 3. Secondary Kaon Characterization
- 4. Secondary Neutron Interactions





ProtoDUNE-HD Strategy (Unofficial!!)

Characterization

Readout issues differ

- No more 'sticky codes'
- New: "1 Hz" activity, 2-plane APA 1

Now being handled within <u>WireCell</u> (BNL – Reach out to Wenqiang)

New characterization needs might be discovered as we start to look at data!

• Stay tuned for opportunities!

Calibrations

Will now be overseen by Calibration Consortium

Expect calibration strategy to be similar

- Slightly different set of tools
 - Starting with original-style calibration trees/ntuples
 - Moving on to newer trees/ntuples

Reach out to Rhiannon Jones & Mike Mooney (+ DRA) to contribute

Analysis Trees

Early on in PDSP: ad-hoc, independent analyses + tools

- Eventually moved to centralized, common analysis files (PDSPAnalyzer)
 - Focuses on track-like, beam events for i.e. hadron analyses
 - Can extend to electron showers*
- Can more-or-less use these without much changes for PDHD

My opinion: Every analyzer <u>does not & should not</u> need to make their own analysis trees!

- Common tools help reduce replication of work
- Collaboration is key "Two heads are better than one"
- Can be integrated into a production setting to get a lot of data, quickly, and make sure its catalogued (necessary for physics releases!!)

Analysis Opportunities

- 1. Reconstruction improvements
 - a. Can we solve the APA1 issue?
 - i. Reach out to Leigh Whitehead
- 2. Beam-electron characterization
 - a. Contingent upon 1.a.?
- 3. Machine Learning Studies
 - a. I* plan to make samples of ML inputs for i.e. NuML, MLReco
 - i. Goal: Analyzers don't have to mess around with LArSoft to start training/developing models
 - 1. Integration into LArSoft comes later
- 4. Hadron Analyses
 - a. Possibly contingent on 1.a.
 - b. Understand feasibility of various configurations
 - i. Higher-momentum beam + use APA2?

* Looking for assistance!

Thank you!