# **Cosmic Ray Measurements with IceCube and their Connection to UHECRs**

**Dennis Soldin for the IceCube Collaboration** University of Utah, USA



THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH



**Cosmic Rays in the Multi-Messenger Era 2024** 

#### **Outline**

1

- ‣ The IceCube Neutrino Observatory
- ‣ (Selected) Cosmic Ray Measurements
	- ‣ Spectrum
	- ‣ Composition
	- ‣ Anisotropy
- ‣ Future Measurements at the South Pole



- -
	-







1450 m



#### **The IceCube Neutrino Observatory**

- ▶ 1 km<sup>3</sup> in-ice Cherenkov detector:
	- $\triangleright$  86 strings with grid spacing of  $\sim$ 125 m
	- ‣ 5100+ Digital Optical Modules (DOMs)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  High-energy muons above  $\sim$ 500 GeV ("TeV muons")







#### **The IceCube Neutrino Observatory**

- ▶ 1 km<sup>3</sup> in-ice Cherenkov detector:
	- $\triangleright$  86 strings with grid spacing of  $\sim$ 125 m
	- ‣ 5100+ Digital Optical Modules (DOMs)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  High-energy muons above  $\sim$  500 GeV ("TeV muons")
- ▶ 1 km<sup>2</sup> surface detector, IceTop:
	- $\rightarrow$  81 stations with grid spacing of  $\sim$ 125 m
	- ‣ Each station: 2 tanks (each tank: 2 DOMs)
	- ‣ Electromagnetic air shower component
	- ‣ GeV muon content in air showers
	- ‣ Cosmic rays energies between  $250$  TeV and  $\sim$ 1 EeV









- See also talks by
	- ‣ Erin O'Sullivan
	- ‣ Francis Halzen
	- ‣ Naoko Kurahashi
	- ‣ Marcos Santander
	- ‣ Carlos Argüelles
	- ‣ …others…
	- $\rightarrow$  + posters
- This talk:
	- ‣ Cosmic Rays!



4

## **Cosmic Ray Measurements**

- ‣ Hybrid cubic-kilometer particle detector at South Pole
- Surface detector:
	- ‣ Electromagnetic air shower component
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Low-energy (~GeV) muon content
- In-ice detector:
	- ‣ High-energy (~TeV) muon content
- ‣ Coincident cosmic ray measurements!
- Ideal facility to study cosmic rays!















- ‣ Cosmic ray energy determined from surface signals (only)
- ‣ Lateral Distribution Function (LDF)

$$
S(r) = S_{125} \cdot \left(\frac{r}{125 \text{ m}}\right)^{-\beta - \kappa \cdot \log_{10}(1/125 \text{ m})}
$$

**•** Shower size  $S_{125}$  (air shower energy), slope parameter  $\beta$  [[IceCube Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 100, 082002 \(2019\)\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.04317)



- ‣ Reconstruction of cosmic ray energy based on LDF fit between  $\sim$ 1 PeV and  $\sim$ 1 EeV (3 years of data)
- ‣ Machine learning techniques to extend spectrum down to 250 TeV (1 year of data)









‣ Comparison with other measurements (GSF 2017)





modified from HD et al. PoS (ICRC 2017) 533  $10<sup>4</sup>$  $10^{5}$ energy-scale offsets0.87 Auger All particle flux **LHC** 0.95 TA pp @ 13 TeV 0.88 KG **O** HAWC IceCube  $\Box$  IceCube 1.05 **TUNKA** p-Pb @ 8.2 TeV 0.90 ARGO-YBJ ☆ KASCADE-Grande 0.98 **CREAM-II O** Pierre Auger 1.01 **CREAM-I** 1.00  $AMS-02$ 1.00 **PAMELA HEAO** 0.98  $0.6$   $0.7$   $0.8$   $0.9$  $1.0 \quad 1.1$ Common  $\tilde{E}/E$  $10^6$   $10^7$   $10^8$   $10^9$   $10^{10}$   $10^{11}$ 





# **Cosmic Ray Composition**









- 
- 
- of the deposited light yield

11

## **Cosmic Ray Mass Composition**

‣ Machine learning analysis based on neutral network and template fits (3 years of data)



[[IceCube Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 100, 082002 \(2019\)\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.04317) 12



## **Cosmic Ray Mass Composition**

‣ In-ice light yield and hadronic interaction models are dominating systematics

[[IceCube Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 100, 082002 \(2019\)\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.04317)

#### ‣ ⟨ln *A*⟩ with syst. errors (except hadr. model): ‣ Hadr. model dependence of ⟨ln *A*⟩:





## **Cosmic Ray Mass Composition**





#### ‣ Comparison with other measurements (GSF 2024)

modified from [\[H. Dembinski et al., PoS\(ICRC2017\)533\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.11432), as shown at UHECR2024



## **Cosmic Ray Mass Composition**







- ‣ Arrival direction of cosmic rays measured with muons in the in-ice detector
- ‣ 12 years of data (792 billion events!), covers more than full solar cycle
- ‣ Simple energy estimator based on number of in-ice signals (>10 TeV)
- Paper submitted to ApJ (last week)!



![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 13 TeV

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

paper submitted to ApJ,  $[\frac{\text{arXiv:}2412.05046}{9}]$ 

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 24 TeV

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 42 TeV

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 67 TeV

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 130 TeV

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

paper submitted to ApJ,  $[\arXiv:2412.05046]$ 

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 240 TeV

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

paper submitted to ApJ,  $[\arXiv:2412.05046]$ 

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 470 TeV

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

paper submitted to ApJ,  $[\arXiv:2412.05046]$ 

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 1.5 PeV

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### ‣ Median energy: 5.3 PeV

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Dipol phase and amplitude:
	- ‣ Comparison with other experiments
	- ‣ Change in the angular structure of anisotropy at around 100-130 TeV
	- ‣ Consistent picture between experiments!
- ‣ For studies of small-scale features and power spectrum, see [arXiv:2412.05046](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.05046)
- ‣ However, full sky coverage important…

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

- ‣ Combined IceCube + HAWC analysis (full sky)
	- ‣ IceCube data: May 2011 May 2016
	- ‣ HAWC data: May 2015 May 2017
	- ‣ Small-scale structures:
		- ‣ Subtraction of the fitted multipole components with  $l \leq 3$
		- ‣ Small-scale structures align with features in the local interstellar magnetic field (LIMF) [\[E. J. Zirnstein et al., ApJL 818 \(2016\)](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.3847/2041-8205/818/1/L18/meta)]

![](_page_29_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **Future Cosmic Ray Measurements at the South Pole**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Upcoming IceCube Analyses**

- **Spectrum** 
	- High-energy spectrum  $(>100 \text{ PeV})$ , closing the gap to Auger/TA ‣ Include IceTop uncontained events (higher statistics / higher energy)
	-
	- ‣ Work in progress…
- **Composition** 
	- ‣ Low-energy (>250 TeV) composition measurement (proton spectrum)
	- ‣ Closing the gap to direct measurements
	- ‣ Work in progress…
- **Anisotropy** 
	- ‣ Full-sky observation in combination with other experiments
	- ‣ IceTop data between 1 PeV and 10 PeV
	- ‣ Work in progress…

![](_page_31_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_15.jpeg)

[\[IceCube Collaboration, EPJ Web Conf. 210 \(2019\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.04117)]

#### **Future Detector Improvements**

- ‣ Surface enhancement in progress:
	- ‣ New elevated scintillator panels
		- ‣ Improved air shower energy reconstruction
		- ‣ Lower cosmic ray energy threshold
	- ‣ New radio antennas
		- ‣ Improved air shower energy reconstruction
		- ‣ Increased angular acceptance

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **Future Detector Improvements**

- IceCube-Gen2:
	- ▶ 8 km<sup>3</sup> in-ice instrumented volume:
		- $\sim$  ~10,000 optical sensors at depths of ~1.3 km to ~2.6 km
		- ‣ New strings with a spacing of 240 m
	- ▶ 8 km<sup>2</sup> surface array:
		- ‣ Elevated scintillator panels
		- ‣ Radio antennas
	- ‣ Increased solid angle, larger inclinations
	- ‣ Increased statistics at the highest energies
	- ‣ Better understanding of the energy scale
	- ‣ Reduced in-ice systematics
	- ‣ Much more …

[\[IceCube-Gen2 Collaboration, J. Phys. G 48 \(2021\)\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5106)

![](_page_33_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_15.jpeg)

#### **※ AUSTRALIA**

University of Adelaide

#### **BELGIUM**

**UCLouvain** Université libre de Bruxelles Universiteit Gent Vrije Universiteit Brussel

#### **EX** CANADA

**Queen's University** University of Alberta-Edmonton

 $\overline{\phantom{1}}$  DENMARK University of Copenhagen

#### **GERMANY**

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron ECAP, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Karlsruhe Institute of Technology Ruhr-Universität Bochum **RWTH Aachen University** Technische Universität Dortmund Technische Universität München Universität Mainz Universität Wuppertal Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster

#### THE ICECUBE COLLABORATION

**THE HEALY** University of Padova

**O** JAPAN **Chiba University** 

 $\mathbb{R}$  NEW ZEALAND University of Canterbury

**OF KOREA Chung-Ang University** 

Sungkyunkwan University

 $\blacksquare$  SWEDEN Stockholms universitet Uppsala universitet

 $\left| \bullet \right|$  SWITZERLAND Université de Genève

#### **Many more interesting results!**

**FOR TAIWAN** Academia Sinica

**EXAMPLED KINGDOM** University of Oxford

UNITED STATES

**Clark Atlanta University Columbia University** Georgia Institute of Technology Lawrence Berkeley National Lab Loyola University Chicago **Marquette University** 

**Drexel University Harvard University** 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology **Mercer University Michigan State University Ohio State University** Pennsylvania State University South Dakota School of Mines and Technology **Southern University** and A&M College **Stony Brook University** University of Alabama University of Alaska Anchorage University of California, Berkeley University of California, Irvine University of Delaware University of Kansas

#### FUNDING AGENCIES

University of Maryland University of Nevada, Las Vegas **University of Rochester** University of Utah University of Wisconsin-Madison University of Wisconsin–River Falls **Yale University** 

Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS) Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Vlaanderen (FWO-Vlaanderen)

![](_page_34_Picture_31.jpeg)

Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) German Research Foundation (DFG) Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY)

The Swedish Research Council (VR) University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF) US National Science Foundation (NSF)

Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation Swedish Polar Research Secretariat

icecube.wisc.edu

# **Thank you!**

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Muon Measurements IceTop and IceCube**

- GeV muon density (IceTop) and TeV muon multiplicity (IceCube)
- The z-scale:

$$
z = \frac{\ln(\rho_{\mu}) - \ln(\rho_{\mu, p})}{\ln(\rho_{\mu, Fe}) - \ln(\rho_{\mu, p})}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Proton:  $z = 0$ , iron:  $z = 1$ 

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

[[S. Verpoest \(IceCube Collaboration\), PoS\(ICRC2023\)207 \(2023\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.14689)]

### **Muon Measurements IceTop and IceCube**

#### Comparison with other experiments

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

[\[J. C. Arteaga-Velázquez \(WHISP\), PoS ICRC2023 \(2023\) 466\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.08341)

#### **Snow Accumulation**

#### ‣ Snow accumulation in IceTop 2010 - 2012

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

[[IceCube Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 100, 082002 \(2019\)\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.04317)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

Ε

#### **Energy Resolution**

#### ‣ Energy resolution and bias in IceTop

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)