## Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing Universes

### G. Moultaka

Laboratoire Univers & Particules de Montpellier (LUPM) CNRS & University of Montepllier

TUG, Annecy, Nov. 5 - 7, '24

Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing Universes... Nov. 5 - 7, '24

## A Recreational Talk

## Emile Borel's Infinite Monkey Theorem



## Think out of the box?



Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing Universes... Nov. 5 - 7, '24

Introduction

Quantum Trajectories

# Outline

# Introduction

## **Quantum Trajectories**

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

Historical remarks

Solvay 1927

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

## Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems Relation to statistical mechanics

## Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?! Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

**Conclusion & Outlook** 

Quantum Trajectories

The subject of 'Quantum Trajectories' has nowadays gained very good reputation through applications in various fields:

Quantum Trajectories

The subject of 'Quantum Trajectories' has nowadays gained very good reputation through applications in various fields:

- > quantum chemistry (reactive scattering, electronic structure, ...),
- atomic physics (photoionisation, atomtronics, ...),
- high-dimensional systems (rare-gas, ...),
- classical & quantum optics,
- nanoelectronics (fast nanometer devices,...),

...

see e.g. Quantum Dynamics With Trajectories, R.E. Wyatt, (Springer 2000) Applied Bohmian Mechanics, X.Orials, J.Mompart (ed.),(Pan Stanford Pub. 2012) Quantum Potential,I. Licatti, D.Fiscaletti (Springer 2014)

Quantum Trajectories

By 'trajectory' we do NOT mean here the time evolution of a system state:

 $|\psi;t
angle = U(t)|\psi;t=0
angle$ 

Quantum Trajectories

By 'trajectory' we do NOT mean here the time evolution of a system state:

$$|\psi;t\rangle = U(t)|\psi;t=0\rangle$$

but the time evolution of simultaneously well-defined position and momentum,

(x(t), p(t))

of a real particle.

Quantum Trajectories

By 'trajectory' we do NOT mean here the time evolution of a system state:

 $|\psi;t\rangle = U(t)|\psi;t=0\rangle$ 

but the time evolution of simultaneously well-defined position and momentum,

(x(t), p(t))

of a real particle.

This seems at odds with all what we learned at school about quantum mechanics!!

⊘?

Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing Universes... Nov. 5 - 7, '24

- Introduction

Quantum Trajectories

Quantum Trajectories

Here is what is likely to happen when one attempts to discuss Bohm's quantum theory...

Quantum Trajectories

Here is what is likely to happen when one attempts to discuss Bohm's quantum theory... with typical physicists):

Quantum Trajectories

Here is what is likely to happen when one attempts to discuss Bohm's quantum theory... with typical physicists):

most will never have heard of Bohm's theory.

Quantum Trajectories

Here is what is likely to happen when one attempts to discuss Bohm's quantum theory... with typical physicists):

most will never have heard of Bohm's theory.

those who have are certain it must be wrong, although they are not sure exactly why...

Quantum Trajectories

Here is what is likely to happen when one attempts to discuss Bohm's quantum theory... with typical physicists):

most will never have heard of Bohm's theory.

- those who have are certain it must be wrong, although they are not sure exactly why...
- those few who still remain to listen to a description of Bohm's theory are usually surprised when they learn that they can, if they wish, consistently believe in actual particle trajectories in a space-time background.

Quantum Trajectories

Here is what is likely to happen when one attempts to discuss Bohm's quantum theory... with typical physicists):

most will never have heard of Bohm's theory.

- those who have are certain it must be wrong, although they are not sure exactly why...
- those few who still remain to listen to a description of Bohm's theory are usually surprised when they learn that they can, if they wish, consistently believe in actual particle trajectories in a space-time background.

James Cushing (1994)

Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing Universes... Nov. 5 - 7, '24

- Introduction

Quantum Trajectories

...some references:

1) The undevided universe

D. Bohm & B.J. Hiley ed. Routledge

2) Quantum Mechanics Historical contingency and the Copenhagen hegemony

J.T. Cushing ed. The University of Chicago Press

3) Speakable and unspeakable in quantum mechanics J.S. Bell ed. Cambridge University Press

4) The Quantum theory of motion P.R. Holland ed. Cambridge University Press

 $\ldots +$  the original papers.

Quantum Trajectories

 (de Broglie)-Bohm pilot-wave quantum mechanics is an unorthodox approach to Quantum Mechanics

- (de Broglie)-Bohm pilot-wave quantum mechanics is an unorthodox approach to Quantum Mechanics
- it is a description of the quantum world that relies on hidden variables

- (de Broglie)-Bohm pilot-wave quantum mechanics is an unorthodox approach to Quantum Mechanics
- it is a description of the quantum world that relies on hidden variables
   ...HOWEVER

- (de Broglie)-Bohm pilot-wave quantum mechanics is an unorthodox approach to Quantum Mechanics
- it is a description of the quantum world that relies on hidden variables ...HOWEVER
- these 'hidden' variables are position and momenta of particles, so not hidden at all!

- (de Broglie)-Bohm pilot-wave quantum mechanics is an unorthodox approach to Quantum Mechanics
- it is a description of the quantum world that relies on hidden variables
   ...HOWEVER
- these 'hidden' variables are position and momenta of particles, so not hidden at all!
- it yields the same physical predictions as QM, in the context where the latter applies.

- (de Broglie)-Bohm pilot-wave quantum mechanics is an unorthodox approach to Quantum Mechanics
- it is a description of the quantum world that relies on hidden variables
   ...HOWEVER
- these 'hidden' variables are position and momenta of particles, so not hidden at all!
- it yields the same physical predictions as QM, in the context where the latter applies.
- …and uses the same formalism

- (de Broglie)-Bohm pilot-wave quantum mechanics is an unorthodox approach to Quantum Mechanics
- it is a description of the quantum world that relies on hidden variables
   ...HOWEVER
- these 'hidden' variables are position and momenta of particles, so not hidden at all!
- it yields the same physical predictions as QM, in the context where the latter applies.
- ...and uses the same formalism (so formalism afficionados need not worry, ...at least not yet)

Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing Universes... Nov. 5 - 7, '24

Introduction

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

# Outline

## Introduction

**Quantum Trajectories** 

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

Historical remarks

Solvay 1927

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

## Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems Relation to statistical mechanics

## Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?! Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

**Conclusion & Outlook** 

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

## Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom Commun Field Theories describe accurately the substance world, OED, OCD, SM, 500, BSM, just extensions) Marriage with Granty? just a matter of time, Canonical OC, Loop OC.

- Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
- Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
- Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
- Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

## Two radically opposite attitudes:

#### A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:

- after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
- going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom

   → Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!
   → Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings · ··?

- Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
- Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
- Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
- Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

### Two radically opposite attitudes:

#### A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:

- after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
- going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom

   → Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!
   → Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings · · · ?

- Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
- Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
- Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
- Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

### Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom
    - $\rightarrow$  Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!
    - $\rightarrow$  Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings  $\cdots$ ?

- Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
- Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
- Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
- Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

### Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom
     Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!

 $\rightarrow$  Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings  $\cdots$ ?

- Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
- Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
- Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
- Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

### Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - ▶ going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom → Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!
    - $\rightarrow$  Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings  $\cdots$ ?

- Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
- Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
- Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
- Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

#### Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - ▶ going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom → Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!

 $\rightarrow$  Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings  $\cdots$ ?

- Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
- Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
- Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
- Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

#### Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - ▶ going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom → Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!
    - $\rightarrow$  Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings  $\cdots$ ?
- B There are several conceptual problems in ordinary Quantum Mechanics
  - Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
  - Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
  - Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
  - Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

#### Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - ▶ going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom
     → Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!
     → Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG.

 $\rightarrow$  Marriage with Gravity? Just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings  $\cdots$ ?

- B There are several conceptual problems in ordinary Quantum Mechanics
  - Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
  - Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
  - Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
  - Where is the observer of the Universe?

Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

#### Two radically opposite attitudes:

- A There is NO physical motivation whatsoever:
  - after all, ordinary Quantum Mechanics describes very well atomic and nuclear physics.
  - ▶ going relativistic and infinite number of degrees of freedom
     → Quantum Field Theories describe accurately the subatomic world, QED, QCD, SM, ..., BSM, just extensions!
     → Marriage with Gravity? just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG.

 $\rightarrow$  Marriage with Gravity? Just a matter of time, Canonical QG, Loop QG, Superstrings ...?

- B There are several conceptual problems in ordinary Quantum Mechanics
  - Fuzzy definition of the 'classical' measuring apparatus
  - Measurement process and the postulate of the wave packet reduction
  - Delayed-choice, Incompleteness, Non-locality,...
  - Where is the observer of the Universe?
Historical remarks

Solvay 1927

## Outline

## ntroduction

Quantum Trajectories Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

#### Historical remarks Solvay 1927

#### The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

#### Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems Relation to statistical mechanics

## Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?! Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

**Conclusion & Outlook** 

Solvay 1927

## the Solvay congress of 1927 was a *decisive* event for the establishment of the Copenhagen (Bohr) interpretation of QM.

- de Broglie presented his "pilot-wave" QM ...but a "serious" criticism by Pauli seemed to have killed it!
- others (Einstein, Schrödinger,...) didn't pay much attention to it.

- the Solvay congress of 1927 was a *decisive* event for the establishment of the Copenhagen (Bohr) interpretation of QM.
- de Broglie presented his "pilot-wave" QM ...but a "serious" criticism by Pauli seemed to have killed it!
- others (Einstein, Schrödinger,...) didn't pay much attention to it.

- the Solvay congress of 1927 was a *decisive* event for the establishment of the Copenhagen (Bohr) interpretation of QM.
- de Broglie presented his "pilot-wave" QM ...but a "serious" criticism by Pauli seemed to have killed it!
- others (Einstein, Schrödinger,...) didn't pay much attention to it.

- the Solvay congress of 1927 was a *decisive* event for the establishment of the Copenhagen (Bohr) interpretation of QM.
- de Broglie presented his "pilot-wave" QM ...but a "serious" criticism by Pauli seemed to have killed it!
- others (Einstein, Schrödinger,...) didn't pay much attention to it.

Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing	Universes	Nov.	5 -	• 7,	'24
--------------------------------	-----------	------	-----	------	-----

Solvay 1927

a no-go theorem by von Neumann (1932 & 1955): he proved the impossibility for theories that add supplementary variables (the so-called *hidden variables*) to the quantum formalism to reproduce all the empirical predictions of the latter.

Bohmian Mechanics and Bouncing	Universes	Nov.	5 -	• 7,	'24
--------------------------------	-----------	------	-----	------	-----

Solvay 1927

a no-go theorem by von Neumann (1932 & 1955): he "proved" the impossibility for theories that add supplementary variables (the so-called *hidden variables*) to the quantum formalism to reproduce all the empirical predictions of the latter.

- a no-go theorem by von Neumann (1932 & 1955): he "proved" the impossibility for theories that add supplementary variables (the so-called *hidden variables*) to the quantum formalism to reproduce all the empirical predictions of the latter.
- Bohm 1951: A Suggested Interpretation of the Quantum Theory in Terms of "Hidden" Variables (Phys. Rev. 85 (1952) 166 and 85 (1952) 180).

- a no-go theorem by von Neumann (1932 & 1955): he "proved" the impossibility for theories that add supplementary variables (the so-called *hidden variables*) to the quantum formalism to reproduce all the empirical predictions of the latter.
- Bohm 1951: A Suggested Interpretation of the Quantum Theory in Terms of "Hidden" Variables (Phys. Rev. 85 (1952) 166 and 85 (1952) 180).
- Bell even advocated it...(Bell's inequalities violated)

- The pilot-wave idea

- The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

## Outline

#### Introduction

Quantum Trajectories Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

Historical remarks Solvay 1927

#### The pilot-wave idea

#### The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

#### Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems Relation to statistical mechanics

#### Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?! Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

**Conclusion & Outlook** 

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

<ロト</br>

<ロト</td>
日

17/39

The pilot-wave idea

- The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

define (uniquely) two real valued functions  $R(\vec{x}, t)$  and  $S(\vec{x}, t)$  by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \equiv R(\vec{x},t)e^{iS(\vec{x},t)/\hbar}$$
(2)

The pilot-wave idea

- The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

define (uniquely) two real valued functions  $R(\vec{x}, t)$  and  $S(\vec{x}, t)$  by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \equiv R(\vec{x},t)e^{iS(\vec{x},t)/\hbar}$$
(2)

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \tag{3} \right.$$

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

define (uniquely) two real valued functions  $R(\vec{x}, t)$  and  $S(\vec{x}, t)$  by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \equiv R(\vec{x},t)e^{iS(\vec{x},t)/\hbar}$$
(2)

postulate a particle with momentum  $\vec{p} \equiv m\vec{v} = \vec{\nabla}S(\vec{x}, t)$ 

The pilot-wave idea

- The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

define (uniquely) two real valued functions  $R(\vec{x}, t)$  and  $S(\vec{x}, t)$  by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \equiv R(\vec{x},t)e^{iS(\vec{x},t)/\hbar}$$
(2)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V \quad \boxed{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R}} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

define (uniquely) two real valued functions  $R(\vec{x}, t)$  and  $S(\vec{x}, t)$  by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \equiv R(\vec{x},t)e^{iS(\vec{x},t)/\hbar}$$
(2)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V \quad \boxed{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R}} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

postulate a particle with momentum  $\vec{p} \equiv m\vec{v} = \vec{\nabla}S(\vec{x}, t)$ 

4 ロ ト 4 団 ト 4 臣 ト 4 臣 ト 臣 の Q (\*)
17/39

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

define (uniquely) two real valued functions  $R(\vec{x}, t)$  and  $S(\vec{x}, t)$  by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \equiv R(\vec{x},t)e^{iS(\vec{x},t)/\hbar}$$
(2)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R} \right] = 0 \\ \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (R^2 \frac{\vec{\nabla}S}{m}) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

postulate a particle with momentum  $\vec{p} \equiv m\vec{v} = \vec{\nabla}S(\vec{x}, t)$ 

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = H\psi(\vec{x},t) = (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}))\psi(\vec{x},t)$$
(1)

define (uniquely) two real valued functions  $R(\vec{x}, t)$  and  $S(\vec{x}, t)$  by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \equiv R(\vec{x},t)e^{iS(\vec{x},t)/\hbar}$$
(2)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V \quad \boxed{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (R^2 \frac{\vec{\nabla}S}{m}) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

postulate a particle with momentum  $\vec{p} \equiv m\vec{v} = \vec{\nabla}S(\vec{x}, t)$  define particle density  $\rho \equiv R^2 = |\psi|^2$ 

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R} = 0 \& \vec{v} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla}S$$

imply a quantum mechanical Newton's law:

$$m\frac{d^2\vec{x}}{dt^2} = -\vec{\nabla}(V+U) = \vec{F}$$

where 
$$U \equiv Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R}$$
 is a quantum mechanical potential

- The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R} = 0 \& \vec{v} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla}S$$

imply a *quantum mechanical* Newton's law:

$$mrac{d^2ec{x}}{dt^2} = -ec{
abla}(V+U) = ec{F}$$

where  $U \equiv Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R}$  is a *quantum mechanical potential* e.g. for a particle in a nonstationary state of two energy levels system (*E*<sub>1</sub>, *E*<sub>2</sub>) one finds

$$R^2 \sim a + b \cos[\frac{(E_1 - E_2)t}{2\hbar}] \tag{4}$$

- The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R} = 0 \& \vec{v} = \frac{1}{m}\vec{\nabla}S$$

imply a *quantum mechanical* Newton's law:

$$mrac{d^2ec{x}}{dt^2}=-ec{
abla}(V+U)=ec{F}$$

where  $U \equiv Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R}$  is a *quantum mechanical potential* e.g. for a particle in a nonstationary state of two energy levels system ( $E_1, E_2$ ) one finds

$$R^2 \sim a + b \cos[\frac{(E_1 - E_2)t}{2\hbar}] \tag{4}$$

if the particle enters a space region where R is very small

ightarrow violent fluctuations of momentum  $ec{p}$  and energy E

- The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}S)^2}{2m} + V - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R} = 0 \& \vec{v} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla}S$$

imply a quantum mechanical Newton's law:

$$mrac{d^2ec{x}}{dt^2}=-ec{
abla}(V+U)=ec{F}$$

where  $U \equiv Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 R}{R}$  is a *quantum mechanical potential* e.g. for a particle in a nonstationary state of two energy levels system ( $E_1, E_2$ ) one finds

$$R^2 \sim a + b \cos[\frac{(E_1 - E_2)t}{2\hbar}] \tag{4}$$

if the particle enters a space region where *R* is very small  $\rightarrow$  violent fluctuations of momentum  $\vec{p}$  and energy  $E \rightarrow$  in general very irregular and complicated trajectories resembling Brownian motion (Bohm '52)

- -a- the wave function  $\psi$  satisfies the Schrödinger equation
- -b- the particle is guided by the wave through  $\vec{v} \equiv \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla} S_{|\vec{x}=\vec{x}(t)}$
- -c- in practice, no control of the actual initial position of the particle  $\rightarrow$  a statistical ensemble with probability density  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$

- -a- the wave function  $\psi$  satisfies the Schrödinger equation
- -b- the particle is guided by the wave through  $\vec{v} \equiv \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla} S_{|\vec{x}=\vec{x}(t)}$
- -c- in practice, no control of the actual initial position of the particle  $\rightarrow$  a statistical ensemble with probability density  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$
- ► -a- & -b- & -c- ⇔ all predictions of Quantum Mechanics

- -a- the wave function  $\psi$  satisfies the Schrödinger equation
- -b- the particle is guided by the wave through  $\vec{v} \equiv \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla} S_{|\vec{x}=\vec{x}(t)}$
- -c- in practice, no control of the actual initial position of the particle  $\rightarrow$  a statistical ensemble with probability density  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$
- ► -a- & -b- & -c- ⇔ all predictions of Quantum Mechanics
- ► assumption -c- is robust: if  $P(\vec{x}, t_0) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t_0)|^2$  then -a- & -b- imply  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$  for any  $t > t_0$ , since

- -a- the wave function  $\psi$  satisfies the Schrödinger equation
- -b- the particle is guided by the wave through  $\vec{v} \equiv \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla} S_{|\vec{x}=\vec{x}(t)}$
- -c- in practice, no control of the actual initial position of the particle  $\rightarrow$  a statistical ensemble with probability density  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$ 
  - ► -a- & -b- & -c- ⇔ all predictions of Quantum Mechanics
  - ► assumption -c- is robust: if  $P(\vec{x}, t_0) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t_0)|^2$  then -a- & -b- imply  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$  for any  $t > t_0$ , since

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (P \vec{v}) = 0$$

- Three basic assumptions

- -a- the wave function  $\psi$  satisfies the Schrödinger equation
- -b- the particle is guided by the wave through  $\vec{v} \equiv \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla} S_{|\vec{x}=\vec{x}(t)}$
- -c- in practice, no control of the actual initial position of the particle  $\rightarrow$  a statistical ensemble with probability density  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$ 
  - ► -a- & -b- & -c- ⇔ all predictions of Quantum Mechanics
  - ► assumption -c- is robust: if  $P(\vec{x}, t_0) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t_0)|^2$  then -a- & -b- imply  $P(\vec{x}, t) = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2$  for any  $t > t_0$ , since

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (P \vec{v}) = 0$$

• what happens if  $P(\vec{x}, t_0) \neq |\psi(\vec{x}, t_0)|^2$  ??

Three basic assumptions

- Three basic assumptions

## Notion of 'quantum equilibrium'

• consider a system of N particles, with space coords  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_N) \equiv \vec{X}$ 

- Three basic assumptions

- consider a system of N particles, with space coords  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_N) \equiv \vec{X}$
- define  $f(\vec{X}, t)$  by  $P(\vec{X}, t) = f(\vec{X}, t) \times |\psi(\vec{X}, t)|^2$

- Three basic assumptions

#### Notion of 'quantum equilibrium'

- consider a system of N particles, with space coords  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_N) \equiv \vec{X}$
- define  $f(\vec{X}, t)$  by  $P(\vec{X}, t) = f(\vec{X}, t) \times |\psi(\vec{X}, t)|^2$
- ► use conservation of probability and Bohmian dynamics (-a- & -b-) to show  $\frac{dt}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{X} \cdot \nabla f = 0$

▶ N.B. *f* is taken on the particle trajectories,  $f(\vec{X}(t), t) = cte$ 

- Three basic assumptions

- consider a system of N particles, with space coords  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_N) \equiv \vec{X}$
- define  $f(\vec{X}, t)$  by  $P(\vec{X}, t) = f(\vec{X}, t) \times |\psi(\vec{X}, t)|^2$
- ► use conservation of probability and Bohmian dynamics (-a- & -b-) to show  $\frac{dt}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{X} \cdot \nabla f = 0$
- ▶ N.B. *f* is taken on the particle trajectories,  $f(\vec{X}(t), t) = cte$
- ► If  $\vec{X}(t)$  goes almost everywhere, (e.g. chaotic trajectories), then *f* becomes constant almost everyhwere; on the coarse-graned level  $\vec{t} = cte$  everyhwere

- Three basic assumptions

- consider a system of N particles, with space coords  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_N) \equiv \vec{X}$
- define  $f(\vec{X}, t)$  by  $P(\vec{X}, t) = f(\vec{X}, t) \times |\psi(\vec{X}, t)|^2$
- ► use conservation of probability and Bohmian dynamics (-a- & -b-) to show  $\frac{df}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{X} \cdot \nabla f = 0$
- ▶ N.B. *f* is taken on the particle trajectories,  $f(\vec{X}(t), t) = cte$
- ► If  $\vec{X}(t)$  goes almost everywhere, (e.g. chaotic trajectories), then *f* becomes constant almost everyhwere; on the coarse-graned level  $\vec{f} = cte$  everyhwere
- define a notion of entropy  $H = \int d\Sigma |\psi|^2 f \log f$  and show that  $\frac{\overline{dH}}{dt} \leq 0$

- Three basic assumptions

- consider a system of N particles, with space coords  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_N) \equiv \vec{X}$
- define  $f(\vec{X}, t)$  by  $P(\vec{X}, t) = f(\vec{X}, t) \times |\psi(\vec{X}, t)|^2$
- ► use conservation of probability and Bohmian dynamics (-a- & -b-) to show  $\frac{df}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{X} \cdot \nabla f = 0$
- ▶ N.B. *f* is taken on the particle trajectories,  $f(\vec{X}(t), t) = cte$
- ► If  $\vec{X}(t)$  goes almost everywhere, (e.g. chaotic trajectories), then *f* becomes constant almost everyhwere; on the coarse-graned level  $\vec{f} = cte$  everyhwere
- define a notion of entropy  $H = \int d\Sigma |\psi|^2 f \log f$  and show that  $\frac{\overline{dH}}{dt} \leq 0$
- $\overline{H}$  reaches its minimum  $\Leftrightarrow \overline{f} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \overline{P(\vec{X}, t)} = \overline{|\psi|^2}$

Three basic assumptions

 $\rightarrow$  Quantum Mechanics as a classical statistical system in 'thermodynamic equilibrium'

- Three basic assumptions

# $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics as a classical statistical system in 'thermodynamic equilibrium'

→ interesting consequences
Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems

# Outline

### Introduction

Quantum Trajectories Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

Historical remarks

Solvay 1927

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

### Born's postulate is not Relation to dynamical systems

Relation to statistical mechanics

### Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?! Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

Born's postulate is not

- Relation to dynamical systems

- Are Bohmian trajectories chaotic?
- An increased interest in recent years in 1-, 2-, or 3-particle systems in 2D and 3D boxes.
- ► The role of nodes (space-time points where  $\psi(x, t) = 0$ ) and X-points (where the particle velocity in the frame of nodes = 0.)

review: C. Efthymiopoulos et al, Annales de la Fondation de Broglie, Volume 42 (2017)

Born's postulate is not



### - Born's postulate is not



Born's postulate is not



- Born's postulate is not



Born's postulate is not

- Relation to statistical mechanics

# Outline

### Introduction

Quantum Trajectories Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

Historical remarks

Solvay 1927

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

### Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems Relation to statistical mechanics

### Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?! Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

Born's postulate is not

Relation to statistical mechanics

Valentini '91:

- (1) P relaxes to  $|\psi|^2$  at equilibrium in a gas of Bohmian particles
- (2) proof not fully convincing:  $\overline{H(t)} < \overline{H(0)}$  rather than  $\frac{\overline{dH}}{dt} \le 0$  (H-theorem)
- (3) away from equilibrium (P ≠ |ψ|<sup>2</sup>) → violation of the uncertainty principle & violation of Lorentz invariance!

Born's postulate is not

- Relation to statistical mechanics

Valentini '91:

- (1) P relaxes to  $|\psi|^2$  at equilibrium in a gas of Bohmian particles
- (2) proof not fully convincing:  $\overline{H(t)} < \overline{H(0)}$  rather than  $\frac{\overline{dH}}{dt} \le 0$  (H-theorem)
- (3) away from equilibrium (P ≠ |ψ|<sup>2</sup>) → violation of the uncertainty principle & violation of Lorentz invariance!
  - the problem in (2) is typical in stat. phys. whenever a specific kinetic equation is lacking (e.g. Boltzmann equation, Vlasov/Landau equation, etc.)
- A Bohmian gas kinetic equation is still missing: difficult to derive, due to the non-local potential! Boltzmann's 'molecular chaos' hyp. cannot be used straightforwardly. A careful BBGKY hierarchy approach is needed.

Born's postulate is not

Relation to statistical mechanics

Such an equation would be very important  $\rightarrow$  quantitative information about relaxation to 'quantum equilibrium' AND quantitative information about *statistical fluctuations*, i.e. about possible

Lorentz violation in relativistic (field) theories...

Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?!

# Outline

### Introduction

Quantum Trajectories Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

Historical remarks

Solvay 1927

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the quantum particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems Relation to statistical mechanics

## Quantum Cosmology

## The wave function of the Universe?!

Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

Quantum Cosmology

- The wave function of the Universe?!

# The wave function of the Universe?!

### The ADM time + 3-space decomposition

 $n^{\mu}$  unit vector normal to  $\Sigma_t$ ,

N: the lapse function,  $N^i$ : the shift vector



### (stolen form Kolb & Turner)

Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?!

# The wave function of the Universe?!

Einstein's equations  $\Leftrightarrow$  a dynamical system with constraints

 $ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = -(Ndt)^{2} + h_{ij} (N^{j} dt + dx^{i}) (N^{j} dt + dx^{j})$  $h_{ii}: \text{ induced 3D intrinsic metric on } \Sigma_{t}$ 

### The ADM time + 3-space decomposition $n^{\mu}$ unit vector normal to $\Sigma_t$ , N: the lapse function, $N^i$ : the shift vector $n^{\mu}$ $r_{P_1}^{\nu}$ $r_{P_2}^{\nu}$ $r_{P$

(stolen form Kolb & Turner)

Quantum Cosmology

- The wave function of the Universe?!

# The wave function of the Universe?!

Einstein's equations  $\Leftrightarrow$  a dynamical system with constraints

 $ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = -(Ndt)^{2} + h_{ii}(N^{i} dt + dx^{i})(N^{j} dt + dx^{j})$  $h_{ii}$ : induced 3D intrinsic metric on  $\Sigma_t$ 

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \mathcal{L} = rac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( {}^{(4)}\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_{matter} 
ight) + rac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{h} K^{A} \mathcal{L}_{matter}$$

 $K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \left( N_{i|i} + N_{i|i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} \right)$ , the *extrinsic* curvature of  $\Sigma_t$  $\rightarrow {}^{(4)}\mathcal{R} = (K_i^i)^2 - K_{ii}K^{ij} - {}^{(3)}\mathcal{R} + [4-\text{div}]$ 

 $\rightarrow$  express the gravitational part of S in terms of N, N<sub>i</sub>, h<sub>ii</sub> and their first derivatives.

 $\rightarrow$  However, NO dependence on time derivative of N and N<sub>i</sub> !  $\implies \pi = \frac{\delta}{\delta N} L = 0$  and  $\pi^i = \frac{\delta}{\delta N} L = 0 \leftarrow$  Primary constraints.  $\rightarrow \pi^{ij} = \frac{\delta}{\delta h} L$  $\rightarrow$  From  $L = \int d^3x \mathcal{L}$  to  $H = \int d^3x \mathcal{H}$  $H = \int d^3x \left(\pi \dot{N} + \pi^i \dot{N}_i + \pi^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} - \mathcal{L}\right) = \int d^3x \left(N\mathcal{H} + N_i\mathcal{H}^i\right)$ 

The ADM time + 3-space decomposition  $n^{\mu}$  unit vector normal to  $\Sigma_{t}$ .

N: the lapse function,  $N^i$ : the shift vector



 $0 = \dot{\pi} = -\frac{\delta}{\delta N}H = -\mathcal{H}$  and  $0 = \dot{\pi}^i = -\frac{\delta}{\delta N}H = -\mathcal{H}^i \leftarrow$  Secondary constraints. (a) < (a) < (b) < (b)

(stolen form Kolb & Turner)

31/39

-Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?!

# The wave function of the Universe?!

$$\mathcal{H}(h_{ab},\pi^{ab})=0$$

quantization: 
$$\pi^{ab} \rightarrow -i \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{ab}}$$

$$\left[\frac{G_{ijkl}}{(16\pi G)^2}\frac{\delta}{\delta h_{ij}}\frac{\delta}{\delta h_{kl}}+\frac{\sqrt{h}}{16\pi G}(^{(3)}\mathcal{R}-2\Lambda)-\mathcal{T}\right]\Psi[h_{mn},\phi]\!=\!0$$

Wheeler-deWitt Equation

$$G_{ijkl} = rac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} (h_{ik}h_{jl} + h_{il}h_{jk} - h_{ij}h_{kl}), \ \mathcal{T} = \sqrt{h}T_0^0$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-Quantum Cosmology

Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

## Outline

### Introduction

Quantum Trajectories Are there motivations for the study of alternatives to Quantum Mechanics?

Historical remarks

Solvay 1927

The pilot-wave idea

The Schrödinger equation & the *quantum* particle trajectory

Three basic assumptions

Born's postulate is not

Relation to dynamical systems Relation to statistical mechanics

### Quantum Cosmology

The wave function of the Universe?! Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

Quantum Cosmology

Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

# Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

 $\rightarrow$  reduce the infinite dimensional functional superspace in  $\Psi[h_{mn}, \phi]$  by freezing some degrees of freedom  $\rightarrow$  minisuperspaces!

→ Freeze all degrees of freedom except for one...

i) for the geometry, assume FRW

and

ii) for matter, assume a perfect fluid

a radiation-filled Universe + conformal-time gauge (N = a) gives a very simple Hamiltonian, with one dynamical degree of freedom, the scale factor a(t):

$$H = \frac{p_a^2}{24a} + 6ka, \ (c = 16\pi G = 1)$$

quantization

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{24}\frac{d^2}{da^2} + 6ka$$

However,  $a \ge 0 \& \hat{H}$  self-adjoint  $\rightarrow$  restrictions on  $\Psi$ , e.g.  $\Psi(a = 0) = 0$ ;

- Quantum Cosmology

Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

# Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe

Solve for  $\Psi \equiv R(a)e^{iS(a)/\hbar}$ 

Bohmian guidance equation  $\rightarrow \dot{p} = 12\dot{a} = \vec{\nabla}_a S(a)_{|a \rightarrow a(t)}$ 

$$a(t) = a_0 \sqrt{b^2 \sin^2 t + (6 - B \tan t)^2 \cos^2 t}, \hspace{0.2cm} (k = 1)$$

$$a(t) = a_0 \sqrt{b^2 t^2 + (6 - Bt)^2},$$
 (k=0)

$$a(t) = a_0 \sqrt{b^2 \sinh^2 t + (6 - B \tanh t)^2 \cosh^2 t} \quad (k=-1)$$

- Quantum Cosmology

Bohmian version in Minisuperspace & a Radiation-filled Universe



### From J. Acacio de Barros N. Pinto-Neto, M. A. Sagioro-Leal Phys.Lett.A241,229 (1998)



*k* = +1

- Conclusion & Outlook

# **Conclusion & Outlook**

pilot-wave quantum mechanics, invented by de Broglie ('27), killed by Pauli (the same year), resurrected by Bohm ('52), and advocated by Bell and others. - Conclusion & Outlook

- pilot-wave quantum mechanics, invented by de Broglie ('27), killed by Pauli (the same year), resurrected by Bohm ('52), and advocated by Bell and others.
- an increasingly large community (chem., phys.-chem., nonascience,...)is relying on its practical power to study quantum systems

- Conclusion & Outlook

- pilot-wave quantum mechanics, invented by de Broglie ('27), killed by Pauli (the same year), resurrected by Bohm ('52), and advocated by Bell and others.
- an increasingly large community (chem., phys.-chem., nonascience,...)is relying on its practical power to study quantum systems
- some communities still ignore it, as "useless or probably wrong".

- Conclusion & Outlook

- pilot-wave quantum mechanics, invented by de Broglie ('27), killed by Pauli (the same year), resurrected by Bohm ('52), and advocated by Bell and others.
- an increasingly large community (chem., phys.-chem., nonascience,...)is relying on its practical power to study quantum systems
- some communities still ignore it, as "useless or probably wrong".
- one can regard ordinary QM as a kind of effective 'low-energy' theory of an underlying physics where indeterminism is NOT a fundamental feature!
- Outlook
  - can it be tested?
  - can it be an alternative road to new physics, in particular in high energy physics beyond the standard model?
  - Can it be an unconventional path to 'Quantum' Gravity → everything is deterministic at the fundamental level!?

- Conclusion & Outlook

### The Quantum Pandora Box?



- Conclusion & Outlook

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

39/39