# Pitching your research

in 2 min as clearly, accurately, and engagingly as possible



## Pitching my research?

- Communicating complex ideas in a clear and engaging way
  - Work on storytelling & analogies
  - Be aware of your voice, rhythm, and body language
  - Foster confidence and playfulness
- Build a research pitch for different types of audience
  - Address people beyond your subfield
  - Lose bad reflexes
  - Improve adaptability



## **Organization**



Group photo and coffee break



Warm-up exercices in groups of 5



Find a partner from a different field



Some advice on how to build a good pitch



Pitch building in pairs



My research in 120 seconds competition

### Register for the competition



- Prepare in pairs but compete solo
- Objective: present your in 120 seconds as clearly, accurately, and engagingly as possible
- No slides (but you can move or gesture)
- Strictly 120 seconds (visible timer)
- Jury composed of 5 people (mix of speakers and nonspecialists)
- Prizes for the best performances!
- Should remain playful

### **Criteria**

Clarity	Is the talk understandable to non-specialists?	/5
Structure	Is there a clear beginning (question), middle (method), and end (impact)?	/5
Engagement	Was it lively, confident, and captivating?	/5
Time discipline	Stayed within 120 seconds, smooth flow?	/5
Scientific soundness	Does the explanation feel technically correct and not misleading, even when simplified?	/5

### Some advice

- 1. Start with a question or a story "How do we explain these observed ginormous black holes?"
- 2. **Define the problem clearly**What's the mystery, challenge, or unknown?
- 3. **Explain your approach simply** What's the key method or idea?
- 4. Conclude with the impact (or poesy)

Why does this matter? What could it change, reveal, or inspire?

#### 5. Avoid jargon and acronyms

Imagine you're explaining to an engineer or a curious family member

#### 6. Use analogies

"It's like detecting a whisper from billions of light years away"

#### 7. Use your voice

Practice aloud to tune rhythm, pause, and energy

#### 8. Use your body

Gesture to highlight important ideas, move in space to give context

### Working in pairs

- Each partner must understand the other's research (context, problem, method)
- Advice to help build the understanding and then pitch
  - Make notes with key concepts, ask to clarify jargon or obscure concepts
  - **Rephrase**, then correct, rephrase, etc.
  - Co-develop a 2-minute outline
  - Work on **analogies**
- It's not a test of scientific accuracy, but of clarity and connection



### Working in pairs

#### In pairs:

- 1. A **explains** their research in 2 minutes
- B rephrases it in their own words (max 1 minute)
- 3. A gives **feedback**: what was well understood / what was lost

And then switch.

This helps to listen for **misunderstandings** and to realize what parts of your own explanations are **unclear or overly technical**.

Give a **30-second summary** of your research to your partner:

- 1. as they would to a peer
- 2. as if to a scientist in another field
- 3. as if to an informed **non- scientist**

What did you remove? What stayed essential?

This helps distill the true "essence" of your message.

### Working in pairs

On your draft pitches, work in Paris to highlight the keywords or key concepts.

Now read it aloud and insert 1second pauses before and after each keyword. Your partner should make sure you have not missed any.

This exercise builds pauses in the pitch to help the audience process content.

Choose **one complex concept** from your research and find a clear **analogy/metaphor** that makes sense for a non-specialist.

- What's it like in the real world?
- What happens if your analogy is pushed too far? Where does it break?
- How can you correct it with one short sentence?

This builds the skill of **simplifying without distorting**.