#### THE WORLD IN ELEVEN DIMENSIONS

Alessio Marrani "Maria Zambrano" Distinguished Research Fellow Universidad de Murcia, Spain



#### THE WORLD IN ELEVEN DIMENSIONS

▶ Part I: Symmetry

▶ Part II: The division algebras

▶ Part III: M-theory





### WHAT IS A SYMMETRY?

- ▶ An operation that leaves something unchanged
- ▸ M. C. Esher: illustrator of symmetry



### WHAT IS A SYMMETRY?

- ▶ An operation that leaves something unchanged
- ▸ M. C. Esher: illustrator of symmetry
- ▸ Rotation



### WHAT IS A SYMMETRY?

- ▶ An operation that leaves something unchanged
- ▸ M. C. Esher: illustrator of symmetry
- ▸ Rotation
- ▸ Reflection
- ▸ Translation
- ▸ Mathematical articulation: Group Theory





- $\blacktriangleright G = \{a, b, c \ldots\}$  s.t.  $\forall a, b, c \ldots \in G$
- ▸ Closure:  $a \circ b \in G$
- ▸ Identity:  $\exists e \quad s.t. \quad e \circ a = a = a \circ e$
- ▸ Inverse:  $\exists a^{-1}$  s.t.  $a^{-1} \circ a = e$
- ▸ Associative:  $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$
- ▸ Not necessarily commutative:

$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$





$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$



$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$

- $\quad \blacktriangleright \ G = \{a,b,c \ldots \} \text{ s.t. } \quad \forall \ a,b,c \ldots \in G$
- ▸ Closure:  $a \circ b \in G$
- ▸ Identity:  $\exists e \quad s.t. \quad e \circ a = a = a \circ e$
- ▸ Inverse:  $\exists a^{-1}$  s.t.  $a^{-1} \circ a = e$
- ▸ Associative:  $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$
- ▸ Not necessarily commutative:

$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$



- $\blacktriangleright$   $G = \{a, b, c \ldots\}$  s.t.  $\forall a, b, c \ldots \in G$
- ▸ Closure:  $a \circ b \in G$
- ▸ Identity:  $\exists e \quad s.t. \quad e \circ a = a = a \circ e$
- ▸ Inverse:  $\exists a^{-1}$  s.t.  $a^{-1} \circ a = e$
- ▸ Associative:  $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$
- ▸ Not necessarily commutative:

$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$





$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$

- $\blacktriangleright G = \{a, b, c \ldots\}$  s.t.  $\forall a, b, c \ldots \in G$
- ▸ Closure:  $a \circ b \in G$
- ▸ Identity:  $\exists e \quad s.t. \quad e \circ a = a = a \circ e$
- ▸ Inverse:  $\exists a^{-1}$  s.t.  $a^{-1} \circ a = e$
- ▸ Associative:  $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$
- ▸ Not necessarily commutative:

$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$



- $\blacktriangleright$   $G = \{a, b, c \ldots\}$  s.t.  $\forall a, b, c \ldots \in G$
- ▸ Closure:  $a \circ b \in G$
- ▸ Identity:  $\exists e \quad s.t. \quad e \circ a = a = a \circ e$
- ▸ Inverse:  $\exists a^{-1}$  s.t.  $a^{-1} \circ a = e$
- ▸ Associative:  $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$
- ▸ Not necessarily commutative:

$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$



Anti-Rotate by 120º

- $\blacktriangleright G = \{a, b, c \ldots\}$  s.t.  $\forall a, b, c \ldots \in G$
- ▸ Closure:  $a \circ b \in G$
- ▸ Identity:  $\exists e \quad s.t. \quad e \circ a = a = a \circ e$
- ▸ Inverse:  $\exists a^{-1}$  s.t.  $a^{-1} \circ a = e$
- ▸ Associative:  $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$
- ▸ Not necessarily commutative:

$$
a \circ b \neq b \circ a
$$



SYMMETRY

#### ABSTRACTION AND CLASSIFICATION

▶ 17 Wallpaper groups, Fedorov 1891 (Illustration by Pólya 1924)



#### ABSTRACTION AND CLASSIFICATION

- ▶ 17 Wallpaper groups, Fedorov 1891 (Illustration by Pólya 1924)
- ▸ Aesthetically compelling
- ▶ All (or only 13?) found in the Alhambra palace, Granada







Porcelain, China Cloth, Sandwich Islands Examples from Alhambra palace

#### THE ENORMOUS THEOREM

- ▸ Finite Simple Groups: "Prime" building blocks
- ▶ 3 infinite families and 26 sporadic groups (10,000 pages!)

#### THE ENORMOUS THEOREM

- ▸ Finite Simple Groups: "Prime" building blocks
- ▸ 3 infinite families and 26 sporadic groups (10,000 pages!)
- ▸ The Monster (aka Fischer–Griess or Friendly Giant) group:

808,017,424,794,512,875,886,459,904,961,710,757,005,754,368,000,000,000

Smallest faithful representation: 196,883 dimensional complex vector space

- ▸ McKay 1978: 196,884 ≈ 196,883….Monstrous Moonshine
- ▸ Moonshine Beyond the Monster (Terry Gannon)

### LIE GROUPS

▸ Continuous symmetry groups









### LIE GROUPS

- ▸ Continuous symmetry groups
- ▸ Classification:



- ▶ Special Orthogonal = Rotations
- ▸ Exceptional Lie groups are geometrically enigmatic







# THE ALGEBRA OF SYMMETRY

#### THE REAL LINE

 $\blacktriangleright$  Multiplication by  $\pm 1$  preserves lengths-squared on the real line  $\mathbb R$ 

$$
x \mapsto x' = \pm x, \quad |x'|^2 = |x|^2
$$



#### THE REAL LINE

 $\triangleright$  Multiplication by  $\pm 1$  preserves lengths-squared on the real line  $\mathbb R$ 

$$
x \mapsto x' = \pm x, \quad |x'|^2 = |x|^2
$$

▸ Finite group

$$
O(1) = \{1, -1\}, \quad \circ \to \times
$$

▸ Isomorphic to



 $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0,1\}, \quad \circ \to + \mod 2$ 

▸ Angle of rotation

#### COMPLEX PLANE

▶ Multiplication by "unit" complexes

$$
z \mapsto uz, \quad |u|^2 = u\overline{u} = 1
$$

$$
|uz|^2 = uz\overline{zu} = u|z|^2\overline{u} = |u|^2|z|^2 = |z|^2
$$



#### COMPLEX PLANE

▶ Multiplication by "unit" complexes

$$
z \mapsto uz, \quad |u|^2 = u\overline{u} = 1
$$

$$
|uz|^2 = uz\overline{zu} = u|z|^2\overline{u} = |u|^2|z|^2 = |z|^2
$$

Angle of rotation  $u = e^{i\theta}$ 

$$
e^{i\theta_2}(e^{i\theta_1}z)=(e^{i\theta_2}e^{i\theta_1})z=e^{i(\theta_2+\theta_1)}z
$$

▶ 1-dimensional Lie group

 $U(1) = \{e^{i\theta}\}, \quad \circ \to \times$ 

▸ Isomorphic to rotations in the plane



#### COMPLEX PLANE

▶ Multiplication by "unit" complexes

$$
z \mapsto uz, \quad |u|^2 = u\overline{u} = 1
$$

$$
|uz|^2 = uz\overline{zu} = u|z|^2\overline{u} = |u|^2|z|^2 = |z|^2
$$

▶ Angle of rotation  $u=e^{i\theta}$ 

$$
e^{i\theta_2}(e^{i\theta_1}z)=(e^{i\theta_2}e^{i\theta_1})z=e^{i(\theta_2+\theta_1)}z
$$

▶ 1-dimensional Lie group

$$
U(1) = \{e^{i\theta}\}, \quad \circ \to \times
$$

 $\triangleright$  Isomorphic to rotations in the plane  $SO(2)$ 



#### HAMILTON'S LAMENT

- ▸ Real and complex multiplication: Isometry of 1- and 2-dim space
- ▸ Is there a "number" system that does the same for 3-dim space?

"Every morning in the early part of the abovecited month, on my coming down to breakfast, your (then) little brother William Edwin, and yourself, used to ask me: 'Well, Papa, can you multiply triplets?' Whereto I was always obliged to reply, with a sad shake of the head: 'No, I can only add and subtract them'."



#### THE ROAD FROM DUNSINK

▸ Hamilton's epiphany:

On the 16th of October, 1843, walking his wife from Dunsink to a meeting of the Royal Irish Academy on Dawson street:

"That is to say, I then and there felt the galvanic circuit of thought close; and the sparks which fell from it were the fundamental equations between *i, j, k*; exactly such as I have used them ever since."

Hamilton

#### THE ROAD FROM DUNSINK

▸ Hamilton's epiphany:

$$
i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1
$$

16th of October 1843

- ▸ The 4-dimensional quaternions, denoted  $\mathbb H$ , where born
- ▸ Non-commutative

 $ij = k, \; ji = -k$ 



Leron Borsten and me at Dunsink bridge, Dublin, IE

#### NORMED DIVISION ALGEBRAS

- $\triangleright$  Generalising the number systems  $\mathbb R$  and  $\mathbb C$
- $\triangleright$  We want to be able to add and multiply an algebra  $\mathbb A$  with a unit element  $1$

$$
1a = a1 = a, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{A}
$$

#### NORMED DIVISION ALGEBRAS

- $\triangleright$  Generalising the number systems  $\mathbb R$  and  $\mathbb C$
- $\triangleright$  We want to be able to add and multiply an algebra  $\mathbb A$  with a unit element  $1$

$$
1a = a1 = a, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{A}
$$

▶ A normed division algebra is a normed vector space satisfying the composition property:  $||ab|| = ||a|| ||b||, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{A}$ 

 $|zw| = |z||w|, \forall z, w \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ 

Implies division property:  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow a$  and/or  $b = 0$ 

#### WHY STOP AT FOUR?

▸ We now have

 $\mathbb{R}: 1$  $\mathbb{C}: 1, i$  $\mathbb{H}: 1, i, j, k$ 

- ▶ Can we keep going?
- ▸ On October 26th John T. Graves, a college friend of Hamilton, wrote with this possibility on his mind

"There is still something in the system which gravels me. I have not yet any clear views as to the extent to which we are at liberty arbitrarily to create imaginaries, and to endow them with supernatural properties…….If with your alchemy you can make three pounds of gold, why should you stop there?"

Graves

### THE OCTONIONS

- ▸ December 26th of that year Graves discovers the octonions
	- $\mathbb{R}: 1$  $\mathbb{C}: 1, e_1$  $\mathbb{H}: 1, e_1, e_2, e_4$  $\mathbb{O}: 1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7$
- ▸ 8-dimensional normed division algebra



Fano plane

### THE OCTONIONS

- ▸ Cayley-Dickson doubling
	- ordered, commutative, associative
	- $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \oplus e_1 \mathbb{R}$ commutative, associative
	- $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{C}\oplus e_2\mathbb{C}$ associative
	- $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus e_3 \mathbb{H}$

 $\mathbb R$ 

 $\mathbb R$ 

 $\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{R}$ 

 $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}$ 

## THE OCTONIONS

▸ Cayley-Dickson doubling



"The real numbers are the dependable breadwinner of the family, the complete ordered field we all rely on. The complex numbers are a slightly flashier but still respectable younger brother: not ordered, but algebraically complete. The quaternions, being noncommutative, are the eccentric cousin who is shunned at important family gatherings. But the octonions are the crazy old uncle nobody lets out of the attic: they are nonassociative. "

John Baez

 $\mathbb R$ 

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

 $\mathbb H$ 

# THE OCTONIONS

▸ Cayley-Dickson doubling



- ▶ These are the only normed division algebras, Hurwitz 1898
- ▸ Can double (sedenions) again but not division

"The real numbers are the dependable breadwinner of the family, the complete ordered field we all rely on. The complex numbers are a slightly flashier but still respectable younger brother: not ordered, but algebraically complete. The quaternions, being noncommutative, are the eccentric cousin who is shunned at important family gatherings. But the octonions are the crazy old uncle nobody lets out of the attic: they are nonassociative. "

John Baez

### BACK TO SYMMETRIES

▶ Recall 3 families of Lie groups

 $SO(n), SU(n), Sp(n)$ 

 $\triangleright$  Rotations in  $n$ -dim real, complex and quaternionic spaces

$$
\mathfrak{iso}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n) = \mathfrak{so}(n+1)
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathfrak{iso}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) = \mathfrak{su}(n+1)
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathfrak{iso}(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^n) = \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)
$$

• What about  $\mathfrak{iso}(\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^n) = ?$ ?



#### THE MAGIC SQUARE

▶ The Cayley plane

$$
\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{OP}^2) = F_4
$$

 $\triangleright$  Construction breaks down for  $n > 2$ 

### THE MAGIC SQUARE

▶ The Cayley plane

 $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{OP}^2) = F_4$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  Construction breaks down for  $n > 2$
- $\triangleright$  Noting  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}$ , Boris Rosenfeld (1956) proposed:

 $\text{Iso}((\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{O})\mathbb{P}^2) = F_4$  $\text{Iso}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})\mathbb{P}^2) = E_6$  $\text{Iso}((\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{O})\mathbb{P}^2) = E_7$  $\text{Iso}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})\mathbb{P}^2) = E_8$ 



Freudenthal-Rosenfeld-Tits Magic Square



Rosenfeld Freudenthal

#### THEORETICAL PHYSICS

- ▸ Where have we come from?
- ▸ What are we made of?
- ▸ Where are we going?



#### PILLARS OF XX CENTURY **PHYSICS**

#### ▸ Quantum Theory

Non-realist and probabilistic

Elementary constituents of Nature and their fundamental interactions

2016 Nobel: "topological phases of matter"

#### ▸ General Relativity

Classical (realist) and deterministic:

From planetary orbits to the evolution of the entire universe itself

2017 Nobel: "for…the observation of gravitational waves".



1927 Solvay Conference

#### THE STANDARD MODEL OF PARTICLE PHYSICS



### **GRAVITY**

- ▸ The SM plays out of the stage of spacetime
- $\rightarrow$  Gravity = Curvature of spacetime
- ▸ Gravity is the stage itself!
- ▸ General coordinate invariance

▸ Ripples in spacetime detected by LIGO and VIRGO





#### THE DILEMMA OF XXI CENTURY **PHYSICS**

- $\triangleright$  GR is naively incompatible with Quantum Theory
- ▸ Black holes challenge the very foundations of Quantum Theory



Perturbative quantum gravity diverges at two-loops, Goroff and Sagnotti 1985



Black holes emit Hawking Radiation, Hawking 1974

#### THE DILEMMA OF XXI CENTURY **PHYSICS**

- $\triangleright$  GR is naively incompatible with Quantum Theory
- ▸ Black holes challenge the very foundations of Quantum Theory
- ▶ The Problem of Quantum Gravity
- ▸ Argues the next scientific revolution
- ▸ M-theory?



Perturbative quantum gravity diverges at two-loops, Goroff and Sagnotti 1985



Black holes emit Hawking Radiation, Hawking 1974

## **SUPERSYMMETRY**

- ▸ Supersymmetry unifies bosons and fermions
- ▶ Various motivations from particles physics: unification, hierarchy problem, dark matter….
- ▸ No SUSY at the LHC (yet)





CMS detector used in SUSY searches

# **SUPERSYMMETRY**

- ▸ Supersymmetry unifies bosons and fermions
- ▶ Various motivations from particles physics: unification, hierarchy problem, dark matter….
- ▸ No SUSY at the LHC (yet)
- ▸ Local SUSY implies gravity and unifies it with the other forces





CMS detector used in SUSY searches

# SUPERGRAVITY

▸ Supergravity - the supersymmetric extension of General Relativity

▸ Supersymmetry tames infinities

▸ N=8 supergravity: a theory of everything?

Cremmer and Julia 1978



P. van Nieuwenhuizen, S. Ferrara, D. Freedman



Bruno Zumino



Stanley Deser

# SUPERGRAVITY

▶ Is the End in Sight for Theoretical Physics? : An Inaugural Lecture

> "At the moment the N=8 supergravity theory is the only candidate in sight. "

Hawking 1980

# SUPERSPACE &<br>SUPERGRAVITY Edited by S.W. Hawking & M. Roček



# SUPERGRAVITY

▶ Is the End in Sight for Theoretical Physics? : An Inaugural Lecture

> "At the moment the N=8 supergravity theory is the only candidate in sight. "

Hawking 1980

▸ Supergravity diverges :(

"There are likely to be a number of crucial calculations within the next few years which have the possibility of showing that the theory is no good."

# SUPERSPACE &<br>SUPERGRAVITY Edited by S.W. Hawking & M. Roček



### STRING THEORY

▶ Point particles replaced by strings:



▸ 1968 - 1973 Veneziano, Nambu, Nielsen, Susskind, Ramond….

▶ 1973/74 Yoneya, Scherk and Schwarz: Strings Include gravitons!

### SUPERSTRING THEORY

▸ At low energies:

closed —> supergravity strings —> super Yang-Mills

- ▶ Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation
- ▸ The string revolution ~1984



Michael Green John Schwarz

### SUPERSTRING THEORY

- ▸ At low energies:
	- closed —> supergravity strings —> super Yang-Mills
- ▸ Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation
- ▸ The string revolution ~1984

- ▸ Supersymmetric
- ▶ 10 spacetime dimensions
- ▸ Compactification on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold could include Standard Model?



Michael Green John Schwarz



#### QUESTIONS, QUESTIONS

- ▸ If strings, why not membranes, 3-branes, etc?
- ▶ Five superstring theories: Type I, IIA, IIB, Heterotic-O and Heterotic-E



- ▶ Supersymmetry allows up to 11 spacetime dimensions: why do strings stop at 10? Nahm 1977
- Supergravity is unique+simplest in 11-dim Cremmer, Julia, and Scherk 1978



Werner Nahm

### THE M-THEORY REVOLUTION

▸ Edward Witten Strings '95: the five consistent 10-dim string theories and 11-dim supergravity are merely corners of a single overarching framework, M-theory



~1986 - 1995: Bergshoeff, Duff, Hull, Khuri, Lu, Pope, Sen, Sezgin, Stelle, Strominger, Townsend…….

#### M STANDS FOR MAGIC, MYSTERY OR MEMBRANE

- ▶ 11-dim supergravity has membrane solution Bergshoeff, Sezgin and Townsend 1987
- ▸ Wrapping membranes gives type IIA superstrings Duff, Howe, Inami and Stelle 1987



- ▸ M2-branes and M5-branes are the fundamental constituents of M-theory
- ▶ D-Branes soon became a required part of string theory (Polchinski)



#### DUALITIES OF M-THEORY

#### DUALITIES OF M-THEORY

▶ The M-theory web: U-duality

$\, n$	U-duality
1	$E_1(\mathbb{Z}) \cong SO(1,1)$
$\overline{2}$	$E_2(\Z)$
3	$E_3(\Z)$
4	$E_4(\Z)$
5.	$E_5(\Z)$
6	$E_6({\mathbb Z})$
7	$E_7(\Z)$
	$E_8(\Z)$

Hull and Townsend 1995

▶ Is gravity secretly the "square" of Yang-Mills?

[(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] x [(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] = (super)gravity amplitudes

Bern, Carrasco, Johansson 2008

▶ Is gravity secretly the "square" of Yang-Mills?

[(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] x [(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] = (super)gravity amplitudes

Bern, Carrasco, Johansson 2008

▶ In 3 dimensions there are 4 SYM theories with N=1, 2, 4, 8



Is gravity secretly the "square" of Yang-Mills?

[(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] x [(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] = (super)gravity amplitudes Bern, Carrasco, Johansson 2008

▶ In 3 dimensions there are 4 SYM theories with N=1, 2, 4, 8



▶ Is gravity secretly the "square" of Yang-Mills?  $[(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] \times [(super) Yang-Mills amplitudes] = (super) gravity amplitudes$ Bern, Carrasco, Johansson 2008

▶ In 3 dimensions there are 4 SYM theories with N=1, 2, 4, 8



▸ **M really does stand for Membrane, Magic and Mystery!** 

# EXTRA DIMENSIONS

- ▸ Kaluza-Klein theory ~1926
- ▶ 5-dim gravity on a circle





▸ To a low energy observer it looks just like gravity+electromagnetism (+ a scalar field)

$$
h_{MN}\longrightarrow h_{\mu\nu},\hspace{0.5cm} h_{\mu 5}\sim A_{\mu},\hspace{0.5cm} h_{55}\sim \phi
$$

#### THE DILEMMA OF XXI CENTURY PHYSICS

- $\triangleright$  GR is naively incompatible with Quantum Theory
- ▸ Black holes challenge the very foundations of Quantum Theory
- ▸ The Problem of Quantum Gravity

. . . Pauli asked me what I was working on. I said I was trying to quantize the gravitational field. For many seconds he sat silent, alternately shaking and nodding his head. He finally said "That is a very important problem–

#### **but it will take someone really smart!"**



Perturbative quantum gravity diverges at two-loops, Goroff and Sagnotti 1985



Black holes emit Hawking Radiation, Hawking 1974

Bryce DeWitt

