# Energy detection resolution study from the GRAiNITA electromagnetic calorimeter





**Denys Klekots** 


(Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv)

denys.klekots@cern.ch denys.klekots@gmail.com

#### Resolution: constant term simulation. Quick recap

□ The energy accuracy of ECAL is usually parametrized as  $\sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{E}}\right)^2 + y^2}$ . Where y is the "constant term" usually caused by leakage or non uniformity.


- □Simulation is held in the box volume with dimensions 168 x 168 \* 400 mm.
- Volume is simulated as with one material:
  - 4.53  $\frac{g}{cm^3}$  (partial density) of ZnWO4
  - 1.19  $\frac{g}{cm^3}$  (partial density) of heavy liquid



**Figure 13** Response uniformity of the inner LHCb module measured with muons (error bars) and simulated (hatched histogram). The scan was made in 1 mm wide bands between two fiber rows (left) and through the fiber positions (right).


#### Simulated detector

- ☐Geant4 simulation was made to calculate the energy detection ununiformality.
- The simulated primary gamma (25 GeV in this presentation) hit the top face of the detector.
- □The fibers were simulated inside the detector. The distance between fibers is 7 mm. Fibers are cylinders of diameter equal to 1.
- □The detector was virtually split into strips with dimensions of 1 mm by 1 mm by 400 mm.



#### **Energy deposition in strips**

☐ The plot shows energy mean deposition in strips over 1000 events. The energy deposition of strips containing fibers was omitted (as there is no scintillation in fibers).



#### Weights of the strips


■The energy deposited in each strip was multiplied by the weight.

☐ The weight was estimated from others studied.

☐ This approach takes into account that light collection efficiency is different for different strips.

 $F=(1 + - a \cos (2pi (x/7mm)) - b \cos (2pi(y/7mm)))$ 


$$a = b = 7\%$$



**Figure 13** Response uniformity of the inner LHCb module measured with muons (error bars) and simulated (hatched histogram). The scan was made in 1 mm wide bands between two fiber rows (left) and through the fiber positions (right).

#### **Energy deposition histogram.**


- □ The histogram shows the energy deposited in the calorimeter, after encountering strip weights and excluding energy deposited in fiber strips.
- ☐ The particles were shot nearly randomly in the cube with dimensions 7 by 7 mm.



#### **Efficiency map**

□ Each bin of the histogram represents the mean (over 1000 events) energy that was deposited if the primary hit was in this specific bin.

☐ The histogram is rescaled in such a way that its mean value is equal to 1.



## Thank you for your attention

### Backup slides

#### **Geant4 simulation setup**

Simulation is held in the box volume with dimensions 168 x 168 \* 400 mm.

- Volume is simulated as with one material:

  - 4.53  $\frac{g}{cm^3}$  (partial density) of ZnWO4 1.19  $\frac{g}{cm^3}$  (partial density) of heavy liquid
- Projectile particle energy deposited energy = escaped energy
- □escaped energy ≠ The sum of energy of escaped particles

