Black-hole metric and disc physics degeneracy on highly lensed observables in SMBH images

Irene Urso

2nd year PhD student, LESIA (Paris Observatory) / LPENS (ENS) Advisors: Frédéric Vincent (LESIA), Cédric Deffayet (LPENS)

> Journées Théorie PNHE 6 November 2024





Context Photon rings Models Image analysis Discussion References General Relativity

- Standard theory of gravity (1915)
- Gravity = Curvature of spacetime
- Gravitational deflection of light
- Eddington experiment (1919)
- Thorough tests in the Solar System



Figure: 1919 solar eclipse. Credits: Eddington

Context





Figure: Simulated photograph of a BH. Credits: Luminet

- Black hole ↔ Event horizon
- No-hair theorem \rightarrow Kerr
- Spacetime singularity
- Tests in the strong field regime
- Event Horizon Telescope (2019)

2/15

Context	Photon rings	Models	Image analysis	Discussion	References
		Blac	-k Holes		



Figure: Simulated photograph of a BH. Credits: Luminet



Figure: First image of SgrA*. Credits: Event Horizon Telescope

Event Horizon Telescope



Figure: EHT array of the 2017 campaign. Credits: Event Horizon Telescope



Figure: First image of a black hole. Credits: Event Horizon Telescope

Context	Photon rings	Models	Image analysis	Discussion	References
		_			
		P	roject		
			3		

Scientific question

Can we detect a deviation from the black-hole standard model?

Reasoning steps:

- Find distinctive image features induced by the spacetime properties
- Associate reliable electromagnetic observable signatures
- Disentangle between the geometry and the astrophysics

Methods:

• Numerical simulations via the ray-tracing code GYOTO

- Photon sphere = unstable circular orbits of light
- $\bullet\ \mathbf{n}=$ half turns around the black hole before leaving to infinity
- One point of the disk = several images



Figure: Geodesics of photons emitted at the innermost stable circular orbit

Context Photon rings Models Image analysis Discussion References

- Critical curve = projection of the photon sphere
- Inner shadow = region inside the projected equatorial event horizon
- n-th lensing band = impact points of light rays of order n > 0



Figure: Horizon, critical curve and n = 1 lensing band on the observer's screen.

Figure: Impact parameters of the features along the polar angle on the screen.



Photon rings

- Critical curve, horizon, lensing bands = mathematical regions
- Observable photon rings = radiation of the accretion disk





Figure:

Left panel: modeled image of the emission of an accretion disk observed at 230 GHz Right panel: embedded rings. Credits: Wong, Johnson

Irene Urso



Context	Photon rings	Models	Image analysis	Discussion	References
		Dam			
		Para	ameters		

Accretion disk:

• Emissivity $j_{\nu}(\zeta, \nu_{\rm em}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

• $n_e \propto r^{-\alpha}$, $\Theta_e \propto r^{-\beta}$, $B \propto r^{-\gamma}$, $\zeta(B_{\rm inner}, \Theta_{\rm e;inner})$

Compact object:

- All metric parameter affect the geodesic motion
- Only lower order parameters affect near-horizon phenomena:

	Event horizon	Photon sphere	ISCO
ϵ	1	1	1
a_1	×	1	1
b_1	×	×	X

• a_0 and b_0 are constrained by observations in the Solar System

Context Photon rings Models Image analysis Discussion References 1D cross sections

- Separate intensity profiles: n=0 image and n=1 photon ring
- Measure the radial position of the intensity peaks

Figure: 1D intensity cuts

Figure: 1D intensity profile and peaks

Redshift effects

Image analysis

- All $I_{
 u}^{\mathrm{em}}$ peak at the radial position of the equatorial event horizon
- Redshifted intensity: $I_{\nu}^{\text{obs}} = g^3 I_{\nu}^{\text{em}}$ with $g = \frac{\nu^{\text{obs}}}{\nu^{\text{em}}} = \frac{p^{\text{obs}} \cdot u^{\text{obs}}}{p^{\text{em}} \cdot u^{\text{em}}}$

Figure: Redshifted profiles for a Schwarzschild black hole seen face-on

Irene Urso

Figure: Impact parameters of the intensity's peaks along the polar angle on the screen

Irene Urso

 $\psi[^\circ]$

 $\psi[^\circ]$

Figure: Impact parameters of the intensity's peaks along the polar angle on the screen

Irene Urso

Context	Photon rings	Models	Image analysis	Discussion	References
		Dete	ectability		

- n = 1 photon ring not detectable with present instruments
- Angular resolution of an interferometer:

$$R\simeq rac{\lambda}{B}$$
,

with λ the observed wavelength and B the maximum baseline

- High-frequency ground array of the **ngEHT** (ongoing)
- BHEX space-based array (Small Explorer proposed to NASA)

Context	Photon rings	Models	Image analysis	Discussion	References
		Δ.	(ODUOC		
			Cilues		

Astrophysics:

- Geometrically thick disk
- Time variability

Geometry:

• Rotating black hole

Methodology:

Interferometric signal

Objects of study:

- Polarised images
- n=2 photon ring

Figure: Polarised image of M87*. Credits: Event Horizon Telescope

Context	Photon rings	Models	Image analysis	Discussion	References
		Réf	érences		

- SE Gralla, A Lupsasca, and DP Marrone. "The Shape of the Black Hole Photon Ring: A Precise Test of Strong-Field General Relativity". In: *Physical Review D* 102.12 (2020), p. 124004.
- [2] MD Johnson et al. "Universal interferometric signatures of a black hole's photon ring". In: *Science advances* 6.12 (2020), eaaz1310.
- [3] L Rezzolla and A Zhidenko. "New parametrization for spherically symmetric black holes in metric theories of gravity". In: *Physical Review D* 90.8 (2014), p. 084009.
- [4] FH Vincent et al. "Images and photon ring signatures of thick disks around black holes". In: Astronomy & Astrophysics 667 (2022), A170.

Backup slides

Very Long Baseline Interferometry

Figure: Relation between the planes of the sky and visibility. Credits: Frédéric Vincent

Figure: (u, v) plane coverage and visibility. Credits: EHT

Complex visibility

- Spatial correlation function: $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\vec{B}_{\perp}/\lambda)$, with λ the wavelength and \vec{B}_{\perp} the projection of the baseline
- Fourier transform of the brightness distribution in the sky I
- Van Cittert-Kernike theorem

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}\left(u,v\right)}{\mathcal{V}\left(0,0\right)} = \frac{\iint I(\alpha,\delta)e^{-2i\pi\left(u\alpha+v\delta\right)}d\alpha d\delta}{\iint I(\alpha,\delta)d\alpha d\delta}$$

,

with (α,δ) the usual right ascension and declination and (u,v) their Fourier conjugate frequencies

Visibility amplitude

Figure: Visibility amplitude of a Gyoto simulated image. Credits: Paugnat et al. 2022

Photon ring detectability

EHT + space telescope: deviations of 0.1 mJy detectable at 345 GHz

Figure: Visibility amplitude of the n=1 photon ring for $\zeta = 3$ and $a_1 = 0$ or $a_1 = 0.1$

Comapct object

- Spherically symmetric black hole
- Rezzolla-Zhidenko parametrised and hierarchical metric:

$$ds^{2} = -\overline{N(r)}^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{\overline{B(r)}^{2}}{N(r)^{2}}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) ,$$

$$\begin{cases} N^{2}(x) = x[1 - \epsilon(1 - x) + (\overline{a_{0}} - \epsilon)(1 - x)^{2} + \overline{A}(x)(1 - x)^{3}] \\ B(x) = 1 + \overline{b_{0}}(1 - x) + \overline{B}(x)(1 - x)^{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{A}(x) = \frac{\overline{a_{1}}}{1 + \frac{\overline{a_{2}x}}{1 + \frac{\overline{a_{3}x}}{1 + \frac{\overline{a_{3}x}}{1 + \frac{\overline{a_{3}x}}{1 + \frac{\overline{b_{3}x}}{1 + \frac{\overline{b_{3}x}}}}} , \quad \tilde{B}(x) = \frac{\overline{b_{1}}}{1 + \frac{\overline{b_{3}x}}{1 + \frac{\overline{b_{3}x}}}} ,$$

,

where we introduced the variable $x \coloneqq 1 - r_{\mathcal{H}}/r$ and $1 + \epsilon = 2M/r_{\mathcal{H}}$, with $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ the radius of the horizon and M the mass of the black hole

Metric impact on image features

Figure: Dependence of the event horizon, photon shell, critical curve and ISCO on ϵ , a_1

Accretion disk

Set-up:

• Geometrically thin disk inclined at 163°

Dynamics:

- Keplerian velocity with the Cunningham hypothesis
- Radially infalling velocity

Emission:

- Optically thin disk
- Thermal synchrotron emission

Thermal synchrotron emission

- Synchrotron power emitted by an ultrarelativistic electron: P_{ν}
- Power of a population of electrons in thermal equilibrium:

$$\begin{array}{c} \Theta_e, n_e \\ \downarrow \\ j_\nu = \int_0^\infty P_\nu \frac{dn_e}{d\gamma} d\gamma \propto I_\nu \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ B & v_e \end{array}$$

with B the magnetic field, Θ_e the dimensionless temperature and n_e the density following a Maxwell distribution of speeds v_e

- Hypotheses of power-law fall-offs: $n_e \propto r^{-lpha}$, $\Theta_e \propto r^{-eta}$, $B \propto r^{-\gamma}$
- $i_1 = \alpha 2\beta$ and $i_2 = \gamma + 2\beta$

Emissivity

•
$$j_{\nu} \approx \eta \frac{\nu_{\rm em}[{\rm GHz}]}{230} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\rm inner}}\right)^{-i_1} \exp\left[-\zeta \sqrt[3]{\frac{\nu_{\rm em}[{\rm GHz}]}{230}} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\rm inner}}\right)^{i_2/3}\right]$$

where $\zeta = \left(\frac{3.7 \times 10^5}{B_{\rm inner}\Theta_{e;\rm inner}^2} \sin \theta\right)^{1/3}$

with B_{inner} , $\Theta_{e;\text{inner}}$ the values of B and Θ_e at the inner radius r_{inner} and θ the angle between the emission direction and the magnetic field

• Various observing frequencies of the ngEHT: 230, 345 GHz

• $I_{\nu}^{\rm em} \propto j_{\nu}$

Apparent shape of circular rings

Figure: Direct and first-lensed apparent positions of rays emitted from isoradial distances

Null geodesics

Figure: Geodesics of photons in the Schwarzschild spacetime and corresponding image at infinity of the black-hole seen face-on

GYOTO

Figure: Scheme of the functioning of GYOTO

Adaptative ray-tracing

Figure: Adaptatively ray-traced points

Polarisation

- Polarisation: privileged orientation of the radiation
- Regular magnetic field
- n = number of half turns
- Even: same polarisation
- Odd: same polarisation
- Change of polarisation: dependence on the BH **spin**

Figure: Ray-tracing in a thick disk. Credits: Elizabeth Himwich