Particle acceleration at supernova remnants

Pierre Cristofari pierre.cristofari@obspm.fr

Journées théories APC Nov. 2024











Goedhart et al. 2023, Cotton et al. 2023



3

3

53.6° 53.4° Goedhart et al. 2023, Cotton et al. 2023



Goedhart et al. 2023, Cotton et al. 2023





MeerKAT 2022



The 1D test-particle theory at strong colisionless shocks is well known



The 1D test-particle theory at strong colisionless shocks is well known

Open questions:

- 1. Which particles (electrons/protons)?
- 2. Maximum energy of accelerated particles?
- 3. Efficiency of particle acceleration? How do particles get injected in the process?
- 4. Spectrum of accelerated particles at the shock?

5. Total spectrum released in the ISM? Escape of particles, diffusion around sources?

Reviews: Drury 2012, Blasi 2013, Schure 2014, Gabici 2019, Ferrand 2020



Minimal requirements on proton sources:

★Sustain the total CR power
★Inject a spectrum that can account for proton spectrum
★Reach the knee (be pevatrons)



Evoli 2021



Minimal requirements on proton sources:

★Sustain the total CR power \checkmark ★Inject a spectrum that can account for proton spectrum ★Reach the knee (be pevatrons)



Evoli 2021





Ptuskin, Zirakashvili & Seo 2010



Ptuskin, Zirakashvili & Seo 2010



Ptuskin, Zirakashvili & Seo 2010

Minimal requirements on proton sources:

★Sustain the total CR power \checkmark ★Inject a spectrum that can account for proton spectrum ★Reach the knee (be pevatrons)

~

Hillas criterion

$$E_{\rm max} \approx \xi \left(\frac{R_{\rm sh}}{\rm pc}\right) \left(\frac{u_{\rm sh}}{1000 {\rm km/s}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{\mu {\rm ~G}}\right) {\rm TeV}$$

















 $E_{SN} \left[10^{51} \text{ erg} \right]$

3 PeV

Bell (2004), Bell et al. (2013), Schure et al. (2014), Ptuskin et al. (2010), Cardillo et al. (2016) PC, Blasi & Amato (2020)

bubble MS

The low rate of SNR pevatrons ~ 1-5 % of SNe





PC, Blasi, Amato 2020

19

Proton spectrum with only one object?



Major problem: no room for other SNRs/ other accelerators

PC, Blasi, Amato 2020

19

LHAASO catalog and SNRs



90 sources, 43 above 100 TeV, almost no *direct* association with a SNR shock

Cao et al. 2024

LHAASO catalog and SNRs



Cao et al. 2024

LHAASO catalog and SNRs



90 sources, 43 above 100 TeV, almost no *direct* association with a SNR shock



Cao et al. 2024



The 1D test-particle theory at strong colisionless shocks is well known

Open questions:

- 1. Which particles (electrons/protons)?
- 2. Maximum energy of accelerated particles?
- 3. Efficiency of particle acceleration? How do particles get injected in the process?
- 4. Spectrum of accelerated particles at the shock?

5. Total spectrum released in the ISM? Escape of particles, diffusion around sources?

The particle spectrum at SNRs



Drury& Völk (1980,1981), Bell (1987)

Jones & Ellison (1991), Ellison, Möbius & Paschamnn (1990), Ellison, Baring & Jones (1995, 1995) Kang & Jones (1997, 2005) Kang, Jones & Gieseler (2002), Malkov (1997), Malkov, Diamond & Völk (2000) Blasi (2002), Amato & Blasi (2005,2006)

The particle spectrum at SNRs



Drury& Völk (1980,1981), Bell (1987)

Jones & Ellison (1991), Ellison, Möbius & Paschamnn (1990), Ellison, Baring & Jones (1995, 1995) Kang & Jones (1997, 2005) Kang, Jones & Gieseler (2002), Malkov (1997), Malkov, Diamond & Völk (2000) Blasi (2002), Amato & Blasi (2005,2006)



Drury& Völk (1980,1981), Bell (1987)

Jones & Ellison (1991), Ellison, Möbius & Paschamnn (1990), Ellison, Baring & Jones (1995, 1995) Kang & Jones (1997, 2005) Kang, Jones & Gieseler (2002), Malkov (1997), Malkov, Diamond & Völk (2000) Blasi (2002), Amato & Blasi (2005,2006)

Zirakashvili & Ptuskin (2008)

Drury (1983), Caprioli, Haggerty & Blasi (2020), Diesing & Caprioli (2021), PC, Blasi & Caprioli (submitted 2022)



ubmitted 2022)





HESS C

16m

14m

RA (J2000)

12m

17h10m



Magnetic structures and turbulence in SN 1006 revealed with imaging X-ray polarimetry PING ZHOU ^(D),¹ DMITRY PROKHOROV ^(D),² RICCARDO FERRAZZOLI ^(D),³ YI-JUNG YANG ^(D),^{4,5} PATRICK SLANE ^(D),⁶ + long list of co-authors

SN 1006 H.E.S.S.

Imaging X-ray Polarimetry Explorer (IXPE) Magnetic structures and turbulence in SN 1006 revealed with imaging X-ray polarimetry PING ZHOU ^(D),¹ DMITRY PROKHOROV ^(D),² RICCARDO FERRAZZOLI ^(D),³ YI-JUNG YANG ^(D),^{4,5} PATRICK SLANE ^(D),⁶ + long list of co-authors

SN 1006 H.E.S.S.

Imaging X-ray Polarimetry Explorer (IXPE)

Caprioli et al. 2018, Giuffrida et al. 2022

Magnetic structures and turbulence in SN 1006 revealed with imaging X-ray polarimetry PING ZHOU ^(D),¹ DMITRY PROKHOROV ^(D),² RICCARDO FERRAZZOLI ^(D),³ YI-JUNG YANG ^(D),^{4,5} PATRICK SLANE ^(D),⁶ + long list of co-authors

Imaging X-ray Polarimetry Explorer (IXPE)

Magnetic structures and turbulence in SN 1006 revealed with imaging X-ray polarimetry PING ZHOU ^(D),¹ DMITRY PROKHOROV ^(D),² RICCARDO FERRAZZOLI ^(D),³ YI-JUNG YANG ^(D),^{4,5} PATRICK SLANE ^(D),⁶

We report the X-ray polarization distribution in the northeastern shell of SN1006 from a 1 Ms observation with the Imaging X-ray Polarimetry Explorer (IXPE). We found an average polarization degree of $22.4 \pm 3.5\%$ and an average polarization angle of $-45.4 \pm 4.5^{\circ}$ (measured on the plane of the sky from north to east). The X-ray polarization angle distribution reveals that the magnetic fields immediately behind the shock in the northeastern shell of SN 1006 are nearly parallel to the shock normal or radially distributed, similar to that in the radio observations, and consistent with the quasi-parallel CR acceleration scenario.

The X-ray polarization degree of SN 1006 .. favoring that CR-induced instabilities set the turbulence in SN 1006 and CR acceleration is environment-dependent.

Probing Magnetic Fields in Young Supernova Remnants with IXPE

Patrick Slane ^{1,*}, Riccardo Ferrazzoli ², Ping Zhou ³ and Jacco Vink ⁴

Figure 2. Left: IXPE image of Tycho. Labels identify specific regions investigated in [38]—the northeast knot (a); the western (b) and southern (c) nonthermal stripes and the nonthermal arc region (d); and regions in which strong polarization is detected (e,f). **Right**: Polar plot for entire SNR (region g). The polarization degree is indicated on the radial axis and the polarization angle is shown, with 0° corresponding to the radial direction; an angle of 90° indicates a radial magnetic field direction. The contours show significance levels.

Slane et al. 2024

Probing Magnetic Fields in Young Supernova Remnants with IXPE

Patrick Slane ^{1,*}, Riccardo Ferrazzoli ², Ping Zhou ³ and Jacco Vink ⁴

Probing Magnetic Fields in Young Supernova Remnants with IXPE

Patrick Slane ^{1,*}, Riccardo Ferrazzoli ², Ping Zhou ³ and Jacco Vink ⁴

Figure 4. Left: IXPE image of the NW limb of RX J1713.7–3946. Labels identify north, west, and south (N, W, S) regions of the extended emission structure and discrete regions P1–P3 that show evidence for higher polarization—all were investigated in [52]. **Right**: Polar plot for entire limb region, composed of N + W + S. The polarization angle is measured from north to east, with an angle of ~100° corresponding to the radial direction from the center of RX J1713.7–3946 and, thus, to a magnetic field that is tangential to the shock surface. The contours show significance levels.

Probing Magnetic Fields in Young Supernova Remnants with IXPE

Patrick Slane ^{1,*}, Riccardo Ferrazzoli ², Ping Zhou ³ and Jacco Vink ⁴

	Polariza Rim	tion Degre SNR	e (%) ^a Peak	V_{shock} (km s ⁻¹)	$n_0 (cm^{-3})$	Bohm Factor (η)	B^b_{low} (μG)
Cas A	4.5 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 0.5	~15	$\sim \! 5800$	0.9 ± 0.3	~1–6	25–40
Tycho	12 ± 2	9 ± 2	23 ± 4	$\sim \! 4600$	$\sim 0.1 - 0.2$	$\sim 1-5$	30-40
SN 1006 (NE)	22.4 ± 3.5		31 ± 8	\sim 5000	$\sim 0.05 0.08$	~6–10	18–26
RX J1713 (W)	13.0 ± 3.5		46 ± 10	1400–2900	$\sim 0.01 - 0.2$	~ 1.4	~ 10

(a) X-ray polarization degree for SNR rim, entire SNR, and peak value within SNR. (b) Lower limit to post-shock magnetic field based on rim width, e.g., [20].

« the polarization degree is quite small, indicating high levels of turbulence in the immediate post-shock regions, as expected from models for diffusive shock acceleration with magnetic field amplification. »

Ability to study small (sub) regions, orientation of the magnetic fields

JWST NIRcam observations of SN1987A

Decomposition of overlapping emission from Equatorial ring, forward shock (CSM), reverse shock (SN ejecta)

Spitzer IRAC

JWST NIRCAM

IRAC1+IRAC2

F356W+F444W

Arendt et al. 2020

Arendt et al. 2023

Stellar clusters and interstellar bubbles

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

Stellar clusters and interstellar bubbles

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

> SNR shock and wind Termination shock interaction Kamijima et Ohira 2024

Forward shock +shell of shocked ISM Wind termination shock Hot diluted turbulent bubble

Stellar clusters and interstellar bubbles

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

> SNR shock and wind Termination shock interaction Kamijima et Ohira 2024

Stellar clusters and interstellar bubbles

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

> SNR shock and wind Termination shock interaction Kamijima et Ohira 2024

The influence of the wind-blown bubble on particle acceleration Das, Brose, Pohl et al. 2024

Wind termination shock

Forward shock

+shell of

shocked ISM

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

> SNR shock and wind Termination shock interaction Kamijima et Ohira 2024

The influence of the wind-blown bubble on particle acceleration Das, Brose, Pohl et al. 2024

Wind termination shock

Forward shock

+shell of

shocked ISM

Stellar clusters and interstellar bubbles

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

> SNR shock and wind Termination shock interaction Kamijima et Ohira 2024

The influence of the wind-blown bubble on particle acceleration Das, Brose, Pohl et al. 2024

Wind termination shock

SNR shocks

Forward shock

+shell of

shocked ISM

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

> SNR shock and wind Termination shock interaction Kamijima et Ohira 2024

The influence of the wind-blown bubble on particle acceleration Das, Brose, Pohl et al. 2024

SNR shocks inside massive stellar clusters Vieu, Reville et al. 2023, Badmaev, Bykov et al. 2024

SNR shocks

Forward shock

+shell of

shocked ISM

Cassé & Paul 1980,1982; Volk& Forman 1982, Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb et al. 1985, Bykov et al. 2001 ++, Parizot et al. 2004, Ferrand & Marcowith 2010, Morlino et al. 2021, Vieu et al. 2022

> SNR shock and wind Termination shock interaction Kamijima et Ohira 2024

The influence of the wind-blown bubble on particle acceleration Das, Brose, Pohl et al. 2024

SNR shocks inside massive stellar clusters Vieu, Reville et al. 2023, Badmaev, Bykov et al. 2024

Shock-clouds interactions, clumpiness of CSM Gabici& Aharonian 2014, Inoue et al. 2021, Bamba et al. 2023

VHE emission from young extragalactic SNRs

So far no detection in GeV/ TeV for any extraGalactic CCSNe

Goal: model particle acceleration + gamma-ray emission for typical CCSNe (detectability with CTAO)

Issues:

- diversity of CCSNe (types)
- environments
- variation of mass-loss rate of the winds in the years before the explosion
- clumps
- types of shocks (radiative, radiation mediated, colisionless)
- our « limited » understanding of particle acceleration at SNR shocks

VHE emission from young extragalactic SNRs

Goal: model particle acceleration + gamma-ray emission for typical CCSNe (detectability with CTAO)

Issues:

- diversity of CCSNe (types)
- environments
- variation of mass-loss rate of the winds in the years before the explosion
- clumps
- types of shocks (radiative, radiation mediated, colisionless)
- our « limited » understanding of particle acceleration at SNR shocks

VHE emission from young extragalactic SNRs

Goal: model particle acceleration + gamma-ray emission for typical CCSNe (detectability with CTAO)

Issues:

- diversity of CCSNe (types)
- environments
- variation of mass-loss rate of the winds in the years before the explosion
- clumps
- types of shocks (radiative, radiation mediated, colisionless)
- our « limited » understanding of particle acceleration at SNR shocks

Detectability with the Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory (CTAO) 50 hours

Summary: Particle acceleration at supernova remnants

LHAASO: almost zero, or zero SNR pevatron MeerKAT, SKA: incredible resolution JWST: (incredible resolution) emission from CSM, SN ejecta, 1987A IXPE: structure of magnetic fields at SNR shocks (parallel/oblique) Various theoretical works taking into account the SNR environment (clumps/clouds, massive star bubbles, etc.)

