High energy radiation of low energy neutron stars called electrospheres

Theo Francez

PhD supervised by Fabrice Mottez and Guillaume Voisin

November 5th, 2024



1/33



2 Numerical methods



æ





æ

Pulsars

• Compact objects: $R \sim 12 \text{ km}$ and $M \sim 1.4 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}.$



Figure 1: Pulsar magnetosphere. Credits: [Lyne, 2012].

Pulsars

- Compact objects: $R \sim 12 \text{ km and}$ $M \sim 1.4 \text{M}_{\odot}.$
- Rapidly rotating: $P \sim 1 \text{ ms} - 10 \text{ s}.$



Figure 2: Pulsar magnetosphere. Credits: [Lyne, 2012].

5/33

- Compact objects: $R \sim 12 \text{ km and}$ $M \sim 1.4 \text{M}_{\odot}.$
- Rapidly rotating: $P \sim 1 \text{ ms} - 10 \text{ s}.$
- High magnetic fields: $B \sim 10^{9-12}$ G.



Figure 3: Pulsar magnetosphere. Credits: [Lyne, 2012].

Pulsars

- Compact objects: $R \sim 12 \text{ km and}$ $M \sim 1.4 \text{M}_{\odot}.$
- Rapidly rotating: $P \sim 1 \text{ ms} - 10 \text{ s}.$
- High magnetic fields: $B \sim 10^{9-12}$ G.
- Light cylinder radius:

$$R_L = \frac{Pc}{2\pi}$$



Figure 4: Pulsar magnetosphere. Credits: [Lyne, 2012].



Figure 5: Credits: [Manchester et al., 2005]

Theo Francez PhD



• Less energetic than pulsars (smaller ratio $\frac{B}{P}$).



- Less energetic than pulsars (smaller ratio $\frac{B}{P}$).
- ⇒ Low density plasma of primary particles surrounding the neutron star.

10 / 33



- Less energetic than pulsars (smaller ratio $\frac{B}{P}$).
- ⇒ Low density plasma of primary particles surrounding the neutron star.
- ⇒ No filling of the plasma by electron-positron pair cascades.



- Less energetic than pulsars (smaller ratio $\frac{B}{P}$).
- ⇒ Low density plasma of primary particles surrounding the neutron star.
- ⇒ No filling of the plasma by electron-positron pair cascades.
- ⇒ Curvature radiation is dominant.

12 / 33



- Less energetic than pulsars (smaller ratio $\frac{B}{P}$).
- ⇒ Low density plasma of primary particles surrounding the neutron star.
- ⇒ No filling of the plasma by electron-positron pair cascades.
- ⇒ Curvature radiation is dominant.
- \Rightarrow Gamma-ray transparent.

2 Numerical methods



æ

Pulsar Aroma [Mottez, 2024] computes self-consistent stationary solutions of electrospheres.



- f: distribution function of the particles
- *ρ*: charge density; **j**: current density
- E: electric field; B: magnetic field

Theo Francez PhD

Pulsar Aroma [Mottez, 2024] computes self-consistent stationary solutions of electrospheres.



- f: distribution function of the particles
- *ρ*: charge density; **j**: current density
- E: electric field; B: magnetic field

Theo Francez PhD

HE radiation of electrospheres

November 5th, 2024 16 / 33

Pulsar Aroma [Mottez, 2024] computes self-consistent stationary solutions of electrospheres.



- f: distribution function of the particles
- ρ : charge density; **j**: current density
- E: electric field; B: magnetic field

Theo Francez PhD

Pulsar Aroma [Mottez, 2024] computes self-consistent stationary solutions of electrospheres.



- f: distribution function of the particles
- ρ : charge density; **j**: current density
- E: electric field; B: magnetic field

Theo Francez PhD

Example of numerical solution





November 5th, 2024

The single particle spectrum (e.g. [Rybicki, 1979]) is multiplied by the number of emitters $N(\mathbf{r})$,

$$\mathscr{P}_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{3}q^{2}\gamma}{cT} \mathbf{F}(x) \frac{\partial N(\mathbf{r},\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} d\gamma \quad .$$
(1)

•
$$F(x) = x \int_{x}^{+\infty} K_{\frac{5}{3}}(\xi) d\xi$$
, Westfold function

- $x = \frac{\nu}{\nu_c}$ with ν_c the critical frequency
- $T = \frac{2\pi a}{c}$, the period associated to the motion along the circle of radius *a*

repeat at each iteration until convergence







æ

Spectra



Figure 7: Charge density (left) and spectral energy density at different line of sight average over one period (right). $B = 10^{11}$ G, P = 5 s and $i = 30^{\circ}$.

- ∢ /⊐ >

Maps at various energies



 \rightarrow shallow structures reminiscent of pulses

Figure 8: Spectral energy, $\nu P_{\nu} \, \left[{\rm erg} \, {\rm s}^{-1}
ight]$ density maps at

Theo Francez PhD

infinity

November 5th, 2024

B (G)	P (s)	$L_\gamma~({ m erg}{ m s}^{-1})$
10 ¹⁰	1	8.927×10^{27}
10^{11}	5	3.589×10^{28}
10 ¹²	10	8.935×10^{29}



B (G)	P (s)	$L_\gamma~({ m erg}{ m s}^{-1})$
10 ¹⁰	1	8.927×10^{27}
1011	5	3.589×10^{28}
10^{12}	10	8.935×10^{29}



B (G)	P (s)	$L_\gamma~({ m erg~s^{-1}})$
10 ¹⁰	1	8.927×10^{27}
10^{11}	5	3.589×10^{28}
10 ¹²	10	8.935×10^{29}



B (G)	P (s)	$L_{\gamma}~({ m ergs^{-1}})$
10 ¹⁰	1	$8.927 imes 10^{27}$
10^{11}	5	3.589×10^{28}
10 ¹²	10	8.935×10^{29}

- Much lower than pulsar luminosties ($L_\gamma\gtrsim 10^{33}~{
 m erg\,s^{-1}}$).
- The typical FERMI-LAT sensitivity threshold for gamma-ray pulsar detection in the 0.1-100 GeV band is $\sigma \sim 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}.$

28 / 33

• Estimated flux of an electrosphere with an average gamma-ray luminosity $L_{\gamma} \sim 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, located at an average distance of 8.5 kpc:

$$F \sim 1 imes 10^{-18}
m ~erg~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~sr^{-1} pprox 6 imes 10^{-16}
m ~GeV~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~sr^{-1}$$

• Estimated flux of an electrosphere with an average gamma-ray luminosity $L_{\gamma} \sim 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, located at an average distance of 8.5 kpc:

F
$$\sim 1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \approx 6 \times 10^{-16} \text{ GeV s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$$

• But electrospheres are supposed to be numerous in the Galaxy $(N \sim 10^8 - 10^9 \text{ [Faucher-Giguère and Kaspi, 2006]}).$

• Estimated flux of an electrosphere with an average gamma-ray luminosity $L_{\gamma} \sim 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, located at an average distance of 8.5 kpc:

F
$$\sim 1 imes 10^{-18}
m ~erg~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~sr^{-1} pprox 6 imes 10^{-16}
m ~GeV~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~sr^{-1}$$

• But electrospheres are supposed to be numerous in the Galaxy $(N \sim 10^8 - 10^9 \text{ [Faucher-Giguère and Kaspi, 2006]}).$

⇒ Consequences:

- diffuse gamma-ray background;
- Contribution to the high energy tail (10-50 GeV) of the Galactic Center Excess (e.g. [Linden et al., 2016]) ?

- Spectra peak at or above 10 GeV.
- Faint pulse-like structures.
- Possibly detectable as a diffuse gamma-ray flux but population dependent and the number of electrospheres in the Galaxy is very uncertain.
- Very preliminary study, should be refined with low pair creation regime, synchro-curvature radiation for example.

Thank you for your attention !

э

References I



Faucher-Giguère, C.-A. and Kaspi, V. M. (2006).

Birth and Evolution of Isolated Radio Pulsars.

Astrophysical Journal, 643(1):332–355.

Linden, T., Rodd, N. L., Safdi, B. R., and Slatyer, T. R. (2016).

High-energy tail of the galactic center gamma-ray excess.

Physical Review D, 94(10).



Lyne, A. (2012).

Pulsar astronomy.

Cambridge Astrophysics 48. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 4e édition. edition.



Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G. B., Teoh, A., and Hobbs, M. (2005).

The australia telescope national facility pulsar catalogue.

The Astronomical Journal, 129(4):1993–2006.

Theo Francez PhD

Mottez, F. (2024).

Ab initio simulations of neutron stars' oblique electrospheres with realistic neutron star parameters.

arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2402.17348.

Rybicki, B. G. (1979).

Radiative processes in astrophysics.

A Wiley-Interscience publication. J. Wiley and sons, New York Chichester Brisbane [etc.