

Event-by-event mean transverse momentum fluctuations in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with ALICE

### Sumit Basu<sup>1,2</sup>

#### (for the ALICE collaboration)

1Lund University, Lund, Sweden 2Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

17th edition of Workshop in Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy (WPCF), Toulouse, France

Nov  $6^{\text{th}}$ , 2024



#### **Introduction:** Mean  $p_T$  fluctuations

- Fluctuations of a thermal system are directly related to its various susceptibilities.
- <sup>è</sup> *The extraction of the system heat capacity from temperature fluctuations,*

$$
\frac{1}{C} = \frac{(\Delta T^2)}{T^2}
$$

• The *p*T correlations can be formulated in terms of the fluctuations in the effective temperature as,

$$
\langle \Delta p_{\rm T} \Delta p_{\rm T} \rangle \approx \left[\frac{d \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle}{dT}\right]^2 \Delta T^2
$$

• Non-monotonic changes in *p*T correlations with beam energy or centrality can be identified as a possible signal of QGP formation.

**STAR Collboration, Phys. Rev. C87, (2013) 064902; Sumit Basu et al, Phys. Rev. C94 (2016) 044901; B-H Sa et al, Phys. Rev. 75 (2007) 054912**



#### Mean  $p_T$  fluctuations



• Significant dynamical mean *p*T fluctuations are observed in heavy-ion collisions.

STAR Coll., Phys. Rev. C 72, 044902 (2005)

Kinematic acceptance:  $0.15 < p_T < 2.0$  GeV/c,  $|\eta| < 1.0$ 



#### Mean  $p_T$  fluctuations



2.7K ± 3mK about 0.01%



- The correlation strength is inversely proportional to the number of sources;
- A similar trend is observed from pp to Pb–Pb collisions;
- $\rightarrow$  Motivated to look at high-multiplicity pp events.

#### WPCF'24 6 Nov 2024 5



# The two-particle  $p_T$  correlator

The two-particle correlator *C* measures the dynamic component of mean transverse momentum fluctuations.

In multiplicity class *m*, given as:

$$
C_m = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt}, m} N_k^{pairs}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt}, m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{acc}, k} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N_{acc}, k} (p_{T,i} - M(p_T)_m) * (p_{T,j} - M(p_T)_m)
$$

$$
M(p_T)_m
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} N_{acc,k}}$   $\sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{acc,k}} p_{T,i}$   
Mean *p*<sub>T</sub> of the sample



# The two-particle  $p_T$  correlator

The two-particle correlator *C* measures the dynamic component of mean transverse momentum fluctuations.

In multiplicity class *m*, given as:

$$
C_m = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt}, m} N_k^{pairs}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt}, m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{acc}, k} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N_{acc}, k} (p_{T,i} - M(p_T)_m) * (p_{T,j} - M(p_T)_m)
$$

 $\rightarrow$   $C_m$  vanishes for uncorrelated production, where only statistical fluctuations are present.

 $\sqrt{\frac{C_m}{M(p_T)_m}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{C_p}{\sqrt{p_T}}}\sqrt{\frac{C_p}{C_p}}$ **ALICE STAR**

Equivalent to *R Relative fluctuation measure used at ISR energies*



# Results:  $\sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)}$  as a function of multiplicity



- $\rightarrow \sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)_m} \rightarrow$  dimensionless ratio, quantifies the strength of correlations in units of  $M(pT)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  The systematic errors are shown by boxes and statistical errors by bars.



# Results:  $\sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)}$  as a function of multiplicity



- $\rightarrow$  A threshold is defined at  $N_{ch} = 85 \rightarrow$  such that results from HM events are presented beyond this value.
- Non-zero mean *p*T fluctuation.
- Normalized correlator decreases with multiplicity.
- <sup>è</sup> This trend is nicely followed by *HM events*.

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Results: Model predictions

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

- The MC models PYTHIA (both tunes) and EPOS give qualitative description of the data.
	- $\sim$  PYTHIA6 predicts slightly lower values,
	- $\sim$  PYTHIA8 gives significantly larger values,
	- $\angle$  EPOS predicts significantly lower values of normalized correlator.

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Results: Comparison with lower energy datasets

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

- $\rightarrow$  Relative mean *pT* fluctuations in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 0.9, 2.76, 7 and 13 TeV.
- <sup>è</sup> *No significant energy dependence.*

ALI-PREL-550688

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Results: Inclusive mean  $p_T$  fluctuations

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

- $\rightarrow$  Relative fluctuations from ISR to ALICE shows no significant energy dependence.
- <sup>è</sup> *The relative fluctuation strength ~ 11%*

ALI-PREL-550685

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

A study of soft, intermediate and hard *p*<sup>T</sup> would significantly impact on understanding the equilibrations and thermal (radial flow) - non thermal (jets/minijets) sources for *p*<sup>T</sup> fluctuations.

Minijets? Thermal/equilibrated ?

Various collision systems at different energies were studied...  $0.15 \text{ GeV/c}$  2.0 GeV/c

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

A study of soft, intermediate and hard *p*<sup>T</sup> would significantly impact on understanding the equilibrations and thermal (radial flow) - non thermal (jets/minijets) sources for *p*<sup>T</sup> fluctuations.

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

A study of soft, intermediate and hard *p*<sup>T</sup> would significantly impact on understanding the equilibrations and thermal (radial flow) - non thermal (jets/minijets) sources for *p*<sup>T</sup> fluctuations.

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

Charged particles in kinematical acceptance;

Psuedo-rapidity cut: -0.8≤*η*≤0.8 Transverse momentum acceptnaces: *as shown in the table*

![](_page_15_Picture_81.jpeg)

The range used in previous results, STAR/PHENIX/ALICE

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Results: Dependence of M(*p*T) on *N*ch

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Case 1 [0.15, 0.15+Δ*p*T]

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Results: Variations of  $\sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)}$  with *N*ch

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Case 1  $[0.15, 0.15+\Delta p$ <sup>T</sup>]

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

WPCF'24 6 Nov 2024 19

# **ALICE**

# Results: PYTHIA and EPOS comparison

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_86.jpeg)

- <sup>è</sup> PYTHIA8 (Monash) and EPOS describe the data qualitatively.
- <sup>è</sup> As the *p*T window is increased, PYTHIA agrees qualitatively with the data.
- <sup>è</sup> EPOS, with increasing *p*T window, tends to show qualitative disagreement with data.
- 

[0.15, 0.15+Δ*p*T]

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Results: PYTHIA and EPOS comparison

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Case 2  $[0.15, 1.0]$  $[1.0, 2.0]$  $[2.0, 3.0]$  $[3.0, 4.0]$  $[4.0, 5.0]$ 

- $\rightarrow$  Statistically challenging to draw conclusion.
- With high  $p_T$  acceptance, better agreement between the data and models.

Fixed δ*p*<sup>T</sup>

# Results: Comparison with superposition principle

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

ALI-PREL-581778

- $\rightarrow$  Power-law fit of the form  $a^*(N_{ch})^b$  is performed.
- <sup>è</sup> Increasing the *p*<sup>T</sup> window, the system tends to depart from the superposition scenario.
	- For pp at  $\sqrt{s}$  =13 TeV (with HM triggered events), b = -0.404  $\pm$  0.001 (stat.)
	- <sup>ü</sup> ALICE Published values from *Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3077*

#### WPCF'24 6 Nov 2024 22

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Summary**

- $\rightarrow$  Mean *p*T correlation strength (~ 1/number of sources) for pp collision at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV for both minimum bias and high-multiplicity triggered events are presented, which decreased with increasing multiplicity.
- <sup>è</sup> For a given *N*ch, correlator values increase when the *p*<sup>T</sup> acceptance window is widened but decrease when *p*<sup>T</sup> window is placed in high *p*<sup>T</sup> limits.
- <sup>è</sup> PYTHIA (pQCD-inspired) describes the data more accurately, *suggesting hard processes are the dominant sources for mean pT fluctuations.*
- $\rightarrow$  For a wider range of *p*T windows (0.15  $\rightarrow$  0.15+ $\Delta p$ T), the variations of *b* (power index parameter) show that the system moves farther away from expectation from superposition principle (independent sources).
- To further understand the factors affecting correlation strength, future investigations could compare the correlator across various systems (pp, p–Pb, Xe–Xe, and Pb–Pb) at matched multiplicities, helping to discern the roles of mean *p*<sup>T</sup> and multiplicity

**Thank you**

#### Back Ups...

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### The High-multiplicity trigger

#### Trigger is a bais introduced during data collection.

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

- <sup>è</sup> The **kHighMultV0** trigger is based on the average V0 amplitude ➛ tuned such that only 0.1% central minimum bias events are recorded.
- $\rightarrow$  *Why do we need it?*  $\rightarrow$  to enhance high multiplicity region.

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Systematic uncertainties

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

- **.** Total systematic error is calculated by adding the ;
	- $\Box$  quadratic sum of errors from TPC crossed rows and filter bits.
	- $\triangleright$  Direct sum of errors from vertex variations, MF polarity and MC non-closure.