

Event-by-event mean transverse momentum fluctuations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with ALICE

Sumit Basu^{1,2}

(for the ALICE collaboration)

¹Lund University, Lund, Sweden ²Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

17th edition of Workshop in Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy (WPCF), Toulouse, France

Nov 6th, 2024



Introduction: Mean p_T fluctuations

- → Fluctuations of a thermal system are directly related to its various susceptibilities.
- → The extraction of the system heat capacity from temperature fluctuations,

$$\frac{1}{C} = \frac{(\Delta T^2)}{T^2}$$

• The p_T correlations can be formulated in terms of the fluctuations in the effective temperature as,

$$\langle \Delta p_{\rm T} \Delta p_{\rm T} \rangle \approx \left[\frac{d \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle}{dT} \right]^2 \Delta T^2$$

• Non-monotonic changes in pT correlations with beam energy or centrality can be identified as a possible signal of QGP formation.

STAR Collboration, Phys. Rev. C87, (2013) 064902; Sumit Basu et al, Phys. Rev. C94 (2016) 044901; B-H Sa et al, Phys. Rev. 75 (2007) 054912



Mean $p_{\rm T}$ fluctuations



 Significant dynamical mean *p*T fluctuations are observed in heavy-ion collisions.

STAR Coll., Phys. Rev. C 72, 044902 (2005)

Kinematic acceptance: $0.15 < p_T < 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 1.0$



Mean $p_{\rm T}$ fluctuations



2.7K ± 3mK about 0.01%



- → The correlation strength is inversely proportional to the number of sources;
- → A similar trend is observed from pp to Pb–Pb collisions;
- → Motivated to look at high-multiplicity pp events.



The two-particle p_T correlator

The two-particle correlator *C* measures the dynamic component of mean transverse momentum fluctuations.

In multiplicity class *m*, given as:

$$C_m = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} N_k^{pairs}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{acc},k} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N_{acc},k} (p_{T,i} - M(p_T)_m) * (p_{T,j} - M(p_T)_m)$$

$$M(p_T)_m = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} N_{acc,k}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{acc,k}} p_{T,i}$$

Mean *p*T of the sample



The two-particle p_T correlator

The two-particle correlator *C* measures the dynamic component of mean transverse momentum fluctuations.

In multiplicity class *m*, given as:

$$C_m = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} N_k^{pairs}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{evt},m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{acc},k} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N_{acc},k} \left(p_{T,i} - M(p_T)_m \right) * \left(p_{T,j} - M(p_T)_m \right)$$

 $\rightarrow C_{\rm m}$ vanishes for uncorrelated production, where only statistical fluctuations are present.

 $\frac{\text{ALICE}}{\sqrt{C_{\text{m}}/M(p_{\text{T}})_{\text{m}}} \approx \sqrt{\langle \Delta p_{\text{T},i} \Delta p_{\text{T},j} \rangle / \langle \langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle \rangle}}$

Equivalent to *R* Relative fluctuation measure used at ISR energies



Results: $\sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)_m}$ as a function of multiplicity



- → $\sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)_m}$ → dimensionless ratio, quantifies the strength of correlations in units of M(*p*T).
- The systematic errors are shown by boxes and statistical errors by bars.



Results: $\sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)_m}$ as a function of multiplicity



- A threshold is defined at N_{ch} = 85 → such that results from HM events are presented beyond this value.
- Non-zero mean pT fluctuation.
- Normalized correlator decreases with multiplicity.
- → This trend is nicely followed by HM events.



Results: Model predictions



- → The MC models PYTHIA (both tunes) and EPOS give qualitative description of the data.
 - PYTHIA6 predicts slightly lower values,
 - PYTHIA8 gives significantly larger values,
 - EPOS predicts significantly lower values of normalized correlator.



Results: Comparison with lower energy datasets



- \rightarrow Relative mean *pT* fluctuations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7$ and 13 TeV.
- → No significant energy dependence.

ALI-PREL-550688



Results: Inclusive mean p_T fluctuations



- → Relative fluctuations from ISR to ALICE shows no significant energy dependence.
- → The relative fluctuation strength ~ 11%

ALL FREE 55000.



Motivation towards higher p_T

A study of soft, intermediate and hard p_T would significantly impact on understanding the equilibrations and thermal (radial flow) - non thermal (jets/minijets) sources for p_T fluctuations.

Minijets? Thermal/equilibrated? 2.0 GeV/c 0.15 GeV/c

Various collision systems at different energies were studied...



Motivation towards higher p_T

A study of soft, intermediate and hard p_T would significantly impact on understanding the equilibrations and thermal (radial flow) - non thermal (jets/minijets) sources for p_T fluctuations.





Motivation towards higher $p_{\rm T}$

A study of soft, intermediate and hard p_T would significantly impact on understanding the equilibrations and thermal (radial flow) - non thermal (jets/minijets) sources for p_T fluctuations.





Motivation towards higher p_{T}

Charged particles in kinematical acceptance;

Psuedo-rapidity cut: $-0.8 \le \eta \le 0.8$ Transverse momentum acceptnaces: *as shown in the table*

pT ranges (GeV/c);	
Case 1	Case 2
[0.15, 1.0]	[0.15, 1.0]
[0.15, 2.0]	[1.0, 2.0]
[0.15, 3.0]	[2.0, 3.0]
[0.15, 4.0]	[3.0, 4.0]
[0.15, 6.0]	[4.0, 5.0]
	Fixed δ <i>p</i> τ

The range used in previous results, STAR/PHENIX/ALICE



Results: Dependence of $M(p_T)$ on N_{ch}



Case 1 $[0.15, 0.15 + \Delta p_T]$





Results: Variations of $\sqrt{C_m/M(p_T)_m}$ with N_{ch}











 $[0.15, 0.15 + \Delta p_T]$

Case 2 Fixed δ*p*τ

6 Nov 2024

 $M(p_{T})_{m}$ (GeV/c)

Results: PYTHIA and EPOS comparison ALICE $V_{C_m} / M(\rho_T)_m$ ALICE Preliminary PYTHIA8 (Monash) 0.2 pp, $s = 13 \text{ TeV}, |\eta| < 0.8$ EPOS 0.15 < p₁ < 3.0 GeV/c</p> 0.15 < p_ < 4.0 GeV/c</p> 0.15 < p₁ < 2.0 GeV/c</p> 0.15 < p_ < 6.0 GeV/c</p> 0.15 < p_ < 1.0 GeV/c 0. 0.05





- → PYTHIA8 (Monash) and EPOS describe the data qualitatively.
- \rightarrow As the *p*T window is increased, PYTHIA agrees qualitatively with the data.
- \rightarrow EPOS, with increasing *p*T window, tends to show qualitative disagreement with data.

 $[0.15, 0.15 + \Delta p_T]$

100

 $N_{\rm ch}$



Results: PYTHIA and EPOS comparison



Case 2 [0.15, 1.0] [1.0, 2.0] [2.0, 3.0] [3.0, 4.0] [4.0, 5.0]

- → Statistically challenging to draw conclusion.
- With high p_T acceptance, better agreement between the data and models.

Fixed δ*p*_T

Results: Comparison with superposition principle



ALI-PREL-581778

- Power-law fit of the form $a^*(N_{ch})^b$ is performed.
- Increasing the pT window, the system tends to depart from the superposition scenario.
 - ✓ For pp at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (with HM triggered events), $b = -0.404 \pm 0.001$ (stat.)
 - ✓ ALICE Published values from *Eur. Phys. J. C* 74 (2014) 3077

WPCF'24

6 Nov 2024



Summary

- Mean p_T correlation strength (~ 1/number of sources) for pp collision at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for both minimum bias and high-multiplicity triggered events are presented, which decreased with increasing multiplicity.
- For a given N_{ch} , correlator values increase when the p_T acceptance window is widened but decrease when p_T window is placed in high p_T limits.
- → PYTHIA (pQCD-inspired) describes the data more accurately, *suggesting hard processes are the dominant sources for mean pt fluctuations*.
- → For a wider range of p_T windows (0.15 0.15+ Δp_T), the variations of *b* (power index parameter) show that the system moves farther away from expectation from superposition principle (independent sources).
- → To further understand the factors affecting correlation strength, future investigations could compare the correlator across various systems (pp, p–Pb, Xe–Xe, and Pb–Pb) at matched multiplicities, helping to discern the roles of mean *p*T and multiplicity

Thank you

Back Ups...



The High-multiplicity trigger

Trigger is a bais introduced during data collection.



- → The kHighMultV0 trigger is based on the average V0 amplitude → tuned such that only 0.1% central minimum bias events are recorded.
- Why do we need it? \rightarrow to enhance high multiplicity region.



Systematic uncertainties



- Total systematic error is calculated by adding the ;
 - > quadratic sum of errors from TPC crossed rows and filter bits.
 - > Direct sum of errors from vertex variations, MF polarity and MC non-closure.