3D pion HBT correlations and their Lévy parameters in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV Au+Au collisions at STAR

Sneha Bhosale for the STAR collaboration

Eötvös University, Budapest







Office of Science

05/11/2024

- Introduction Lévy-stable distribution: could provide a more accurate source description, incorporates a power-law tail, deviates from standard Gaussian framework
- Motivation
- Analysis
- Results
- Summary and conclusions

Introduction:

- Technique used to study the space-time evolution of particle-emitting sources in heavy-ion collisions
 - R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss Nature 178 (1956)
- Intensity correlations as a function of detector distance
- Measuring size of otherwise apparently point-like sources
- Goldhaber, Goldhaber, Lee and Pais: applicable in high energy physics
 - Goldhaber, Goldhaber, Lee and Pais Phys.Rev.Lett.3 (1959) 181
- Resolving the femtometer scale size and structure of particle emission from QGP



Momentum correlation C(q), $q = |p_1 - p_2|$, is related to the source $S(r) \rightarrow C(q) = 1 + |\tilde{S}(Q)|^2$

• Introduction

- **Motivation** HBT analyses with Lévy sources have been done in 1D so far, developing this to 3D is important:
- Analysis

- \rightarrow check if deviation from Gaussian in 1D is because of directional avg.
- \rightarrow provides a more complete picture of the source geometry
- Results
- \rightarrow allows for comparison with 1D results to check its consistency
- Summary and conclusions

Lévy distributions in femtoscopy:

- Femtoscopic correlation functions often assume Gaussian sources
- Lévy-stable distributions: more flexible approach to characterize shape and size



- Lévy seen in both data (correlation functions and imaging) and simulations (EPOS, UrQMD)
 - See talks by D. Kincses, E. Árpási, L. Kovács
- Lévy-exponent α extracted from SPS through RHIC to LHC in 1D analyses
 - See talks by B. Pórfy and S. Lökös

Motivation and interpretation:

• Possible interpretations of the non-Gaussian, a < 2 Lévy exponent:

- Jet fragmentation Csörgő, Hegyi, Novák, Zajc, Acta Phys.Polon. B36
- Critical behavior Csörgő, Hegyi, Novák, Zajc, AIP Conf. Proc. 828
- Event averaging Cimerman, Tomasik, Plumberg, Phys.Part.Nucl. 51 (2020) 3, 282
 - Resonance decays Kórodi, Kincses, Csanád, Phys.Lett.B 847 (2023) 138295
 - Hadronic scattering Csanád, Csörgő, Nagy, Braz.J.Phys. 37 (2007) 1002 & arXiv:2409.10373

Hadronic scattering (see talk by D. Kincses)

Important at 200 GeV, EPOS+UrQMD includes these, source function investigated in 3D



- Introduction
- Motivation
- Analysis Experimental selection criteria detailed, same as previous 1D analysis
- Results
- Summary and conclusions

Lévy HBT analysis at STAR, Au+Au @ 200 GeV:

• STAR Run-11 data analyzed

After trigger cuts and bad run cuts: **556M events**

- Detectors used for the analysis:
 - **BBC, TPC, VPD**: centrality, vertex position
 - TPC: tracking, dE/dx Particle Identification (PID)
 - **TOF**: time-of-flight PID
- Event selection:
 - Vertex cuts: $|v_z^{TPC} v_z^{vpd}| < 3 cm; |v_r^{TPC}| < 2 cm;$ $|v_z^{TPC}| < 25; |v_z^{vpd}| < 25$
 - Pile-up removal using TOF vs. TPC multiplicity
 - Centrality selection: 0-10%





Lévy HBT analysis at STAR, Au+Au @ 200 GeV:

Track selection criteria:

• Combined PID using TPC $N\sigma$ (based on d*E*/dx) and TOF $N\sigma$ (based on time-of-flight)

combined PID: $\sqrt{N\sigma_{TOF,\pi}^2 + N\sigma_{TPC,\pi}^2} < 2.5$ If no TOF info, TPC PID: $N\sigma_{TPC,\pi} < 2$ $N\sigma_{TPC,K,p,e} > 2$

- Momentum selection : $0.15 < p_T$ [GeV/c] < 1.0
- Rapidity selection : $|\eta| < 0.75$
- TPC number of hits selection: Nhitsfit > 20 Nhitsfit/Nhitsposs > 0.55
- Distance of Closest Approach selection : DCA < 2 cm
- Pair selection criteria : J. Adams et al. (STAR Coll.),
 - Splitting level (SL) < 0.6 Phys. Rev. C 71, 044906 (2005)
 - Fraction of Merged Hits (FMH) < 5%
 - Average pair-separation (on TPC pad rows) Δr > 3 cm





Fitting process:

A(q) - Pairs from same event B(q) - Pairs from mixed events C(q) - Correlation function, C(q) = A(q)/B(q)π pood \rightarrow Event mixing with 2 cm wide zvtx \rightarrow Pair average momentum selection: 19 average transverse momentum k_T bins, from (0.175 - 0.65) GeV/c Correlation strength Levy exponent Possible background 3D correlation function $C_2(q_{out}, q_{side}, q_{long}) = N(1 + \varepsilon_o |q_o| + \varepsilon_s |q_s| + \varepsilon_L |q_L|) \left(1 - \lambda + \lambda \cdot K(q_{inv}, R_{inv}, \alpha) \cdot \left(1 + e^{-|q_i R_{ij}^2 q_j|^{\alpha/2}}\right)\right)$ Coulomb correction with $R_{inv}(R_{out}, R_{side}, R_{long})$ → Used fitting method, Coulomb FSI + Lévy-source

 \rightarrow R_{inv} calculated from R_{out}, R_{side}, R_{long}; iterative fitting, all parameters have to converge

 $\rightarrow \epsilon_{o}, \epsilon_{s}, \epsilon_{L}$: residual non-femtoscopic background; en-mom. conservation, resonance decays, bulk flow, minijets, etc

3D fit projections:



- Introduction
- Motivation
- Analysis
- Results
- Summary and conclusions

m_T dependence of α and λ :



- Lévy exponent α : negligible dependence on m_T , average value ~ 1.3; far from critical value (0.5), Cauchy (1.0) and Gauss (2.0).
- Correlation strength λ : small increase from low to high m_T .

m_T dependence of the source radii:



• Confidence levels (p-values) improve by 1-3 orders of magnitude with free lpha

Comparison to EPOS:



- EPOS and data (both from 3D analysis) comparison shows good agreement
- Small difference in side direction and in α .

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Analysis
- Results
- Summary and conclusions

Summary and conclusions:

- Three-dimensional two-pion correlation functions investigated
- Fits with Lévy-source assumption + Coulomb FSI provide good description



Backup



m_T dependence of the source parameters:



- Lévy-scale R: usual decreasing trend with m_T , both 1D and 3D confirms that.
- Free α fits reduce χ^2 by 200-500 units compared to Gaussian fits.
- Confidence levels improve by 1-3 orders of magnitude with free α .

m_T dependence of the source parameters:

• Lévy exponent α : negligible dependence on m_T , average value ~ 1.3

 \rightarrow far from critical value (0.5), Cauchy (1.0) and Gauss (2.0)

• Correlation strength λ : small increase from low to high m_T .



Coulomb correction:

3D correlation function $C_{2}(q_{out}, q_{side}, q_{long}) = N(1 + \varepsilon_{o}|q_{o}| + \varepsilon_{s}|q_{s}| + \varepsilon_{L}|q_{L}|) \begin{pmatrix} \text{Correlation strength} & \text{Levy exponent} \\ 1 - \lambda + \lambda \cdot K(q_{inv}, R_{inv}, \alpha) \cdot (1 + e^{-|q_{i}R_{ij}^{2}q_{j}|^{\alpha/2}}) \end{pmatrix}$

Coulomb correction with $R_{inv}(R_{out}, R_{side}, R_{long})$

$$q_{inv} = \sqrt{(1 - \beta_T^2)q_0^2 + q_s^2 + q_L^2}$$

$$R_{inv} = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \beta_T^2) R_o^2 + RS^2 + R_L^2}{3}}$$