



The measurement and analysis of neutron-neutron correlation function in heavy ion experiment

Speaker: Dawei Si (司大伟)

Tsinghua Univeristy

17th Workshop on Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy

November 4th-8th, 2024, Toulouse, France



outline

Research Background

CSHINE detector system

Result

- 1. TOF and neutron energy spectrum
- 2. n-n correlation at 25MeV/u 124 Sn+ 124 Sn
- 3. Momentum-gated correlation function

Summary





UWhat is isospin dynamics?

In the process of HIC ($\sim 10^{-21}s$), protons, neutrons and the fragments with varying N/Z, exhibit different behaviors in production and transport due to $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$





The emission timescale of neutrons and protons are important characteristics of isospin dynamics.



Correlation function and isospin chronology



Two particle correlation function:

$$\underbrace{Model} \qquad \underbrace{Experiment}_{C(k^*)} = \int S(\vec{r}, t) |\Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r})| d^3 \vec{r} = \frac{N_{same}(k^*)}{N_{mix}(k^*)} \\ S(\vec{r}, t): \text{ Source function} \\ \Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}): \text{ two particle wave function}$$

Effective range expansion for $\Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r})$ Smoothness approximation for source function $S(\vec{r}, t)$



- 1. f_0 : Scattering length
- 2. d_0 : Effictive range
- 3. r_0 : Source size
- 4. τ_0 : Time scale



Correlation function and isospin chronology



Two particle correlation function:

$$\underbrace{Model} \qquad \underbrace{Experiment}_{C(k^*)} = \int S(\vec{r}, t) |\Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r})| d^3 \vec{r} = \frac{N_{same}(k^*)}{N_{mix}(k^*)} \\ S(\vec{r}, t): \text{ Source function} \\ \Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}): \text{ two particle wave function}$$

Effective range expansion for $\Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r})$ Smoothness approximation for source function $S(\vec{r}, t)$ $S(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2 r_0^3 \tau_0} exp(-\frac{r^2}{4r_0^2} - -\frac{t^2}{4\tau_0^2})$ L-L approach L-L approach $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ Effective range $S(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2 r_0^3 \tau_0} exp(-\frac{r^2}{4r_0^2} - -\frac{t^2}{4\tau_0^2})$ L-L approach $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$ $N_{mix}(k^*)$



Charge symetry breaking(CSB) measurement in HIC







Charge symetry breaking(CSB) measurement in HIC







CSHINE detector system

HIRFL: Heavy Ion Research Facility at Lanzhou, China







CSHINE detector system

CSH INE Compact Spectrometer for Heavy IoN Experiments

Beam time statistics:

- I: $30 \text{ MeV/u}^{* 40} \text{Ar}^{+197} \text{Au} (2014)$
- II: $30 \text{ MeV/u} {}^{40}\text{Ar} + {}^{197}\text{Au} (2018) + \text{SSDT}$
- III: 25 MeV/u ⁸⁶Kr+²⁰⁸Pb (2019)
- IV: 25 MeV/u 86 Kr+ 124 Sn (2022) + γ Hodoscope
- V: 25 MeV/u ¹²⁴Sn+¹²⁴Sn (2024) + Neutron Array













CSHINE detector system — Neutron Array







CSHINE2024 Experiment





SSD1~6: $25^{\circ} < \theta < 65^{\circ}$ SSD7,8 : $\theta = 90^{\circ}$, -70° BaF₂(T0): ±145°

Neutron Array: 27°<θ<53°



-Time Of Flight(TOF) distribution **Result**—



• A clear distinction between neutrons and γ ■ A uniform background exists in the time window Abnormal peaks appeared between γ and n peaks $M_{\gamma}=1$: neutron peak significantly decreased, decline of abnormal peak is not obvious (abnormal peak may be the secondary products from target

chamber)

$$\sigma_{exp} = 744 \pm 40 \, ps = \sqrt{212^2 + 713^2} \, ps$$
space effect of unit





1. The component of background are converted from TOF to energy spectrum using Monte Carlo

2. Abnormal peaks have little effect on the energy spectrum below 100MeV.

The energy uncertainty of data above 100MeV is already large: 100(10), 200(30), 300(60)MeV for $\sigma_{TOF} = 744$ ps





Result——Neutron-Neutron Correlation Function

~20w two neutron events(TOF:12.5~80ns、 E:3~72MeV)) are used 6 5 $C(k^*) > 1$: $C(k^*)$ n-n attractive nuclear potential + 2 Caused by the phase space cut: Energy threshold Angular resolution limit 0 10 20 50 60 80 30 40 70 k*(MeV/c)



Result——Neutron-Neutron Correlation Function

~20w two neutron events(TOF:12.5~80ns, E:3~72MeV)) are used 6 5 C(k*) > 1 : n-n attractive nuclear potential $C(k^*)$ Caused by the phase space cut: Energy threshold Angular resolution limit 70 50 80 0 10 20 30 40 60 The effect of cross talk and uniform k*(MeV/c) background has not been considered! $C_{nn} = \frac{F_{sam,nn}(Q)}{F_{min}(Q)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{mn}} \left[\frac{C_{\exp}(Q)}{A} - \lambda_{ct} \frac{F_{sam,ct}(Q)}{F_{min}(Q)} - \lambda_{bkg} \frac{F_{sam,bkg}(Q)}{F_{min}(Q)}\right]$



Cross talk: a single neutron scatters from a unit to another unit or the secondary γ emits to another unit.



 ΔT : TOF difference of the two neutron events

As the flight distance increases, the time difference becomes larger



Result—— Cross talk rejection







The main errors of data points come from flight distance uncertainty, statistical error and the threshold uncertainty in simulation.

We use the LL method to fit n-n correlation function after correction







The f0 and d0 measured by us in heavy ion collision agree with reference value(by scattering experiment)! The single particle emission source size and emission timescale are also obtained here



Result—— Momentum-gated correlation function

Multi-source picture in low energy HIC:

The characteristics of the emission source are inconsistent in different periods.

Pre-equilibrium emission \implies **Higher particle momentum**

Compound emission

→ Lower particle momentum



enhancement in the correlation function of high momentum neutron pairs





Summary

- We make the neutron array and mounted it on CSHINE, and completed the beam experiment at HIRFL in Lanzhou.
- We calibrated the neutron data and obtained the neutron energy spectrum
- We obtain the correlation function and modify it, the f0 d0 τ R are preliminarily obtained by L-L method.

OutLook:

The analysis of momentum-gated correlation function and the calibration of SSD Telescope are on the way.



Thanks



Thank you to all the members of the CSHINE2024 experiment !





A clear distinction between neutrons and γ

Neutron Unit efficiency Geant4 simulation Neutron cross section packet: G4NDL4.5 Physical list: FTFP_BERT_HP





The statistical emission range($8 \sim 30 \text{MeV}$) is fitted exponentially($\exp(-E_n/T)$):

θ _{lab} (°)	29.5°	35.0°	40.5 °	46°	51.5°
T(MeV)	22.87	17.11	14.43	12.98	12.99

The nuclear temperature and statistics decrease with increasing θ_{lab}

The variation of energy spectrum with angle is consistent with the dynamics of HIC

The PID in the CSHINE2019



ENP

The proton-proton correlation function in the CSHINE2018

The line are the calculations of Crab with different source size and emission timescale

$$\begin{split} C_{\exp}(Q) &= \frac{F_{mix}(Q_{big})}{F_{sam}(Q_{big})} \frac{N_{sam}(Q) / N_{sam}}{N_{mix}(Q) / N_{mix}} \\ &= A[\frac{N_{sam,nn}}{N_{sam}} \frac{N_{sam,nn}(Q)}{N_{sam,nn}} + \frac{N_{sam,ct}}{N_{sam}} \frac{N_{sam,ct}(Q)}{N_{sam,ct}} + \frac{N_{sam,bkg}}{N_{sam}} \frac{N_{sam,bkg}(Q)}{N_{sam,bkg}}] / (N_{mix}(Q) / N_{mix}) \\ &= A[\lambda_{nn} \frac{F_{sam,nn}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)} + \lambda_{ct} \frac{F_{sam,ct}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)} + \lambda_{bkg} \frac{F_{sam,bkg}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)}] \\ &= A[\lambda_{nn} \frac{F_{sam,nn}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)} + \lambda_{ct} \frac{F_{sam,ct}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)} + \lambda_{bkg} \frac{F_{sam,bkg}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)}] \\ &Assume: F_{mix}(Q) = F_{mix,nn}(Q) \end{split}$$

$$C_{nn} = \frac{F_{sam,nn}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{nn}} \left[\frac{C_{\exp}(Q)}{A} - \lambda_{ct} \frac{F_{sam,ct}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)} - \lambda_{bkg} \frac{F_{sam,bkg}(Q)}{F_{mix}(Q)}\right]$$

 $F_{sam,ct}(Q)$ and $F_{sam,bkg}(Q)$ are obtained by MC simulation λ_{bkg} is obtained from fitting of TOF spectrum, λ_{ct} is obtained from ΔT distribution + G4 simulation

